


Guru Gobind Singh Foundation's
Guru Gobind Singh College of Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik

Student's Feedback Report (2020-21 ODD Sem)
CIVIL ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT
Overall Feedback

SR.NO	Name of Staff	Feedback (05)
1	Dr. N. G. Nikam	4.26
2	Dr. V. M. Natraj	4.24
3	Mr. D. O. Bhavar	4.29
4	Mrs. P. A. Padalkar	4.19
5	Mrs. T. A. Kulkarni	4.19
6	Mr. A. G. Chaudhari	3.70
7	Mr. C. G. Joshi	4.27
8	Mr. P. B. Shinde	4.13
9	Mrs. V. S. Bhalerao	4.11
10	Mr. D. S. Desale	4.21
11	Mr. R. K. Paikrao	4.21
12	Mr. C. S. kankariya	N.A
13	Dr. V. N. Kanthe	N.A
14	Ms. V. V. Pawar	3.72
15	Mr. P. P. Kadam	3.84
16	Ms. A. H. Vanjari	4.22
17	Ms. K. T. Tambe	4.28
18	Ms. S. S. Salkade	4.01
19	Mr. V. M. Gaikwad	4.01




Dr. V. M. Natraj
HOD Civil Engg.


Dr. S. D. Kalpande
Academic Dean
Dean Academics
Guru Gobind Singh College of
Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik


Dr. N. G. Nikam
Principal
PRINCIPAL
GURU GOBIND SINGH COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
& RESEARCH CENTRE, NASHIK

Guru gobind singh foundation's
Guru Gobind Singh College of Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik
Student's Feedback Report for Institute (2020-21 ODD Sem)
CIVIL ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT

Overall Feedback

Sr.No	Topic	Classwise Responces					Average Marks
		SE CE A	TE CE A	TE CE B	BE CE A	BE CE B	
1	Laboratory Equipment and Training	3.53	4.11	3.64	3.60	3.60	3.70
2	Library	3.87	4.00	3.82	3.60	3.60	3.78
3	Play Ground & Sport Activities	3.67	4.11	3.73	3.60	3.60	3.74
4	Canteen	3.60	3.56	3.27	3.40	3.40	3.45
5	Co-curricular & Extra- curricular activities	3.60	4.00	3.45	3.40	3.40	3.57
6	Motivational Activities	3.40	4.11	3.73	3.60	3.60	3.69
7	Assessment of Examinations	3.60	4.11	3.73	3.80	3.80	3.81
8	Internet Facility	3.33	4.00	3.73	3.40	3.40	3.57
9	Training and Placement Support	3.60	4.11	3.91	3.60	3.60	3.76
10	Handling of Grievances of the Students	3.73	3.89	3.64	3.80	3.80	3.77
11	Safety and Security of the Students	3.80	4.33	3.91	3.60	3.60	3.85
12	Gender Equality Promotions	3.73	4.22	4.00	3.80	3.80	3.91
13	Campus Environment and Cleanness	4.13	4.33	4.36	3.60	3.60	4.01
14	Teaching Quality	3.73	4.33	4.09	4.20	4.20	4.11
15	Public perception about the Institute	3.60	3.89	4.00	3.60	3.60	3.74
16	Overall Ranking of the Institute	3.53	4.22	3.82	3.80	3.80	3.83
17	At what level Digital India is Promoted	3.60	4.22	4.09	3.60	3.60	3.82
18	Any Suggestions for Review and Design of Syllabus for Further Recommendations: (If No Write "No") and submit.						



[Signature]
Dr. V. M. Natraj
HOD Civil Engg.

[Signature]
Dr. S. D. Kalpande
Academic Dean
Dean Academics
 Guru Gobind Singh College of
 Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik

[Signature]
Dr. N. G. Nikam
Principal

PRINCIPAL
GURU GOBIND SINGH COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING & RESEARCH CENTRE, NASHIK

Guru Gobind Singh College of Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik
Student's Feedback Report (ODD)

Program : CE

Academic Year: 2020-2021 (ODD)

Name of Teacher: Dr. N. G. Nikam

S. N.	Question	Offline		Online Rating		HWRE
		HWRE	Average	Parameter	HWRE	
	Name of Subject					
	Th/Pr	Th	Th			
		Rating (05)	Rating (05)			
1	Regularity in the Class conduction	4.38	4.38	Clarity of expectations of students	4.44	4.44
2	Delivery of Course Content	4.14	4.14	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Following:(Technical content/course co	4.33	4.33
3	Teaching Methodology Used for Understanding Concepts	4.05	4.05	Feedback provided on Students progress	4.44	4.44
4	Understanding of Course Objectives	4.00	4.00	Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University?	4.33	4.33
5	Overall command on Class & Subject	3.95	3.95	Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus?	4.44	4.44
6	Effectiveness in meeting the course outline	4.05	4.05	Motivation and inspiration for students to learn	4.44	4.44
7	Clarity given in evaluation format	4.10	4.10	Pace on which contents were covered	4.44	4.44
8	At what level Course meets the expectation(Throgh ICT Mode)	4.10	4.10	Support for the development of Students skill(Practical demonstration, Han	4.56	4.56
	Average	4.10	4.10	Willingness to offer help and advice to students	4.44	4.44
	Total Avg	4.10	4.10	Average (Converted to Rating (05))	4.43	4.43
		Yes	Yes(%)	Total Avg (Converted to Rating (05))	4.43	4.43
		N.A	N.A	Overall Rating		
9	Aware about the course outline (Yes/No)	N.A	N.A	4.26		
10	Aware about evaluation format (Yes/No)	N.A	N.A			
11	Course meets the expectation (Yes/No)	N.A	N.A			
Suggestions for Improvement : *						


Dr. M. M. Natraj
HOD, CE Dept.


Dr. S. D. Kalpande
Academic Dean,
Dean Academics
Guru Gobind Singh College of
Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik




Dr. N. G. Nikam
Principal

PRINCIPAL
GURU GOBIND SINGH COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
& RESEARCH CENTRE, NASHIK

Guru Gobind Singh College of Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik
Student's Feedback Report (ODD)

Academic Year: 2020-2021 (ODD)

Program : CE


Name of Teacher: Dr. V. M. Natraj

S. N.	Question	Offline Rating (05)					Online Rating (05)		HWRE	FM-II
		HWRE	Pr	FM-II	Average	Pr	Parameter			
		Th	Pr	Th	Th			3.82	4.78	
		Rating (05)	Rating (05)	Rating (05)	Rating (05)	Rating (05)		4.00	4.67	
1	Regularity in the Class conduction	4.22	N.A	4.29	4.25	N.A	Clarity of expectations of students	3.82	4.78	
2	Delivery of Course Content	4.11	N.A	4.10	4.10	N.A	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Following:	4.00	4.67	
3	Teaching Methodology Used for Understanding Concepts	3.83	N.A	4.29	4.06	N.A	Feedback provided on Students progress	3.82	4.56	
4	Understanding of Course Objectives	4.00	N.A	4.14	4.07	N.A	Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University?	3.91	4.67	
5	Overall command on Class & Subject	4.11	N.A	4.24	4.17	N.A	Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus?	4.00	4.78	
6	Effectiveness in meeting the course outline	4.00	N.A	4.33	4.17	N.A	Motivation and inspiration for students to learn	4.09	4.89	
7	Clarity given in evaluation format	4.11	N.A	4.10	4.10	N.A	Page on which contents were covered	4.09	4.78	
8	At what level Course meets the expectation(Throgh ICT Mode)	3.94	N.A	4.14	4.04	N.A	Support for the development of Students skill (Practical demonstration, Hands on training, Case Study / Other)	4.00	4.89	
		Average	N.A	4.20	4.12	N.A	Willingness to offer help and advice to students			
		Total Avg		4.12						
9	Aware about the course outline (Yes/No)	Yes	No	Yes(%)	No(%)					
10	Aware about evaluation format (Yes/No)	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A					
11	Course meets the expectation (Yes/No)	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A					
Suggestions for Improvement : *										
		Average (Converted to Rating (05))							3.95	4.75
		Total Avg (Converted to Rating (05))							4.35	
		Overall Rating							4.24	


Dr. V. M. Natraj
HOD, CE Dept.


Dr. S. D. Kalpande
Academic Dean

Dean Academics
Guru Gobind Singh College of Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik


Dr. N. G. Nikam
Principal

PRINCIPAL
GURU GOBIND SINGH COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING & RESEARCH CENTRE, NASHIK



Guru Gobind Singh College of Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik
Student's Feedback Report (ODD Term)


Academic Year: 2020-2021 (ODD)	Program : CE
--------------------------------	--------------

Name of Teacher: Mr. D. O. Bhavar


Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject
(Very Poor: 1, Poor: 2, Average: 3, Good: 4, Excellent: 5)

S. N. Question	Offline										Online											
	Name of Subject	MOS					Rating (05)					Parameter	Rating MOS	Rating Elective 1 (ATP)								
		Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr											
1	Regularity in the Class conduction	4.33	N.A	4.43	N.A	4.38	N.A	Average Rating (05)			4.33	4.43										
2	Delivery of Course Content	4.28	N.A	4.29	N.A	4.28	N.A	Average Rating (05)			4.28	4.29										
3	Teaching Methodology Used for Understanding Concepts	4.22	N.A	4.43	N.A	4.33	N.A	Average Rating (05)			4.22	4.43										
4	Understanding of Course Objectives	4.39	N.A	4.43	N.A	4.41	N.A	Average Rating (05)			4.39	4.43										
5	Overall command on Class & Subject	4.28	N.A	4.29	N.A	4.28	N.A	Average Rating (05)			4.28	4.29										
6	Effectiveness in meeting the course outline	4.33	N.A	3.71	N.A	4.02	N.A	Average Rating (05)			4.33	3.71										
7	Clarity given in evaluation format	4.28	N.A	4.43	N.A	4.35	N.A	Average Rating (05)			4.28	4.43										
8	At what level Course meets the expectation(Through ICT Mode)	4.17	N.A	4.29	N.A	4.23	N.A	Average Rating (05)			4.17	4.29										
Average		4.28	N.A	4.29	N.A	4.29	N.A	Average (Converted to Rating (05))			4.28	4.29										
Total Avg		4.29										Total Avg (Converted to Rating (05))		4.29								
<table border="0" style="width:100%;"> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">Yes</td> <td style="text-align: center;">No</td> <td style="text-align: center;">Yes (%)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">No (%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N.A</td> <td>N.A</td> <td>N.A</td> <td>N.A</td> </tr> </table>												Yes	No	Yes (%)	No (%)	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	Overall Rating		4.29
Yes	No	Yes (%)	No (%)																			
N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A																			

Suggestions for Improvement : *


Dr. V. M. Natraj
HOD, CE Dept.


