### **Guru Gobind Singh Foundation's**

### Guru Gobind Singh College of Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik

### Student's Feedback Report (2020-21 ODD Sem) CIVIL ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT Overall Feedback

SR.NO	Name of Staff	Feedback (05)
1	Dr. N. G. Nikam	4.26
2	Dr. V. M. Natraj	4.24
3	Mr. D. O. Bhavar	4.29
4	Mrs. P. A. Padalkar	4.19
5	Mrs. T. A. Kulkarni	4.19
6	Mr. A. G. Chaudhari	3.70
7	Mr. C. G. Joshi	4.27
8	Mr. P. B. Shinde	4.13
9	Mrs. V. S. Bhalerao	4.11
10	Mr. D. S. Desale	4.21
11	Mr. R. K. Paikrao	4.21
12	Mr. C. S. kankariya	N.A
13	Dr.V.N.Kanthe	N.A
14	Ms.V.V.Pawar	3.72
15	Mr.P.P.Kadam	3.84
16	Ms.A.H.Vanjari	4.22
17	Ms. K.T.Tambe	4.28
18	Ms.S.S.Salkade	4.01
19	Mr.V.M.Gaikwad    S   AICTE-F.NO.	4.01

Dr. V.M. Natraj HOD Civil Engg.

Dr. S. D. Kalpande Academic Dean Dean Academics

Guru Gobind Singh College of Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik Dr. N. G. Nikam Principal

GURU GOBIND SINGH COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING & RESEARCH CENTRE, NASHIK

### Guru gobind singh foundation's Guru Gobind Singh College of Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik Student's Feedback Report for Institute (2020-21 ODD Sem) CIVIL ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT

Overall Feedback

Sr.No	Topic		Class	swise Respo	nces		Average
		SE CE A	TE CE A	TE CE B	BE CE A	BE CE B	Marks
1	Laboratory Equipment and Training	3.53	4.11	3.64	3.60	3.60	3.70
2	Library	3.87	4.00	3.82	2.60	2.60	2.70
3	Play Ground & Sport Activities	3.67	4.11	3.73	3.60	3.60	3.78
4	Canteen	3.60	3.56		3.60	3.60	3.74
5	Co-curricular & Extra- curricular activities	3.60	4.00	3.27	3.40	3.40	3.45
6	Motivational Activities	3.40	4.11	3.73	2.60	2.60	2.60
	Assessment of Examinations	3.60	4.11	3.73	3.60	3.60	3.69
8	Internet Facility	3.33	4.00		3.80	3.80	3.81
0	Training and Placement Support	3.33	4.00	3.73	3.40	3.40	3.57
9		3.60	4.11	3.91	3.60	3.60	3.76
10	Handling of Grievances of the Students	3.73	3.89	3.64	3.80	3.80	3.77
11	Safety and Security of the Students	3.80	4.33	3.91	3.60	3.60	3.85
12	Gender Equality Promotions	3.73	4.22	4.00	3.80	3.80	2.01
13	Campus Environment and Cleanness	4.13	4.33	4.36	3.60	3.60	3.91 4.01
14	Teaching Quality	3.73	4.33	4.09	4.20	4.20	
15	Public perception about the Institute	3.60	3.89	4.00	3.60	3.60	3.74
16	Overall Ranking of the Institute	3.53	4.22	3.82	3.80	3.80	2.02
17	At what level Digital India is Promoted	3.60	4.22	4.09	3.60	3.60	3.83
18	Any Suggestions for Review and Design of Syllabus for Further Recommendations: (If No Write "No") and submit.			COLLEGEO	ENGINE		

Dr. V. M. Natraj **HOD** Civil Engg.