Dr. S. D. Kalpande
Academic Dean
Dean Academics
Guru Gobind Singh College of
Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik


Dr. N. G. Nikam
Principal
Principal
GURU GOBIND SINGH COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
& RESEARCH CENTRE, NASHIK



Guru Gobind Singh College of Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik
Student's Feedback Report (ODD Term)

Academic Year: 2020-2021 SEM-I	Program : CE
--------------------------------	--------------


Name of Teacher: Mr. A. G. Chaudhari

Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject
(Very Poor: 1, Poor: 2, Average: 3, Good: 4, Excellent: 5)

S.N. Question	Name of Subject	IECT					Average		Parameter	IECT (A)	
		Th Div -A	Pr	Th Div - B	Pr	Th	Pr	Rating (05)			
	Th/Pr								Clarity of expectations of students	3.78	
									Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Following: (Technical content/course content, Communication skills, Feedback provided on Students progress	3.67	
1	Regularity in the Class conduction	4.00	N.A	N.A	N.A	4.00	N.A		Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by	3.44	
2	Delivery of Course Content	3.95	N.A	N.A	N.A	3.95	N.A		Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus?	3.56	
3	Teaching Methodology Used for Understanding Concepts	3.57	N.A	N.A	N.A	3.57	N.A		Motivation and inspiration for students to learn	3.67	
4	Understanding of Course Objectives	3.67	N.A	N.A	N.A	3.67	N.A		Pace on which contents were covered	3.67	
5	Overall command on Class & Subject	3.62	N.A	N.A	N.A	3.62	N.A		Support for the development of Students skill	3.67	
6	Effectiveness in meeting the course outline	3.62	N.A	N.A	N.A	3.62	N.A		Willingness to offer help and advice to students	3.67	
7	Clarity given in evaluation format	3.76	N.A	N.A	N.A	3.76	N.A				
8	At what level Course meets the expectation(Throgh ICT Mode)	3.67	N.A	N.A	N.A	3.67	N.A				
	Average	3.73	N.A	N.A	N.A	3.73	N.A		Average (Converted to Rating (05))	3.67	
	Total Avg	3.73								Total Avg (Converted to Rating (05))	3.67
		Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes(%)	No(%)				
9	Aware about the course outline (Yes/No)	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A		Overall Rating		
10	Aware about evaluation format (Yes/No)	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A		3.70		
11	Course meets the expectation (Yes/No)	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A				

Suggestions for Improvement : *



Dr. V.M. Natraj
HOD, CE Dept.


Dr. S. D. Kalpande
Academic Dean

Dean Academics
Guru Gobind Singh College of
Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik


Dr. N. G. Nikam
Principal




Dr. N. G. Nikam
Principal

Guru Gobind Singh College of Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik
Student's Feedback Report (ODD Term)

Academic Year: 2020-2021 SEM-I	Program : CE
--------------------------------	--------------


Name of Teacher: Mr. A. G. Chaudhari

Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject
(Very Poor: 1, Poor: 2, Average: 3, Good: 4, Excellent: 5)

S. N.	Question	Name of Subject	IECT										Parameter	IECT (A)	
			Th Div -A		Th Div - B		Pr		Average		Pr	Rating (05)			
			Rating (05)	No	Yes	Rating (05)	No	Yes	Rating (05)	No					Yes
		Th/Pr													
1	Regularity in the Class conduction		4.00	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	4.00	N.A	N.A	3.78	Clarity of expectations of students	3.78	
2	Delivery of Course Content		3.95	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	3.95	N.A	N.A	3.67	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Following: (Technical content/course content, Communication skills, Feedback provided on Students progress	3.67		
3	teaching Methodology Used for Understanding Concepts		3.57	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	3.57	N.A	N.A	3.89	Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by	3.44		
4	Understanding of Course Objectives		3.67	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	3.67	N.A	N.A	3.56	Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus?	3.56		
5	Overall command on Class & Subject		3.62	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	3.62	N.A	N.A	3.67	Motivation and inspiration for students to learn	3.67		
6	Effectiveness in meeting the course outline		3.62	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	3.62	N.A	N.A	3.67	Pace on which contents were covered	3.67		
7	Clarity given in evaluation format		3.76	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	3.76	N.A	N.A	3.67	Support for the development of Students skill	3.67		
8	At what level Course meets the expectation(Through ICT Mode)		3.67	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	3.67	N.A	N.A	3.67	Willingness to offer help and advice to students	3.67		
	Average		3.73	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	3.73	N.A	N.A		Average (Converted to Rating (05))	3.67		
	Total Avg		3.73										Total Avg (Converted to Rating (05))	3.67	
9	Aware about the course outline (Yes/No)		Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes(%)	No(%)		Overall Rating 3.70			
10	Aware about evaluation format (Yes/No)		N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A					
11	Course meets the expectation (Yes/No)		N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A					

Suggestions for Improvement : *


Dr. V.M. Natraj
HOD, CE Dept.


Dr. S. D. Kalpande
Academic Dean

Dean Academics
Guru Gobind Singh College of
Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik


Dr. N. G. Nikam
Principal

PRINCIPAL
GURU GOBIND SINGH COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik



Guru Gobind Singh College of Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik
Student's Feedback Report (ODD Term)


Academic Year: 2020-2021 SEM-I Program : CE

Name of Teacher: Mrs. P. A. Padalkar

Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject
 (Very Poor: 1, Poor: 2, Average: 3, Good: 4, Excellent: 5)

S. N. Question	Name of Subject	Rating (05)										Online				
		--					FM-II					Parameter	Rating FM-II			
		Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr			Average		
	Th/Pr	Rating (05)	Rating (05)	Rating (05)	Rating (05)	Rating (05)	Rating (05)	Rating (05)	Rating (05)	Rating (05)	Rating (05)	Rating (05)	Rating (05)	Rating (05)	Rating (05)	Rating (05)
1	Regularity in the Class conduction	N.A	N.A	4.28	4.28	N.A	N.A	4.28	4.28	N.A	N.A	4.28	4.28	4.00	4.00	4.00
2	Delivery of Course Content	N.A	N.A	4.22	4.22	N.A	N.A	4.22	4.22	N.A	N.A	4.22	4.22	4.18	4.18	4.18
3	Teaching Methodology Used for Understanding Concepts	N.A	N.A	4.28	4.28	N.A	N.A	4.28	4.28	N.A	N.A	4.28	4.28	4.09	4.09	4.09
4	Understanding of Course Objectives	N.A	N.A	4.39	4.39	N.A	N.A	4.39	4.39	N.A	N.A	4.39	4.39	4.00	4.00	4.00
5	Overall command on Class & Subject	N.A	N.A	4.28	4.28	N.A	N.A	4.28	4.28	N.A	N.A	4.28	4.28	4.18	4.18	4.18
6	Effectiveness in meeting the course outline	N.A	N.A	4.33	4.33	N.A	N.A	4.33	4.33	N.A	N.A	4.33	4.33	4.27	4.27	4.27
7	Clarity given in evaluation format	N.A	N.A	4.22	4.22	N.A	N.A	4.22	4.22	N.A	N.A	4.22	4.22			
8	At what level Course meets the expectation(Throgh ICT Mode)	N.A	N.A	4.22	4.22	N.A	N.A	4.22	4.22	N.A	N.A	4.22	4.22			
	Average	N.A	N.A	4.28	4.28	N.A	N.A	4.28	4.28	N.A	N.A	4.28	4.28	4.11	4.11	4.11
	Total Avg	4.28										Average (Converted to Rating (05))	4.11			
		Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Overall Rating		4.19
9	Aware about the course outline (Yes/No)	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	4.19		
10	Aware about evaluation format (Yes/No)	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	4.19		
11	Course meets the expectation (Yes/No)	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	4.19		

Suggestions for Improvement : *


Dr. V. M. Natraj
 HOD, CE Dept.


Dr. S. D. Kalpande
 Academic Dean
Dean Academics

Guru Gobind Singh College of Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik


Dr. N. G. Nikam
 Principal

PRINCIPAL
GURU GOBIND SINGH COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING & RESEARCH CENTRE, NASHIK



Guru Gobind Singh College of Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik
Student's Feedback Report (ODD Term)

Academic Year: 2020-2021 SEM-I Program : CE

Name of Teacher: Mrs. V. S. Bhalerao

Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject
(Very Poor: 1, Poor: 2, Average: 3, Good: 4, Excellent: 5)

S. N. Question	Name of Subject	Offline										Online		
		SA-II					EQ					Parameter	Rating (05) EQ (A+B)	Rating (05) SA-II
		Th	Pr	Rating (05)	Th	Pr	Rating (05)	Th	Pr	Rating (05)	Average			
1	Regularity in the Class conduction	4.50	N.A	4.43	4.05	4.33	N.A						8.80	3.64
2	Delivery of Course Content	4.28	N.A	4.43	3.86	4.19	N.A						8.80	3.64
3	Teaching Methodology Used for Understanding Concepts	4.28	N.A	4.29	3.90	4.16	N.A						8.80	3.64
4	Understanding of Course Objectives	4.44	N.A	4.29	3.86	4.20	N.A						8.80	3.45
5	Overall command on Class & Subject	4.39	N.A	4.29	3.95	4.21	N.A						8.80	3.82
6	Effectiveness in meeting the course outline	4.28	N.A	4.29	3.86	4.14	N.A						8.80	3.36
7	Clarity given in evaluation format	4.33	N.A	4.43	3.95	4.24	N.A							
8	At what level Course meets the expectation(Throgh ICT Mode)	4.28	N.A	4.43	4.00	4.24	N.A						8.80	3.73
Average		4.35	N.A	4.36	3.93	4.21	N.A						4.40	3.63
Total Avg		4.35					4.14					4.21		4.01
Suggestions for Improvement : *		Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes (%)	No (%)						Overall Rating 4.11	
9	Aware about the course outline (Yes/No)	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A							
10	Aware about evaluation format (Yes/No)	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A							
11	Course meets the expectation (Yes/No)	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A							



Dr. V. M. Natraj
HOD, CE Dept.

Dr. S. D. Kalpande
Academic Dean

Dr. N. G. Nikam
Principal

Dean Academics
Guru Gobind Singh College of
Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik

PRINCIPAL
GURU GOBIND SINGH COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
& RESEARCH CENTRE, NASHIK

Guru Gobind Singh College of Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik
Student's Feedback Report (ODD Term)

Program : CE


Academic Year: 2020-21 SEM-II

Name of Teacher: Mr. D. S. Desale

Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject
(Very Poor: 1, Poor: 2, Average: 3, Good: 4, Excellent: 5)

S. N. Question	Name of Subject	Offline						Online		Rating SD-I	Rating SD-III
		SD-I			SD-III			Parameter	Rating SD-I		
		Th	Pr	Average	Th	Pr	Average				
	Th/Pr										
		Rating (05)						Rating (05)			
1	Regularity in the Class conduction	4.33	N.A	4.38	N.A	4.36	N.A		4.44	4.20	
2	Delivery of Course Content	4.10	N.A	4.10	N.A	4.10	N.A		4.56	4.20	
3	Teaching Methodology Used for Understanding Concepts	4.10	N.A	4.10	N.A	4.10	N.A		4.33	4.00	
4	Understanding of Course Objectives	4.10	N.A	4.10	N.A	4.10	N.A		4.67	4.20	
5	Overall command on Class & Subject	3.95	N.A	4.10	N.A	4.02	N.A		4.56	4.20	
6	Effectiveness in meeting the course outline	3.95	N.A	4.05	N.A	4.00	N.A		4.67	4.20	
7	Clarity given in evaluation format	3.95	N.A	4.05	N.A	4.00	N.A		4.56	4.20	
8	At what level Course meets the expectation(Throug ICT Mode)	4.19	N.A	4.05	N.A	4.12	N.A		4.56	4.20	
	Average	4.08	N.A	4.11	N.A	4.10	N.A		4.51	4.13	
	Total Avg	4.10						4.32			
		Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes(%)	No(%)	Overall Rating			
9	Aware about the course outline (Yes/No)	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	4.21			
10	Aware about evaluation format (Yes/No)	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A				
11	Course meets the expectation (Yes/No)	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A				

Suggestions for Improvement : *


Dr. V.M. Natraj
HOD, CE Dept.


Dr. S. D. Kalpande
Academic Dean
Dean Academics

Guru Gobind Singh College of Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik


Dr. N. G. Nikam
Principal

PRINCIPAL
GURU GOBIND SINGH COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING & RESEARCH CENTRE, NASHIK



Guru Gobind Singh College of Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik
Student's Feedback Report (ODD Term)

Academic Year: 2020-21 SEM-II Program : CE


Name of Teacher: Mr. D. S. Desale

Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject
(Very Poor: 1, Poor: 2, Average: 3, Good: 4, Excellent: 5)


S. N.	Question	Offline						Online		
		Rating						Parameter	Rating SD-I	Rating SD-III
		SD-I		SD-III		Average				
Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Rating (05)	Rating (05)	
	Name of Subject	Rating (05)		Rating (05)		Rating (05)				
	Th/Pr	Rating (05)		Rating (05)		Rating (05)				
1	Regularity in the Class conduction	4.33	N.A	4.38	N.A	4.36	N.A	4.44	4.20	
2	Delivery of Course Content	4.10	N.A	4.10	N.A	4.10	N.A	4.56	4.20	
3	Teaching Methodology Used for Understanding Concepts	4.10	N.A	4.10	N.A	4.10	N.A	4.33	4.20	
4	Understanding of Course Objectives	4.10	N.A	4.10	N.A	4.10	N.A	4.67	4.20	
5	Overall command on Class & Subject	3.95	N.A	4.10	N.A	4.02	N.A	4.56	4.20	
6	Effectiveness in meeting the course outline	3.95	N.A	4.05	N.A	4.00	N.A	4.67	4.20	
7	Clarity given in evaluation format	3.95	N.A	4.05	N.A	4.00	N.A	4.56	4.20	
8	At what level Course meets the expectation(Throgh ICT Mode)	4.19	N.A	4.05	N.A	4.12	N.A	4.51	4.13	
	Average	4.08	N.A	4.11	N.A	4.10	N.A	4.51	4.13	
	Total Avg					4.10		4.32		
		Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes(%)	No(%)	Overall Rating 4.21		
9	Aware about the course outline (Yes/No)	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A			
10	Aware about evaluation format (Yes/No)	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A			
11	Course meets the expectation (Yes/No)	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A			

Suggestions for Improvement : *


Dr. V.M. Natraj
HOD, CE Dept.


Dr. S. D. Kalpande
Academic Dean
Dean Academics

Guru Gobind Singh College of Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik


Dr. N. G. Nikam
Principal

PRINCIPAL
GURU GOBIND SINGH COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING & RESEARCH CENTRE, NASHIK



Guru Gobind Singh College of Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik
Student's Feedback Report (ODD Term)

Academic Year: 2020-2021 SEM-I	Program : CE
--------------------------------	--------------

Name of Teacher: Mr. C. G. Joshi

Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject
 (Very Poor: 1, Poor: 2, Average: 3, Good: 4, Excellent: 5)

S. N. Question	Offline										Online		
	Name of Subject	TRE -Div-A					Rating					Parameter	Rating TRE
		Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Average	Th	Pr	Th	Pr			
1	Regularity in the Class conduction	4.29	N.A	N.A	N.A	4.29	N.A	4.29	N.A	N.A	Clarity of expectations of students	4.00	
2	Delivery of Course Content	4.14	N.A	N.A	N.A	4.14	N.A	4.14	N.A	N.A	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Following	4.00	
3	Teaching Methodology Used for Understanding Concepts	4.57	N.A	N.A	N.A	4.57	N.A	4.57	N.A	N.A	Feedback provided on Students progress	4.20	
4	Understanding of Course Objectives	4.43	N.A	N.A	N.A	4.43	N.A	4.43	N.A	N.A	Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University?	4.40	
5	Overall command on Class & Subject	4.29	N.A	N.A	N.A	4.29	N.A	4.29	N.A	N.A	Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus?	4.40	
6	Effectiveness in meeting the course outline	4.29	N.A	N.A	N.A	4.29	N.A	4.29	N.A	N.A	Motivation and inspiration for students	4.40	
7	Clarity given in evaluation format	4.29	N.A	N.A	N.A	4.29	N.A	4.29	N.A	N.A	Pace on which contents were covered	4.40	
8	At what level Course meets the expectation(Throgh ICT Mode)	4.14	N.A	N.A	N.A	4.14	N.A	4.14	N.A	N.A	Support for the development of Students skill	4.00	
	Average	4.30	N.A	N.A	N.A	4.30	N.A	4.30	N.A	N.A	Willingness to offer help and advice to students	4.40	
	Total Avg	4.30										Average (Converted to Rating (05))	4.24
		Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes(%)	No	Yes(%)	No	No(%)	Overall Rating	4.27	
9	Aware about the course outline (Yes/No)	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A			
10	Aware about evaluation format (Yes/No)	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A			
11	Course meets the expectation (Yes/No)	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A			
Suggestions for Improvement : *													



Dr. N. G. Nikam
 Principal

Dr. S. D. Kalpande
 Academic Dean

Dean Academics
Guru Gobind Singh College of Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik

PROVINCIAL
GURU GOBIND SINGH COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
& RESEARCH CENTRE, NASHIK

Guru Gobind Singh College of Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik
Student's Feedback Report (ODD Term)

Academic Year: 2020-2021 SEM-I

Program : C.P

Name of Teacher: Ms.A.H.Vanjari

Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject
 (Very Poor: 1, Poor: 2, Average: 3, Good: 4, Excellent: 5)

S. N. Question	Offline										Online				
	Name of Subject		Rating				Average				Parameter	Rating IECT (Div-B)			
	Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr					
1	Regularity in the Class conduction	4.39	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	4.39	N.A	N.A	N.A	4.27	Clarity of expectations of students	4.27	
2	Delivery of Course Content	4.06	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	4.06	N.A	N.A	N.A	4.18	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Following:	4.18	
3	Teaching Methodology Used for Understanding Concepts	4.06	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	4.06	N.A	N.A	N.A	4.27	Feedback provided on Students progress	4.27	
4	Understanding of Course Objectives	4.17	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	4.17	N.A	N.A	N.A	4.36	Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University?	4.36	
5	Overall command on Class & Subject	4.22	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	4.22	N.A	N.A	N.A	4.27	Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus?	4.27	
6	Effectiveness in meeting the course outline	4.11	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	4.11	N.A	N.A	N.A	4.36	Motivation and inspiration for students to learn	4.36	
7	Clarity given in evaluation format	4.17	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	4.17	N.A	N.A	N.A	4.27	Pace on which contents were covered	4.27	
8	At what level Course meets the expectation(Through ICT Mode)	4.06	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	4.06	N.A	N.A	N.A	4.28	Support for the development of Students skill (Practical demonstration, Hands on training, Case Study / Other)	4.28	
	Average	4.15	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	4.15	N.A	N.A	N.A	4.28	Willingness to offer help and advice to students	4.28	
	Total Avg	4.15	#DIV/0!				4.15	#DIV/0!				4.28	Average (Converted to Rating (05))	4.28	
		Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes(%)	No(%)	Yes(%)	No(%)	4.22	Total Avg (Converted to Rating (05))	4.22	
9	Aware about the course outline (Yes/No)	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	Overall Rating	4.22		
10	Aware about evaluation format (Yes/No)	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	4.22			
11	Course meets the expectation (Yes/No)	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A				
Suggestions for Improvement :															



Dr. V. M. Natraj
 HOD, CE Dept.

Dr. S. D. Kalpande
 Academic Dean

Dr. N. G. Nikam
 Principal

Dean Academics
 Guru Gobind Singh College of
 Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik

PRINCIPAL
 GURU GOBIND SINGH COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
 & RESEARCH CENTRE, NASHIK

Guru Gobind Singh College of Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik
Student's Feedback Report (ODD Term)

Program : CE

Academic Year: 2020-2021 SEM-I

Name of Teacher: Ms.K.T.Tambe

Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject
(Very Poor: 1, Poor: 2, Average: 3, Good: 4, Excellent: 5)

S. N.	Question	Offline						Online		Rating 'EE-II (Div-B)	Rating 'EE-II (Div-A)
		EE-II (Div-A)		EE-II (Div-B)		Average		Parameter			
	Name of Subject	Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr		Th	Pr	
	Th/Pr	Rating (05)		Rating (05)		Rating (05)		Rating (05)			
1	Regularity in the Class conduction	4.00	N.A	4.10	N.A	4.05	N.A			4.40	4.20
2	Delivery of Course Content	4.00	N.A	3.76	N.A	3.88	N.A			4.40	4.40
3	teaching methodology used for understanding Concepts	4.14	N.A	3.86	N.A	4.00	N.A			4.40	4.40
4	Understanding of Course Objectives	4.14	N.A	3.86	N.A	4.00	N.A			4.40	4.40
5	Overall command on Class & Subject	4.43	N.A	4.05	N.A	4.24	N.A			4.40	4.40
6	Effectiveness in meeting the course outline	4.43	N.A	4.24	N.A	4.33	N.A				
7	Clarity given in evaluation format	4.43	N.A	3.86	N.A	4.14	N.A			4.40	4.40
8	At what level Course meets the expectation(Throgh ICT Mode)	4.00	N.A	3.86	N.A	3.93	N.A				
	Average	4.20	N.A	3.95	N.A	4.07	N.A	Average (Converted to Rating (05))		4.38	4.38
	Total Avg	4.20		3.95		4.07		Total Avg (Converted to Rating (05))		4.38	4.38
		Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes(%)	No(%)	Overall Rating			
9	Aware about the course outline (Yes/No)	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	4.28			
10	Aware about evaluation format (Yes/No)	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A				
11	Course meets the expectation (Yes/No)	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A				

Suggestions for Improvement : *


Dr. V.M. Natraj
HOD, CE Dept.


Dr. S. D. Kalpande
Deaf Academics
Guru Gobind Singh College of
Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik


Dr. N. G. Nikam
Principal
PRINCIPAL
GURU GOBIND SINGH COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
& RESEARCH CENTRE, NASHIK



Guru Gobind Singh College of Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik
Student's Feedback Report (ODD Term)

Academic Year: 2020-2021 SEM-I

Program : C.E

Name of Teacher: Mr. P.P.Kadam

Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject
(Very Poor: 1, Poor: 2, Average: 3, Good: 4, Excellent: 5)

S. N. Question	Offline						Online		Rating EG
	Name of Subject		EG		Rating		Parameter		
	Th/Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Average			
		Rating (05)	Rating (05)	Rating (05)	Rating (05)	Rating (05)	Clarity of expectations of students	3.73	
							Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Following:	3.73	
							Feedback provided on Students progress	3.67	
1	Regularity in the Class conduction	4.00	N.A	N.A	N.A	4.00	Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University?	3.60	
2	Delivery of Course Content	4.06	N.A	N.A	N.A	4.06	Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus?	3.60	
3	Teaching Methodology Used for Understanding Concepts	4.06	N.A	N.A	N.A	4.06	Motivation and inspiration for students to learn	3.60	
4	Understanding of Course Objectives	4.11	N.A	N.A	N.A	4.11	Pace on which contents were covered	3.60	
5	Overall command on Class & Subject	4.06	N.A	N.A	N.A	4.06	Support for the development of Students skill (Practical demonstration, Hands on training, Case Study / Other)	3.67	
6	Effectiveness in meeting the course outline	4.06	N.A	N.A	N.A	4.06	Willingness to offer help and advice to students		
7	Clarity given in evaluation format	4.00	N.A	N.A	N.A	4.00			
8	At what level Course meets the expectation(Throug ICT Mode)	3.94	N.A	N.A	N.A	3.94			
	Average	4.03	N.A	N.A	N.A	4.03	Average (Converted to Rating (05))	3.65	
	Total Avg	4.03		#DIV/0!		4.03	Total Avg (Converted to Rating (05))	3.65	
9	Aware about the course outline (Yes/No)	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes(%)	Overall Rating		
		N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A			
10	Aware about evaluation format (Yes/No)	Yes	No	Yes	No	No(%)			
		N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A			
11	Course meets the expectation (Yes/No)	Yes	No	Yes	No				
		N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A				
Suggestions for Improvement : *									



P.V.
Dr. V. M. Natraj
 HOD, CE Dept.

K.P.
Dr. S. P. Kalpande
 Academic Dean

S.N.
Dr. N. G. Nikam
 Principal

Dean Academics
 Guru Gobind Singh College of
 Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik

PRINCIPAL
GURU GOBIND SINGH COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
& RESEARCH CENTRE, NASHIK

Guru Gobind Singh College of Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik
Student's Feedback Report (ODD Term)

Academic Year: 2020-2021 SEM-I Program : CE

Name of Teacher: Mrs. T. A. Kulkarni

Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject
(Very Poor: 1, Poor: 2, Average: 3, Good: 4, Excellent: 5)

S. N. Question	Name of Subject Th/Pr	Online										ATP (B)	TRE
		ATP Div B		TRE		Average		Parameter	TRE				
		Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr						
1	Regularity in the Class conduction	4.19	N.A	3.95	N.A	4.07	N.A	Clarity of expectations of students	4.40	4.00			
2	Delivery of Course Content	4.05	N.A	4.00	N.A	4.02	N.A	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of	4.60	4.00			
3	Teaching Methodology, Used for Understanding Concepts	4.10	N.A	3.90	N.A	4.00	N.A	Feedback provided on Students progress	4.60	4.20			
4	Understanding of Course Objectives	4.05	N.A	3.90	N.A	3.98	N.A	Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as	4.40	4.40			
5	Overall command on Class & Subject	4.05	N.A	3.95	N.A	4.00	N.A	Has the Teacher covered relevant topics	4.60	4.40			
6	Effectiveness in meeting the course outline	4.10	N.A	3.95	N.A	4.02	N.A	Motivation and inspiration for students to	4.40	4.40			
7	Clarity given in evaluation format	4.10	N.A	3.81	N.A	3.95	N.A	Pace on which contents were covered	4.60	4.40			
8	At what level Course meets the expectation(Through ICT Mode)	4.10	N.A	3.86	N.A	3.98	N.A	Support for the development of Students	4.40	4.00			
	Average	4.09	N.A	3.92	N.A	4.00	N.A	Willingness to offer help and advice to students	4.51	4.24			
	Total Avg	4.00						Overall Rating 4.19		4.38			
9	Aware about the course outline (Yes/No)	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes(%)	No(%)						
10	Aware about evaluation format (Yes/No)	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A						
11	Course meets the expectation (Yes/No)	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A						

Suggestions for Improvement : *


Dr. V. M. Natraj
HOD, CE Dept.


Dr. S. D. Kalpande
Academic Dean



Dean Academics
Guru Gobind Singh College of
Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik


Dr. N. G. Nikam
Principal

PRINCIPAL
GURU GOBIND SINGH COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
& RESEARCH CENTRE, NASHIK

Guru Gobind Singh College of Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik
Student's Feedback Report (ODD Term)

Program : CE

Academic Year: 2020-2021 SEM-I


Name of Teacher: Mr. P. B. Shinde

Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject
(Very Poor: 1, Poor: 2, Average: 3, Good: 4, Excellent: 5)

S.N.	Question	Offline										Online		
		SD I					SD-III					Parameter	Rating SD I	Rating SD-III
		Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Average Rating (05)				
1	Regularity in the Class conduction	4.28	N.A	4.71	N.A	4.50	N.A	4.23	N.A	4.00	4.00	3.64	4.20	
2	Delivery of Course Content	4.17	N.A	4.29	N.A	4.23	N.A	4.14	N.A	4.00	3.91	3.64	4.20	
3	Teaching Methodology Used for Understanding Concepts	4.28	N.A	4.00	N.A	4.14	N.A	4.15	N.A	4.15	3.82	4.00	4.20	
4	Understanding of Course Objectives	4.17	N.A	4.43	N.A	4.30	N.A	4.24	N.A	4.28	4.00	3.80	4.00	
5	Overall command on Class & Subject	4.06	N.A	4.43	N.A	4.24	N.A	4.28	N.A	4.28	3.82	4.00	4.20	
6	Effectiveness in meeting the course outline	4.28	N.A	4.29	N.A	4.28	N.A	4.33	N.A	4.27	3.82	4.00	4.20	
7	Clarity given in evaluation format	4.22	N.A	4.43	N.A	4.27	N.A	4.27	N.A	4.27	3.83	4.13	4.13	
8	At what level Course meets the expectation(Through ICT Mode)	4.20	N.A	4.34	N.A	4.27	N.A	4.27	N.A	4.27	3.98	4.13	4.13	
Average		4.20		4.34		4.27		4.27		4.27		3.98		
Total Avg		4.20		4.34		4.27		4.27		4.27		3.98		
Yes		N.A		N.A		N.A		N.A		N.A		N.A		
No		N.A		N.A		N.A		N.A		N.A		N.A		
Yes(%)		N.A		N.A		N.A		N.A		N.A		N.A		
No(%)		N.A		N.A		N.A		N.A		N.A		N.A		
Average		4.20		4.34		4.27		4.27		4.27		3.98		
Total Avg (Converted to Rating (05))		4.20		4.34		4.27		4.27		4.27		3.98		
Overall Rating		4.13		4.13		4.13		4.13		4.13		4.13		

Suggestions for Improvement : *


Dr. V. M. Natraj
HOD, CE Dept.


Dr. S. D. Kalpande
Academic Dean

Dean Academics
Guru Gobind Singh College of
Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik




Dr. N. G. Nikam
Principal

PRINCIPAL
GURU GOBIND SINGH COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
& RESEARCH CENTRE, NASHIK

Guru Gobind Singh College of Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik
Student's Feedback Report (ODD Term)

Program : CE

Academic Year: 2020-2021 SEM-I

Name of Teacher: Mr. R. K. Paikrao

Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject
(Very Poor: 1, Poor: 2, Average: 3, Good: 4, Excellent: 5)

S. N.	Question	Name of Subject	Offline										Online				
			FM					SA-II					Average	Rating FM	Rating SA II		
			Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr					
		Th/Pr	Rating (05)	Rating (05)	Rating (05)	Rating (05)	Rating (05)	Rating (05)	Rating (05)	Rating (05)	Rating (05)	Rating (05)	Rating (05)	Rating (05)	Rating (05)	Rating (05)	Rating (05)
1	Regularity in the Class conduction		4.24	N.A	4.28	N.A	4.26	N.A	4.26	N.A	4.26	N.A	4.07	4.44			
2	Delivery of Course Content		4.19	N.A	4.28	N.A	4.23	N.A	4.23	N.A	4.23	N.A	4.13	4.44			
3	Teaching Methodology Used for Understanding Concepts		4.24	N.A	4.17	N.A	4.20	N.A	4.20	N.A	4.20	N.A	4.00	4.56			
4	Understanding of Course Objectives		4.00	N.A	4.39	N.A	4.19	N.A	4.19	N.A	4.19	N.A	4.07	4.22			
5	Overall command on Class & Subject		4.14	N.A	4.39	N.A	4.27	N.A	4.27	N.A	4.27	N.A	3.93	4.44			
6	Effectiveness in meeting the course outline		4.10	N.A	4.33	N.A	4.21	N.A	4.21	N.A	4.21	N.A	3.80	4.44			
7	Clarity given in evaluation format		4.14	N.A	4.22	N.A	4.18	N.A	4.18	N.A	4.18	N.A	3.93	4.33			
8	At what level Course meets the expectation(Through ICT Mode)		4.10	N.A	4.17	N.A	4.13	N.A	4.13	N.A	4.13	N.A	3.87	4.44			
	Average		4.14	N.A	4.28	N.A	4.21	N.A	4.21	N.A	4.21	N.A	3.99	4.41			
	Total Avg		4.14		4.28		4.21		4.21		4.21		4.20				
			Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes(%)	No(%)	Yes(%)	No(%)	Yes(%)	No(%)	Overall Rating				
9	Aware about the course outline (Yes/No)		N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	4.21				
10	Aware about evaluation format (Yes/No)		N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A					
11	Course meets the expectation (Yes/No)		N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A					
Suggestions for Improvement : *																	


Dr. V. M. Natraj
HOD, CE Dept.


Dr. S. D. Kalpande
Academic Dean
Dean Academics
Guru Gobind Singh College of
Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik




Dr. N. G. Niskam
Principal
PRINCIPAL
GURU GOBIND SINGH COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
& RESEARCH CENTRE, NASHIK

Guru Gobind Singh College of Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik
Student's Feedback Report (ODD)

Program : CE

Academic Year: 2020-2021 (ODD)

Name of Teacher: Ms.S.S.Salkade

S. N. Question	Offline		Online		Rating
	Rating		Parameter		
	PBL Th	Average Th			
	Rating (05)	Rating (05)	Clarity of expectations of students		3.73
			Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Following:		3.87
			Feedback provided on Students progress		3.80
1	4.47	4.47	Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University?		4.00
2	4.17	4.17	Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus?		3.80
3	4.06	4.06	Motivation and inspiration for students to learn		3.80
4	4.17	4.17	Pace on which contents were covered		3.73
5	4.22	4.22	Support for the development of Students skill (Practical demonstration, Hands on training, Case Study / Other)		3.80
6	4.17	4.17	Willingness to offer help and advice to students		
7	4.28	4.28			3.80
8	4.06	4.06			
	Average	4.20	Average (Converted to Rating (05))		3.81
	Total Avg	4.20	Total Avg (Converted to Rating (05))		3.81
	Yes	Yes(%)	Overall Rating		
9	N.A	N.A	4.01		
10	N.A	N.A			
11	N.A	N.A			
Suggestions for Improvement : *					


Dr. V. M. Natraj
HOD, CE Dept.


Dr. S. D. Kalpande
Academic Dean


Dr. N. G. Nikam
Principal

Dean Academics
Guru Gobind Singh College of
Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik

PRINCIPAL
GURU GOBIND SINGH COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
& RESEARCH CENTRE, NASHIK



Guru Gobind Singh College of Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik
Student's Feedback Report (ODD)


Academic Year: 2020-2021 (ODD)

Program : CE

Name of Teacher: Mr. V.M.Gaikwad

S. N.	Question	Offline		Online		Rating
		M-III Th	Average Th	Parameter	Rating	
	Name of Subject	M-III Th	Average Th	Clarity of expectations of students		3.73
		Rating (05)	Rating (05)	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Following:		3.87
		4.47	4.47	Feedback provided on Students progress		3.80
1	Regularity in the Class conduction	4.17	4.17	Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University?		4.00
2	Delivery of Course Content	4.06	4.06	Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus?		3.80
3	Teaching Methodology Used for Understanding Concepts	4.17	4.17	Motivation and inspiration for students to learn		3.80
4	Understanding of Course Objectives	4.22	4.22	Pace on which contents were covered		3.73
5	Overall command on Class & Subject	4.17	4.17	Support for the development of Students skill		3.80
6	Effectiveness in meeting the course outline	4.28	4.28	(Practical demonstration, Hands on training, Case Study / Other)		
7	Clarity given in evaluation format	4.06	4.06	Willingness to offer help and advice to students		3.80
8	At what level Course meets the expectation(Through ICT Mode)	4.20	4.20			
	Average			Average (Converted to Rating (05))		3.81
	Total Avg	4.20	4.20	Total Avg (Converted to Rating (05))		3.81
		Yes	Yes(%)	Overall Rating		
9	Aware about the course outline (Yes/No)	N.A	N.A	4.01		
10	Aware about evaluation format (Yes/No)	N.A	N.A			
11	Course meets the expectation (Yes/No)	N.A	N.A			

Suggestions for Improvement : *


Dr. V. M. Natraj
HOD, CE Dept.


Dr. S. D. Kalpande
Academic Dean

Dean Academics
Guru Gobind Singh College of
Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik:


Dr. N. G. Nikam
Principal

PRINCIPAL
GURU GOBIND SINGH COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
& RESEARCH CENTRE, NASHIK



Guru Gobind Singh College of Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik
Student's Feedback Report (ODD Term)

Program : CE

Academic Year: 2020-21 Sem -I

Name of Teacher: Ms. V.V. Pawar.

Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject
 (Very Poor: 1, Poor: 2, Average: 3, Good: 4, Excellent: 5)

S. N. Question	Name of Subject	Offline						Online		
		BTM			TQM			Parameter	Rating BTAT	Rating TQM
		Th	Pr	Th (A)	Th (B)	Th	Pr			
	Th/Pr	Average								
		Rating (05)	Rating (05)	Rating (05)	Rating (05)	Rating (05)	Rating (05)			
1	Regularity in the Class conduction	4.33	N.A	3.71	3.90	3.98	N.A	Clarity of expectations of students	3.40	7.62
2	Delivery of Course Content	4.22	N.A	3.86	3.81	3.96	N.A	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Following:	3.33	7.67
3	Teaching Methodology Used for Understanding Concepts	4.06	N.A	3.71	3.81	3.86	N.A	Feedback provided on Students progress	3.33	7.52
4	Understanding of Course Objectives	4.06	N.A	3.86	3.81	3.91	N.A	Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University?	3.07	7.67
5	Overall command on Class & Subject	4.06	N.A	3.86	3.95	3.96	N.A	Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus?	3.13	7.81
6	Effectiveness in meeting the course outline	4.00	N.A	3.43	3.90	3.78	N.A	Motivation and inspiration for students to learn	3.53	7.33
7	Clarity given in evaluation format	4.00	N.A	3.57	3.81	3.79	N.A	Pace on which contents were covered	3.13	7.38
8	At what level Course meets the expectation(Throug ICT Mode)	3.94	N.A	4.00	3.81	3.92	N.A	Support for the development of Students skill	3.27	7.81
	Average	4.08	N.A	3.75	3.85	3.89	N.A	Willingness to offer help and advice to students	3.53	7.60
	Total Avg	4.08	4.08	3.80	3.80	3.89	3.89	Average (Converted to Rating (05))	3.30	3.80
		Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes(%)	No(%)	Total Avg (Converted to Rating (05))	3.55	
9	Aware about the course outline (Yes/No)	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	Overall Rating		3.72
10	Aware about evaluation format (Yes/No)	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A			
11	Course meets the expectation (Yes/No)	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A			



Dr. V. M. Natraj
 HOD, CE Dept.


Dr. S. D. Kalpande
 Academic Dean

Dean Academics
 Guru Gobind Singh College of
 Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik.


Dr. N. G. Nikam
 Principal

PRINCIPAL
 GURU GOBIND SINGH COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
 & RESEARCH CENTRE, NASHIK



Guru Gobind Singh Foundation's
Guru Gobind Singh College of Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik


Student's Feedback Report (2020-21 Even Sem)

CIVIL ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT


Overall Feedback

SR.NO	Name of Staff	Feedback (05)
1	Dr. N. G. Nikam	N.A.
2	Dr. V. M. Natraj	3.80
3	Dr. V. N. Kanthe	3.44
4	Mr. D. O. Bhavar	3.73
5	Mrs. P. A. Padalkar	3.54
6	Mr. A. G. Chaudhari	3.43
7	Mr. C. G. Joshi	3.74
8	Mrs. T. A. Kulkarni	3.50
9	Mr. P. B. Shinde	3.56
10	Mrs. V. S. Bhalerao	3.62
11	Mr. D. S. Desale	3.60
12	Mr. R. K. Paikrao	3.83
13	Mr. C. S. kankariya	N.A.
14	Ms.V.V.Pawar	3.16
15	Mr.P.P.Kadam	3.70
16	Ms.A.H.Vanjari	3.51
17	Ms. K.T.Tambe	3.82
18	Ms.S.S.Salkade	N.A.




Dr. V. M. Natraj
HOD Civil Engg.



Dr. S. D. Kalpande
Academic Dean
Dean Academics
Guru Gobind Singh College of
Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik


Dr. N. G. Nikam
Principal
PRINCIPAL
GURU GOBIND SINGH COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
& RESEARCH CENTRE, NASHIK

Guru Gobind Singh Foundation's
Guru Gobind Singh College of Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik
Student's Feedback Report for Institute (2020-21 Even Sem)

CIVIL ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT
Overall Feedback

Sr. No	Parameters	SE-A	TE-A	TE-B	BE-A	BE-B	Average
1	Laboratory Equipment and Training	3.26	3.82	3.44	3.42	3.89	3.57
2	Library	3.16	3.90	3.25	3.45	3.83	3.52
3	Play Ground & Sport Activities	3.21	3.67	3.03	3.28	3.76	3.39
4	Canteen	3.37	3.44	3.11	3.19	3.53	3.33
5	Co-curricular & Extra- curricular activities	3.16	3.62	3.31	3.31	3.79	3.44
6	Motivational Activities	3.16	3.84	3.47	3.42	3.85	3.55
7	Assessment of Examinations	3.37	3.77	3.56	3.53	3.81	3.61
8	Internet Facility	3.26	3.70	3.31	3.41	3.81	3.50
9	Training and Placement Support	3.21	3.69	3.25	3.28	3.80	3.45
10	Handling of Grievances of the Students	3.26	3.75	3.50	3.30	3.84	3.53
11	Safety and Security of the Students	3.32	3.98	3.64	3.50	3.89	3.67
12	Gender Equality Promotions	3.53	3.97	3.42	3.47	3.85	3.65
13	Campus Environment and Cleanness	3.63	3.97	3.39	3.50	3.92	3.68
14	Teaching Quality	3.53	3.92	3.67	3.47	3.89	3.69
15	Public perception about the Institute	3.53	3.87	3.58	3.42	3.88	3.66
16	Overall Ranking of the Institute	3.53	3.97	3.47	3.48	3.84	3.66
17	At what level Digital India is Promoted	3.37	3.87	3.44	3.45	3.80	3.59
18	Any Suggestions for Review and Design of Syllabus for Further Recommendations: (If No Write "No") and submit.	3.42	3.93	3.39	3.33	3.89	3.59


Dr. V. M. Natraj
HOD, CE Dept.


Dr. S. D. Kalpande
Academic Dean
Dean Academics
Guru Gobind Singh College of Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik


Dr. N. G. Nikam
Principal
PRINCIPAL
GURU GOBIND SINGH COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
RESEARCH CENTRE, NASHIK.



Name of Teacher: Dr.V.M.Natraj

Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject
(Very Poor: 1, Poor: 2, Average: 3, Good: 4, Excellent: 5)

S. N.	Name of Subject	Offline						Online (ERP)		
		Q SCT -Div A			Rating (05)			Parameter	Rating QSCT -Div A	
		Th	Pr	Average	Th	Pr	Average			
	Th/Pr									
	Parameter	Rating (05)	Rating (05)	Rating (05)	Th	Pr	Th	Pr		
1	Regularity in the Class conduction	3.81	3.81	N.A	N.A	N.A	3.81	3.81	3.80	
2	Delivery of Course Content	3.83	3.83	N.A	N.A	N.A	3.83	3.83	3.88	
3	Teaching Methodology Used for Understanding Concepts	3.78	3.78	N.A	N.A	N.A	3.78	3.78	3.77	
4	Understanding of Course Objectives	3.81	3.81	N.A	N.A	N.A	3.81	3.81	3.81	
5	Overall command on Class & Subject	3.81	3.81	N.A	N.A	N.A	3.81	3.81	3.72	
6	Effectiveness in meeting the course outline	3.83	3.83	N.A	N.A	N.A	3.83	3.83	3.78	
7	Clarity given in evaluation format	3.81	3.81	N.A	N.A	N.A	3.81	3.81	3.77	
8	At what level Course meets the expectation(Through ICT Mode)	3.86	3.86	N.A	N.A	N.A	3.86	3.86	3.80	
	Average	3.82	3.82	N.A	N.A	N.A	3.82	3.82	3.79	
	Total Avg	3.82						Total Avg (Converted to Rating (05))		3.79
		Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes(%)	No(%)	Overall Rating		
9	Aware about the course outline (Yes/No)	55.00	4.00			93.22	6.78	3.80		
10	Aware about evaluation format (Yes/No)	56.00	3.00			94.92	5.08			
11	Course meets the expectation (Yes/No)	55.00	2.00			96.49	3.51			
Suggestions for Improvement : *										



[Signature]
Dr. V. M. Natraj

[Signature]
Dr. S. D. Kalpande
Dean Academics
Guru Gobind Singh College of Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik

[Signature]
Dr. N. G. Nikam
PRINCIPAL

Academic Year: 2020-2021 (Even)

Name of Teacher: Dr. V.N.Kanthe

Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject
(Very Poor: 1, Poor: 2, Average: 3, Good: 4, Excellent: 5)

S. N.	Name of Subject	Offline										Online (ERP)		
		CT -Div A		QSCT (A&B)		EE-I		Average		Parameter	Rating CT -Div A	Rating		
		Th	Pr	Pr	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr					
		Rating (05)		Rating (05)		Rating (05)		Rating (05)						
1	Regularity in the Class conduction	3.63	3.63	3.84	4.08	3.63	3.85		Clarity of expectations of students	3.21		N.A		
2	Delivery of Course Content	3.42	3.42	3.84	4.13	3.42	3.80		Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Following: (Technical content/course content, Communication skills, Use of teaching aids)	3.37		N.A		
3	Teaching Methodology Used for Understanding Concepts	3.37	3.37	3.82	4.07	3.37	3.75		Feedback provided on Students progress	3.21		N.A		
4	Understanding of Course Objectives	3.37	3.37	3.84	4.10	3.37	3.77		Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University?	3.47		N.A		
5	Overall command on Class & Subject	3.21	3.21	3.84	4.05	3.21	3.70		Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus?	3.11		N.A		
6	Effectiveness in meeting the course outline	3.21	3.21	3.81	4.08	3.21	3.70		Motivation and inspiration for students to learn	3.26		N.A		
7	Clarity given in evaluation format	3.32	3.32	3.83	4.11	3.32	3.75		Pace on which contents were covered	3.21		N.A		
8	At what level Course meets the expectation(Through ICT Mode)	3.42	3.42	3.87	4.05	3.42	3.78		Support for the development of Students skill (Practical demonstration, Hands on Efforts for self Development Related to academics)	3.37		N.A		
	Average	3.37	3.37	3.84	4.08	3.37	3.76		Willingness to offer help and advice to students	3.42		N.A		
	Total Avg	3.57										Average (Converted to Rating (05))	3.31	--
		Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes(%)	No(%)	Overall Rating					3.44	
9	Aware about the course outline (Yes/No)	16.00	1.00			94.12	5.88							
10	Aware about evaluation format (Yes/No)	16.00	1.00			94.12	5.88							
11	Course meets the expectation (Yes/No)	15.00	2.00			88.24	11.76							
Suggestions for Improvement : *														



[Signature]
Dr. V. M. Natraj

Dr. S. D. Kalpande
Dean Academics

[Signature]

PRINCIPAL
GURU GOBIND SINGH COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
& RESEARCH CENTRE, NASHIK

Academic Year: 2020-2021 (Even)

Name of Teacher: Mr. D. O. Bhavar

Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject
(Very Poor: 1, Poor: 2, Average: 3, Good: 4, Excellent: 5)

S.N.	Name of Subject	Offline						Online (ERP)		
		QSCT -Div B			APC -Div A			Parameter	Rating QSCT -Div B	Rating APC -Div A
		Th	Pr	Average	Th	Pr	Average			
	Th/Pr									
	Parameter	Rating (05)		Rating (05)		Rating (05)				
1	Regularity in the Class conduction	3.87	3.87	3.59	3.59	3.73	3.73	3.85	3.56	
2	Delivery of Course Content	3.85	3.85	3.59	3.59	3.72	3.72	3.85	3.59	
3	Teaching Methodology Used for Understanding Concepts	3.87	3.87	3.58	3.58	3.72	3.72	3.91	3.53	
4	Understanding of Course Objectives	3.87	3.87	3.59	3.59	3.73	3.73	3.85	3.69	
5	Overall command on Class & Subject	3.87	3.87	3.63	3.63	3.75	3.75	3.85	3.61	
6	Effectiveness in meeting the course outline	3.79	3.79	3.59	3.59	3.69	3.69	3.92	3.63	
7	Clarity given in evaluation format	3.85	3.85	3.55	3.55	3.70	3.70	3.88	3.55	
8	At what level Course meets the expectation(Through ICT Mode)	3.88	3.88	3.58	3.58	3.73	3.73	3.92	3.64	
	Average	3.86	3.86	3.59	3.59	3.72	3.72	3.88	3.59	
	Total Avg	3.72						3.74		
	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes(%)	No(%)	Overall Rating			
9	Aware about the course outline (Yes/No)	69.00	1.00	44.00	9.00	91.87	8.13	3.73		
10	Aware about evaluation format (Yes/No)	69.00	1.00	47.00	6.00	94.31	5.69			
11	Course meets the expectation (Yes/No)	69.00	2.00	47.00	5.00	94.31	5.69			
Suggestions for Improvement : *										



(Signature)

Dr. V. M. Natrai

(Signature)

Dr. S. D. Kalbande


Academic Year: 2020-2021 (Even)


Name of Teacher: Mrs. P. A. Padalkar

Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject
(Very Poor: 1, Poor: 2, Average: 3, Good: 4, Excellent: 5)

S. N.	Parameter	Offline						Online (ERP)			
		Name of Subject		Rating (05)		Average		Parameter	Rating GTE -Div A	Rating FE -Div A	
		Th/Pr	GTE -Div A	FE	CM	Th	Pr				
1	Regularity in the Class conduction	3.58	3.58	3.92	3.79	3.75	3.68	3.47	3.32	3.92	
2	Delivery of Course Content	3.42	3.42	4.00	3.65	3.71	3.54	3.42	3.47	4.00	
3	Teaching Methodology Used for Understanding Concepts	3.37	3.37	3.90	3.77	3.64	3.57	3.21	3.21	3.93	
4	Understanding of Course Objectives	3.37	3.37	3.98	3.69	3.68	3.53	3.26	3.26	3.97	
5	Overall command on Class & Subject	3.21	3.21	3.93	3.72	3.57	3.47	3.37	3.37	3.92	
6	Effectiveness in meeting the course outline	3.26	3.26	3.97	3.67	3.62	3.46	3.32	3.32	4.03	
7	Clarity given in evaluation format	3.42	3.42	3.92	3.64	3.67	3.53	3.47	3.47	0.00	
8	At what level Course meets the expectation(Through ICT Mode)	3.32	3.32	4.03	3.64	3.67	3.48	3.58	3.58	3.92	
	Average	3.37	3.37	3.96	3.70	3.66	3.53	3.39	3.39	3.56	
	Total Avg	3.60						3.47			
9	Aware about the course outline (Yes/No)	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes(%)	No(%)	Overall Rating 3.54			
10	Aware about evaluation format (Yes/No)	16.00	1.00	49.00	2.00	95.59	4.41				
11	Course meets the expectation (Yes/No)	15.00	2.00	49.00	2.00	94.12	5.88				
Suggestions for Improvement : *											




Dr. V. M. Natraj
HOD, CE, Nashik


Dr. S. D. Kalpande
Dean-Academics
Guru Gobind Singh College of Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik

Academic Year: 2020-2021 (Even)

Name of Teacher: Mrs. P. A. Padalkar

Program : CE

**Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject
(Very Poor: 1, Poor: 2, Average: 3, Good: 4, Excellent: 5)**

S. N.	Parameter	Name of Subject Th/Pr	Offline										Online (ERP)						
			GTE -Div A					FE					CM					Rating GTE -Div A	Rating FE -Div A
			Th	Pr	Rating (05)	Average		Th	Pr	Rating (05)	Average		Th	Pr	Rating (05)	Th	Pr		
						Th	Pr				Yes (%)	No (%)						Yes (%)	No (%)
1	Regularity in the Class conduction		3.58	3.58	3.92	3.79	3.75	3.68	Rating (05)							3.32	3.92		
2	Delivery of Course Content		3.42	3.42	4.00	3.65	3.71	3.54	Rating (05)							3.47	4.00		
3	Teaching Methodology Used for Understanding Concepts		3.37	3.37	3.90	3.77	3.64	3.57	Rating (05)							3.42	3.98		
4	Understanding of Course Objectives		3.37	3.37	3.98	3.69	3.68	3.53	Rating (05)							3.21	3.93		
5	Overall command on Class & Subject		3.21	3.21	3.93	3.72	3.57	3.47	Rating (05)							3.32	3.97		
6	Effectiveness in meeting the course outline		3.26	3.26	3.97	3.67	3.62	3.46	Rating (05)							3.32	4.03		
7	Clarity given in evaluation format		3.42	3.42	3.92	3.64	3.67	3.53	Rating (05)							3.47	0.00		
8	At what level Course meets the expectation(Throgh ICT Mode)		3.32	3.32	4.03	3.64	3.67	3.48	Rating (05)							3.58	3.92		
Average			3.37	3.37	3.96	3.70	3.66	3.53	Rating (05)							3.39	3.56		
Total Avg			3.60														Total Avg (Converted to Rating (05))		3.47
		Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes (%)	No (%)												
9	Aware about the course outline (Yes/No)	16.00	1.00	49.00	2.00	95.59	4.41												
10	Aware about evaluation format (Yes/No)	15.00	2.00	49.00	2.00	94.12	5.88												
11	Course meets the expectation (Yes/No)	16.00	1.00	44.00	7.00	88.24	11.76												
Suggestions for Improvement : *																			
Overall Rating 3.54																			


Dr. S. D. Kalpande
Dean-Academics
 Guru Gobind Singh College of Engineering & Research Centre, Nashik




D. P. INCIPAL
GURU GOBIND SINGH COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING & RESEARCH CENTRE, NASHIK

Name of Teacher: Mr. A. G. Chaudhari

**Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject
(Very Poor: 1, Poor: 2, Average: 3, Good: 4, Excellent: 5)**


S. N.	Name of Subject	Offline										Online (ERP)		
		PMEE -Div A		PM -Div A		SD-II - CM -Div B		Rating (05)		Average		Parameter	Rating PMEE -Div A	Rating PM -Div A
		Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Pr	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr			
												Clarity of expectations of students	3.44	3.21
												Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Following: (Technical content/course content, Communication skills, Use of teaching aids (ICT, PPT))	3.51	3.42
1	Regularity in the Class conduction	3.57	3.53	3.61	3.79	3.55	3.70	Rating (05)		3.70		3.46	3.11	
2	Delivery of Course Content	3.56	3.26	3.67	3.65	3.41	3.66	Rating (05)		3.66		3.44	3.37	
3	Teaching Methodology Used for Understanding Concepts	3.48	3.21	3.53	3.77	3.34	3.65	Rating (05)		3.65		3.49	3.21	
4	Understanding of Course Objectives	3.49	3.26	3.42	3.69	3.38	3.56	Rating (05)		3.56		3.39	3.21	
5	Overall command on Class & Subject	3.49	3.11	3.58	3.72	3.30	3.65	Rating (05)		3.65		3.51	3.21	
6	Effectiveness in meeting the course outline	3.44	3.11	3.36	3.67	3.27	3.51	Rating (05)		3.51		3.44	3.11	
7	Clarity given in evaluation format	3.49	3.16	3.58	3.64	3.32	3.61	Rating (05)		3.61		3.46	3.32	
8	At what level Course meets the expectation(Through ICT Mode)	3.56	3.53	3.44	3.64	3.54	3.54	Rating (05)		3.54		3.49	3.32	
	Average	3.51	3.27	3.52	3.70	3.39	3.61	Rating (05)		3.61		3.46	3.25	
	Total Avg	3.50										3.36		
		Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes(%)	No(%)	Rating (05)		3.43		Overall Rating		
9	Aware about the course outline (Yes/No)	15.00	2.00	30.00	6.00	84.91	15.09	Rating (05)		3.43		Overall Rating		
10	Aware about evaluation format (Yes/No)	15.00	2.00	30.00	5.00	86.54	13.46	Rating (05)		3.43		Overall Rating		
11	Course meets the expectation (Yes/No)	13.00	4.00	28.00	6.00	80.39	19.61	Rating (05)		3.43		Overall Rating		
Suggestions for Improvement :														

(Signature)

Dr. V. M. Natraj

(Signature)

Dr. S. D. Kalbande
Dean Academics
Guru Gobind Singh College of



(Signature)

PRINCIPAL
GURU GOBIND SINGH COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
& RESEARCH CENTRE, NASHIK

Academic Year: 2020-2021 (Even)

Name of Teacher: Mr. C. G. Joshi

Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject
 (Very Poor: 1, Poor: 2, Average: 3, Good: 4, Excellent: 5)

S. N.	Name of Subject	Offline						Online (ERP)			
		ABE -Div A		ABE -Div B		Average		Parameter	Rating ABE -Div A	Rating ABE -Div B	
		Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr				
	Th/Pr										
	Parameter	Rating (05)		Rating (05)		Rating (05)					
1	Regularity in the Class conduction	3.61	3.61	3.84	3.84	3.72	3.72	3.64	3.66	3.88	
2	Delivery of Course Content	3.63	3.63	3.81	3.81	3.72	3.72	3.58	3.66	3.88	
3	Teaching Methodology Used for Understanding Concepts	3.69	3.69	3.81	3.81	3.75	3.75	3.58	3.66	3.88	
4	Understanding of Course Objectives	3.61	3.61	3.85	3.85	3.73	3.73	3.58	3.66	3.88	
5	Overall command on Class & Subject	3.64	3.64	3.80	3.80	3.72	3.72	3.63	3.66	3.88	
6	Effectiveness in meeting the course outline	3.69	3.69	3.84	3.84	3.76	3.76	3.63	3.66	3.88	
7	Clarity given in evaluation format	3.56	3.56	3.83	3.83	3.69	3.69	3.66	3.66	3.88	
8	At what level Course meets the expectation(Through ICT Mode)	3.63	3.63	3.85	3.85	3.74	3.74	3.64	3.64	3.88	
	Average	3.63	3.63	3.83	3.83	3.73	3.73	3.62	3.62	3.88	
	Total Avg	3.73						3.75			
		Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes(%)	No(%)	Overall Rating			
9	Aware about the course outline (Yes/No)	49.00	4.00	69.00	2.00	95.16	4.84	3.74			
10	Aware about evaluation format (Yes/No)	48.00	5.00	69.00	2.00	94.35	5.65				
11	Course meets the expectation (Yes/No)	49.00	4.00	69.00	2.00	95.16	4.84				
Suggestions for Improvement :											



(Signature)
 Dr. V. M. Natraj


(Signature)
 Dr. S. D. Kalpande
 Dean Academics

Academic Year: 2020-2021 (Even)

Name of Teacher: Mrs. T. A. Kulkarni

Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject
 (Very Poor: 1, Poor: 2, Average: 3, Good: 4, Excellent: 5)

S. N.	Name of Subject	Offline										Online (ERP)	
		SUR -Div A		FE - Div B		ADS - Div A		Average		Parameter	Rating SUR -Div A	Rating FE -Div B	
		Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr				
		Rating (05)											
1	Regularity in the Class conduction	3.53	3.53	3.56	3.84	3.54	3.68			3.26	3.58		
2	Delivery of Course Content	3.42	3.42	3.56	3.95	3.49	3.69			3.32	3.56		
3	Teaching Methodology Used for Understanding Concepts	3.32	3.32	3.64	3.84	3.48	3.58			3.11	3.56		
4	Understanding of Course Objectives	3.53	3.53	3.50	3.85	3.51	3.69			3.32	3.53		
5	Overall command on Class & Subject	3.26	3.26	3.58	3.89	3.42	3.57			3.37	3.58		
6	Effectiveness in meeting the course outline	3.37	3.37	3.53	3.80	3.45	3.59			3.47	3.47		
7	Clarity given in evaluation format	3.32	3.32	3.61	3.79	3.46	3.55			3.37	3.58		
8	At what level Course meets the expectation(Throgh ICT Mode)	3.53	3.53	3.58	3.89	3.55	3.71			3.42	3.61		
	Average	3.41	3.41	3.57	3.85	3.49	3.63			3.31	3.56		
	Total Avg	3.56										3.43	
		Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes(%)	No(%)						
9	Aware about the course outline (Yes/No)	14.00	3.00	30.00	3.00	88.00	12.00						
10	Aware about evaluation format (Yes/No)	13.00	4.00	30.00	3.00	86.00	14.00						
11	Course meets the expectation (Yes/No)	14.00	2.00	31.00	1.00	93.75	6.25						
	Overall Rating	3.50											
	Parameter												
	Total Avg (Converted to Rating (05))											3.43	



Dr. V. M. Natraj

Dr. S. D. Kalpande

Dean Academics

PRINCIPAL
 GURU GOBIND SINGH COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
 & RESEARCH CENTRE, NASHIK

Academic Year: 2020-2021 (Even)
Name of Teacher: Mr. P. B. Shinde

Program : CE

Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject
(Very Poor: 1, Poor: 2, Average: 3, Good: 4, Excellent: 5)

S. N.	Name of Subject	Offline						Online (ERP)		Rating --
		SD -II Div B		ADS -Div A		Average		Parameter	Rating SD -II Div B	
		Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr			
		Rating (05)						Rating (05)		
1	Regularity in the Class conduction	3.61	3.61	NA	3.84	3.61	3.72	3.56	NA	
2	Delivery of Course Content	3.67	3.67	NA	3.95	3.67	3.81	3.56	NA	
3	Teaching Methodology Used for Understanding Concepts	3.53	3.53	NA	3.84	3.53	3.68	3.44	NA	
4	Understanding of Course Objectives	3.42	3.42	NA	3.85	3.42	3.63	3.56	NA	
5	Overall command on Class & Subject	3.58	3.58	NA	3.89	3.58	3.73	3.53	NA	
6	Effectiveness in meeting the course outline	3.36	3.36	NA	3.80	3.36	3.58	3.44	NA	
7	Clarity given in evaluation format	3.58	3.58	NA	3.79	3.58	3.69	3.42	NA	
8	At what level Course meets the expectation(Throgh ICT Mode)	3.44	3.44	NA	3.89	3.44	3.66	3.56	NA	
	Average	3.52	3.52	#####	3.85	3.52	3.69	3.51	--	
	Total Avg	3.61						3.51		--
9	Aware about the course outline (Yes/No)	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes(%)	No(%)	Overall Rating 3.56		
10	Aware about evaluation format (Yes/No)	30.00	3.00	90.91	9.09					
11	Course meets the expectation (Yes/No)	29.00	4.00	87.88	12.12					
	Suggestions for Improvement : *	29.00	3.00	90.63	9.38					



[Signature]

Dr. V. M. Natraj
HOD CE Dept

Dr. S. D. Kalpande

Academics Dean
Dean Academics

[Signature]

Dr. N. G. Nikam

Principal


GURU GOBIND SINGH COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING

Academic Year: 2020-2021 (Even)

Name of Teacher: Mr. V.S.Bhalerao

Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject
 (Very Poor: 1, Poor: 2, Average: 3, Good: 4, Excellent: 5)

S. N.	Parameter	Offline						Online (ERP)		Rating	
		Name of Subject		EE-1 -Div B		Average		Parameter	Rating		
		Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr				
		DHS Div A	DHS Div B	Rating (05)	Rating (05)	Rating (05)	Rating (05)	DHS Div A			
1	Regularity in the Class conduction	3.64	3.83	NA	3.53	3.64	3.68		3.61	NA	
2	Delivery of Course Content	3.59	3.80	NA	3.50	3.59	3.65				
3	Teaching Methodology Used for Understanding Concepts	3.59	3.76	NA	3.50	3.59	3.63		3.63	NA	
4	Understanding of Course Objectives	3.59	3.79	NA	3.58	3.59	3.69		3.59	NA	
5	Overall command on Class & Subject	3.69	3.80	NA	3.53	3.69	3.66		3.58	NA	
6	Effectiveness in meeting the course outline	3.59	3.69	NA	3.50	3.59	3.60		3.55	NA	
7	Clarity given in evaluation format	3.61	3.85	NA	3.50	3.61	3.68		3.64	NA	
8	At what level Course meets the expectation(Through ICT Mode)	3.59	3.83	NA	3.61	3.59	3.72		3.63	NA	
	Average	3.61	3.79	#####	3.53	3.61	3.66		3.60	-	
	Total Avg	3.64							Total Avg (Converted to Rating (05))	3.60	
		Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes(%)	No(%)	Overall Rating			
9	Aware about the course outline (Yes/No)	58.00	5.00			92.06	7.94	3.62			
10	Aware about evaluation format (Yes/No)	58.00	5.00			92.06	7.94				
11	Course meets the expectation (Yes/No)	57.00	6.00			90.48	9.52				
Suggestions for Improvement : *											




PRINCIPAL
GURU GOBIND SINGH COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
& RESEARCH CENTRE, NASHIK


Dr. V. M. Natraj
HOD CE Dept
Dean Academics
Guru Gobind Singh College of Engineering & Research Centre, Nashik

Guru Gobind Singh College of Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik
Student's Feedback Report (Even Term)


Program : CE

Academic Year: 2020-2021 (Even)

Name of Teacher: Mr.D.S.Desale

Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject
(Very Poor: 1, Poor: 2, Average: 3, Good: 4, Excellent: 5)

S. N.	Name of Subject	Offline						Online (ERP)		
		SD-II -Div A		ADS -Div B		Average		SD-II -Div A	Rating	
		Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr	SD-II -Div A	Rating	
	Parameter	Rating (05)		Rating (05)		Rating (05)				
1	Regularity in the Class conduction	3.97	3.97	3.22	3.22	3.59	3.59	3.92	3.17	
2	Delivery of Course Content	4.03	4.03	3.22	3.22	3.63	3.63	3.92	3.14	
3	Teaching Methodology Used for Understanding Concepts	3.98	3.98	3.19	3.19	3.59	3.59	3.92	3.19	
4	Understanding of Course Objectives	3.97	3.97	3.31	3.31	3.64	3.64	3.92	3.22	
5	Overall command on Class & Subject	4.02	4.02	3.25	3.25	3.63	3.63	3.92	3.39	
6	Effectiveness in meeting the course outline	3.95	3.95	3.28	3.28	3.61	3.61	3.92	3.25	
7	Clarity given in evaluation format	3.97	3.97	3.14	3.14	3.55	3.55	3.97	3.28	
8	At what level Course meets the expectation(Through ICT Mode)	3.97	3.97	3.31	3.31	3.64	3.64	3.90	3.19	
	Average	3.98	3.98	3.24	3.24	3.61	3.61	4.00	3.36	
	Total Avg	3.61						Average (Converted to Rating (05))	3.94	3.24
9	Aware about the course outline (Yes/No)	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes(%)	No(%)	Overall Rating		
10	Aware about evaluation format (Yes/No)	48.00	2.00	24.00	12.00	83.72	16.28	3.60		
11	Course meets the expectation (Yes/No)	48.00	2.00	25.00	10.00	85.88	14.12			
	Suggestions for Improvement : *	43.00	7.00	25.00	9.00	80.95	19.05			



(Signature)
Dr. V. M. Natraj
HOD CE Dept

(Signature)
Dr. S. D. Kalpande
Dean Academics
Guru Gobind Singh College of Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik


(Signature)
PRINCIPAL
GURU GOBIND SINGH COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
& RESEARCH CENTRE, NASHIK

Academic Year: 2020-2021 (Even)

Name of Teacher: Mr. R.K.Paikrao

Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject
(Very Poor: 1, Poor: 2, Average: 3, Good: 4, Excellent: 5)

S. N.	Parameter	Offline										Online (ERP)		Rating			
		Name of Subject		DHS- Div B		SD-II-Div A		Average		Rating (05)	Rating (05)	Rating (05)	Rating DHS- Div B				
		Th/Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th						Pr		
1	Regularity in the Class conduction		3.83		3.83		3.97		3.83		3.90		3.84		3.80		NA
2	Delivery of Course Content		3.80		3.80		4.03		3.80		3.92		3.87		3.79		NA
3	Teaching Methodology Used for Understanding Concepts		3.76		3.76		3.98		3.76		3.87		3.88		3.88		NA
4	Understanding of Course Objectives		3.79		3.79		3.97		3.79		3.88		3.85		3.85		NA
5	Overall command on Class & Subject		3.80		3.80		4.02		3.80		3.91		3.80		3.80		NA
6	Effectiveness in meeting the course outline		3.69		3.69		3.95		3.69		3.82		3.75		3.75		NA
7	Clarity given in evaluation format		3.85		3.85		3.97		3.85		3.91		3.76		3.76		NA
8	At what level Course meets the expectation(Throgh ICT Mode)		3.83		3.83		3.97		3.83		3.90		3.87		3.87		NA
	Average		3.79		3.79		3.98		3.79		3.89		3.82		3.82		--
	Total Avg		3.84											3.82			
			Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes(%)	No(%)									
9	Aware about the course outline (Yes/No)		70.00	2.00			97.22	2.78									
10	Aware about evaluation format (Yes/No)		70.00	2.00			97.22	2.78									
11	Course meets the expectation (Yes/No)		67.00	5.00			93.06	6.94									
	Suggestions for Improvement : *																
			Overall Rating														
			3.83														



[Signature]
Dr. V. M. Natraj
HOD CE Dept

[Signature]

Dr. S. D. Kalpande

Dean Academics


Guru Gobind Singh College of

Academic Year: 2020-2021 (Even)

Name of Teacher: Ms.V.V.Pawar

Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject
 (Very Poor: 1, Poor: 2, Average: 3, Good: 4, Excellent: 5)

S. N.	Parameter	Offline						Online (ERP)		Rating	
		Name of Subject		SA- Div A		SUR- Div A		Average	Parameter		Rating SA- Div A
		Th/Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th				
1	Regularity in the Class conduction		3.47	NA	NA	3.53	3.47	3.53	Clarity of expectations of students	2.79	
2	Delivery of Course Content		3.05	NA	NA	3.42	3.05	3.42	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Following: (Technical content/course content, Communication skills, Use of teaching aids (ICT, PPT))	3.11	
3	Teaching Methodology Used for Understanding Concepts		3.00	NA	NA	3.32	3.00	3.32	Feedback provided on Students progress	3.05	
4	Understanding of Course Objectives		2.95	NA	NA	3.53	2.95	3.53	Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University?	3.16	
5	Overall command on Class & Subject		2.95	NA	NA	3.26	2.95	3.26	Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus?	2.89	
6	Effectiveness in meeting the course outline		3.00	NA	NA	3.37	3.00	3.37	Motivation and inspiration for students to learn	3.11	
7	Clarity given in evaluation format		2.95	NA	NA	3.32	2.95	3.32	Pace on which contents were covered	3.11	
8	At what level Course meets the expectation(Through ICT Mode)		3.26	NA	NA	3.53	3.26	3.53	Support for the development of Students skill (Practical demonstration, Hands on training, Case Study / Other)	3.21	
	Average		3.08	#####	#####	3.41	3.08	3.41	Efforts for self Development Related to academics	3.11	
	Total Avg		3.24							Willingness to offer help and advice to students	3.21
9	Aware about the course outline (Yes/No)	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes(%)	No(%)		Average (Converted to Rating (05))	3.07	
10	Aware about evaluation format (Yes/No)	15.00	2.00			88.24	11.76		Total Avg (Converted to Rating (05))	3.07	
11	Course meets the expectation (Yes/No)	14.00	3.00			82.35	17.65		Overall Rating	3.16	
	Suggestions for Improvement : *	15.00	2.00			88.24	11.76				



(Handwritten signature)

(Handwritten signature)
 Dr. V. M. Natraj

Dr. S. D. Kalpande
 Dean Academics
 Guru Gobind Singh College of Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik

(Handwritten signature)
 PRINCIPAL
 GURU GOBIND SINGH COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
 & RESEARCH CENTRE, NASHIK

Name of Teacher: Mr.P.P.Kadam
 Academic Year: 2020-2021 (Even)

Program : CE

**Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject
 (Very Poor: 1, Poor: 2, Average: 3, Good: 4, Excellent: 5)**

S. N.	Name of Subject	Offline						Online (ERP)		Rating	
		ADS- Div A		ADS- Div B		Average		Parameter	Rating ADS- Div A		
		Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr				
		Rating (05)		Rating (05)		Rating (05)					
1	Regularity in the Class conduction	3.84	NA	NA	3.22	3.84	3.22		3.84	--	
2	Delivery of Course Content	3.95	NA	NA	3.22	3.95	3.22		3.89	--	
3	Teaching Methodology Used for Understanding Concepts	3.84	NA	NA	3.19	3.84	3.19		3.90	--	
4	Understanding of Course Objectives	3.85	NA	NA	3.31	3.85	3.31		3.87	--	
5	Overall command on Class & Subject	3.89	NA	NA	3.25	3.89	3.25		3.84	--	
6	Effectiveness in meeting the course outline	3.80	NA	NA	3.28	3.80	3.28		3.84	--	
7	Clarity given in evaluation format	3.79	NA	NA	3.14	3.79	3.14		3.77	--	
8	At what level Course meets the expectation(Throgh ICT Mode)	3.89	NA	NA	3.31	3.89	3.31		3.84	--	
	Average	3.85	#####	#####	3.24	3.85	3.24		3.87	--	
	Total Avg	3.55							Average (Converted to Rating (05))	3.85	--
									Total Avg (Converted to Rating (05))	3.85	--
9	Aware about the course outline (Yes/No)	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes(%)	No(%)				
10	Aware about evaluation format (Yes/No)	52.00	1.00			98.11	1.89				
11	Course meets the expectation (Yes/No)	47.00	5.00			90.38	9.62				
	Suggestions for Improvement : *	47.00	5.00			90.38	9.62				
									Overall Rating	3.70	



[Signature]
 Dr. V. M. Natraj

[Signature]

Dr. S. D. Kalpande,
Dean Academics
 Guru Gobind Singh College of Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik

[Signature]
PRINCIPAL
GURU GOBIND SINGH COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING & RESEARCH CENTRE, NASHIK

Academic Year: 2020-2021 (Even)

Name of Teacher: Ms.A.H.Vanjarani

Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject
(Very Poor: 1, Poor: 2, Average: 3, Good: 4, Excellent: 5)

S. N.	Parameter	Name of Subject	Offline						Parameter	Rating ELE 4 (CM)- Div A	Rating PMEE- Div A
			ELE 4 (CM)- Div A&B		PMEE- Div A		Average				
			Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr			
			Clarity of expectations of students						3.57	3.44	
			Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Following: (Technical content/course content, Communication skills, Use of teaching aids (ICT, PPT))						3.52	3.51	
1	Regularity in the Class conduction		3.66	3.53	3.57	NA	3.62	3.53	3.56	3.46	
2	Delivery of Course Content		3.55	3.45	3.56	NA	3.56	3.45	3.58	3.44	
3	Teaching Methodology Used for Understanding Concepts		3.61	3.45	3.48	NA	3.54	3.45	3.62	3.49	
4	Understanding of Course Objectives		3.58	3.47	3.49	NA	3.54	3.47	3.53	3.39	
5	Overall command on Class & Subject		3.59	3.47	3.49	NA	3.54	3.47	3.63	3.51	
6	Effectiveness in meeting the course outline		3.54	3.41	3.44	NA	3.49	3.41	3.56	3.44	
7	Clarity given in evaluation format		3.51	3.38	3.49	NA	3.50	3.38	3.55	3.46	
8	At what level Course meets the expectation(Through ICT Mode)		3.54	3.44	3.56	NA	3.55	3.44	3.56	3.49	
	Average		3.57	3.45	3.51	#####	3.54	3.45	3.57	3.46	
	Total Avg		3.50						3.52		
			Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes(%)	No(%)	Overall Rating 3.51		
9	Aware about the course outline (Yes/No)		111.00	11.00	46.00	4.00	91.28	8.72			
10	Aware about evaluation format (Yes/No)		110.00	11.00	44.00	6.00	90.06	9.94			
11	Course meets the expectation (Yes/No)		105.00	14.00	38.00	12.00	84.62	15.38			
Suggestions for Improvement : *											



(Signature)

Dr. S. D. Kalpande,
Dean Academics
Guru Gobind Singh College of

(Signature)
Dr. V. M. Natraj


(Signature)
PRINCIPAL
GURU GOBIND SINGH COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
& RESEARCH CENTRE, NASHIK

Academic Year: 2020-2021 (Even)
 Name of Teacher: Ms. K.T.Tambe

Program : CE

Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject
 (Very Poor: 1, Poor: 2, Average: 3, Good: 4, Excellent: 5)

S. N.	Name of Subject	Offline						Online (ERP)		
		EE-I- Div A		EE-I- Div B & CM (Pr)		Average		Parameter	Rating EE-I- Div A	Rating EE-I- Div B
		Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr			
		Rating (05)		Rating (05)		Rating (05)				
1	Regularity in the Class conduction	4.08	4.08	3.53	3.66	3.80	3.87	4.05	3.61	
2	Delivery of Course Content	4.13	4.13	3.50	3.58	3.82	3.85	4.08	3.50	
3	Teaching Methodology Used for Understanding Concepts	4.07	4.07	3.50	3.64	3.78	3.85	4.11	3.53	
4	Understanding of Course Objectives	4.10	4.10	3.58	3.64	3.84	3.87	4.15	3.56	
5	Overall command on Class & Subject	4.05	4.05	3.53	3.62	3.79	3.84	4.03	3.47	
6	Effectiveness in meeting the course outline	4.08	4.08	3.50	3.58	3.79	3.83	4.13	3.61	
7	Clarity given in evaluation format	4.11	4.11	3.50	3.57	3.81	3.84	4.07	3.50	
8	At what level Course meets the expectation(Throgh ICT Mode)	4.05	4.05	3.61	3.63	3.83	3.84	4.16	3.50	
	Average	4.08	4.08	3.53	3.61	3.81	3.85	4.09	3.54	
	Total Avg	3.83						Total Avg (Converted to Rating (05))		3.81
		Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes(%)	No(%)	Overall Rating		
9	Aware about the course outline (Yes/No)	49.00	2.00	30.00	5.00	91.86	8.14	3.82		
10	Aware about evaluation format (Yes/No)	50.00	1.00	30.00	5.00	93.02	6.98			
11	Course meets the expectation (Yes/No)	42.00	9.00	30.00	4.00	84.71	15.29			
Suggestions for Improvement : *										




 Dr. V. M. Natraj
 HOD, CE Dept.


 Dr. S. D. Kalpande
 Academic Dean


 Dr. N. G. Nikam
 Principal

**Guru Gobind Singh Foundation's
Guru Gobind Singh College of Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik.**

Department of Civil Engineering

Feedback analysis

Corrective measures taken

For the Feedback of A.Y. 2020-21

Date of Feedback: 23 February 2020 & 11 June 2021

Feedback on: Academic


Total no of responses recorded : 220

Summary of the Feedback – SEM-I and SEM-II

Following are the observations and corrective measures taken from the feedback:

Sr. No	Major Observations	Corrective Measures	Responsibility
1	Make teaching effective by providing practical knowledge	Faculties are advised to follow following (i) Pre preparation of topic (ii) Relevant practical examples as discuss relevant topic in practical laboratory	All faculty
2	It is observed from feedback that real world examples are not shared every time	Real world examples exists in each subject taught. Compilation of such examples & discussing in class	All faculty
3	Effectiveness in meeting the course outline	Content delivery as per prescribed in syllabus to be effectively followed Extra lecture may be taken for completion	All faculty
4	Extra activities like webinars activities should be increased.	Expert/guest lecture from industry Experts arranged (10 Nos).	All faculty
5	Students were requesting for translate more without English or in mother tongue.	As per norms the content delivery is in English. However for student understanding same is explained in Marathi language some times on request from students	All faculty.


Mr. R.K. Paikrao
Feedback Coordinator


Dr. V.M. Natraj
Head, (CED).


Dr. S.D. Kalpande
Academic Dean



Dr. N.G. Nikam
Principal

Guru Gobind Singh Foundation's
Guru Gobind Singh College of Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik.

Department of Civil Engineering

Action Taken Report
(To be filled by Head of Department)

Sr. No	Action Taken	Status of implementation
1	(i) Preparation of course file & discussing with HOD & senior faculty (ii) Practical/site knowledge imparting through at actual/virtual site visits	Implemented
2	Every faculty discuss real world example with of his subject with senior faculty who have taught subject & include more example to make teaching more effective	Implemented
3	Content delivery of each of the subject unitwise is confirmed through students & personal visit to class	Implemented
4	10 Nos of Guest lecture were arranged in both semester	Implemented
5	As per norms official language of teaching is English, however for students' understanding on request some part of discussion is made in Marathi	Implemented.


Dr. V.M. Natraj
Head, (CED).

Guru Gobind Singh Foundation's
Guru Gobind Singh College of Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik.

Department of Civil Engineering
Feedback analysis
Corrective measures taken
For the Feedback of A.Y. 2020-21

Date of Feedback: 23 February 2020 & 11 June 2021


Feedback on: Institute


Total no of responses recorded : 220


Summary of the Feedback – SEM-I and SEM-II

Following are the observations and corrective measures taken from the feedback:

Sr. No	Major Observations	Corrective Measures	Responsibility
1	Students are expecting more kind co-operation from Exam Section of our Institute.	Communicated to Principal Examination CEO	Principal & Examination Section CEO.
2	Co-curricular & Extra-curricular activities should be increased.	Guest lecture/expert lecture are arranged related to Curriculum & Co Curricular Subject	HOD, faculty.
3	Provide sufficient and good quality Internet facilities.	Communicated to Principal	Principal.
4	Students need more Civil Engineering software in our syllabus from college.	Software required as per SPPU Curriculum available (STAAD PRO ETABS).	HOD.
5	Emphasis on Computer based learning.	Software training course conducted (STAAD-PRO & ETABS)	HOD, D.S. Desale.


Mr.R.K.Paikrao
Feedback Coordinator


Dr.V.M.Natraj
Head, (CED).


Dr.S.D.Kalpande
Academic Dean



Dr.N.G.Nikam
Principal

**Guru Gobind Singh Foundation's
Guru Gobind Singh College of Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik.**

Department of Civil Engineering

**Action Taken Report
(To be filled by Head of Department)**

Sr. No	Action Taken	Status of implementation
1	Communicated to Principal & CEO Examination section.	Reforms suitably made.
2	Guest lecture/expert lecture in different area of Curricular & Co Curricular area	Implemented.
3	Communicated to Principal for needfull action	Implemented
4	Software to cater Curriculum requirement made available.	Implemented.
5	Software training Programme is conducted	Implemented.


Dr. V.M. Natraj
Head, (CED).