Dr. S. D. Kalpande

Academic Dean Dean Academics

Guru Gobind Singh College of Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik Dr. N. G. Nikam

Principal

PRINCIPAL GURU GOBIND SINGH COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING & RESEARCH CENTRE, NASHIK

Program: CE	
	lemic Year: 2020-2021 (ODD)
	Acac

Name of Teacher: Dr. N. G. Nikam

1	-	Offine	ne	Online Rating	
9. N.	. Kutsmon			Darameter	HWRE
		Kating	ng		7 7 7
	Name of Subject	HWRE	Average	Clarity of expectations of students	4.44
				Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Following: (Technical content/course cd	
	Th/Pr	T,	Th		4.33
		Dating (05)	Rating (05)	Feedback provided on Students progress	4.44
	Description of low conduction	4 38	4 38	Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University?	4.33
-	Kegularity in the Class conduction	2.7	4.14		4.44
7	Delivery of Course Content	4.14	4.14	nas the reacher covered referant topics segond symmetry	
3	Teaching Methodology Used for Understanding Concepts	4.05	4.05	Motivation and inspiration for students to learn	4.44
4	Understanding of Course Objectives	4.00	4.00	Pace on which contents were covered	4.44
5	Overall command on Class & Subject	3.95	3.95	Support for the development of Students skill(Practical demonstration, Han-	4.56
9	Effectiveness in meeting the course outline	4.05	4.05	Willingness to offer help and advice to students	
7	Clarity given in evaluation format	4.10	4.10		4.4
90	At what level Course meets the expectation(Throgh ICT Mode)	4.10	4.10		
	Average	4.10	4.10	Average (Converted to Rating (05))	4.43
	Total Avg		0	Total Avg (Converted to Rating (05))	4.43
		Yes	Yes(%)		
6	Aware about the course outline (Yes/No)	N.A	N.A	Overall Rating	
10	Aware about evaluation format (Yes/No)	N.A	N.A	4.26	
11	Course meets the expectation (Yes/No)	N.A	N.A		
Sugg	Suggestions for Improvement: *				COE DE

PRINCIPAL Principal

GURU GOBIND SINGH COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING

Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik

Dean Academic Dean Bean Academics Guru Gobind Singh College of

Dr. S. D. Kalpande


Dr.W. M. Natraj

HOD, CE Dept.

SOCA CENTRE \* 6. WINS \*\*

01. 22.04.2013

WESTERNES



Name of Teacher: Dr. V. M. Natraj

Academic Year: 2020-2021 (ODD)

1	_			Offine			Online Rating (05)		
S.	Question		Rat	Rating (05)			Parameter	HWRE	FM-II
T	Name of Subject	нжа		EM-II	Average		Clarity of expectations of students	3.82	4.78
T	Th/Pr	7	Pr	Th	Th	Pr	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Following:	4.00	4.67
		Rating	Rating	Rating (05)	Rating (05)	Rating (05)	Feedback provided on Students progress	3.82	4.56
-	Regularity in the Class conduction	4.22	N.A	4.29	4.25	N.A	Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by	3.91	4.67
2	Delivery of Course Content	4.11	N.A	4.10	4.10	N.A	Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus?	4.00	4.78
w	Teaching Methodology Used for Understanding Concepts	3.83	N.A	4.29	4.06	N.A	Motivation and inspiration for students to learn	4.09	4.89
4	Understanding of Course Objectives	4.00	N.A	4.14	4.07	N.A	Pace on which contents were covered	4.09	4.78
S	Overall command on Class & Subject	4.11	N.A	4.24	4.17	N.A	Support for the development of Students skill (Practical demonstration, Hands on training, Case Study / Other)	4.00	4.89
6	Effectiveness in meeting the course outline	4.00	N.A	4.33	4.17	N.A	Willingness to offer help and advice to students		
7	Clarity given in evaluation format	4.11	N.A	4.10	4.10	N.A		3.82	4.78
∞	At what level Course meets the expectation(Throgh ICT Mode)	3.94	N.A	4.14	4.04	N.A			
	Average	4.04	N.A	4.20	4.12	N.A	Average (Converted to Rating (05))	3.95	T
	Total Avg			4.12			- 1		435
		Yes	No	Ycs(%)	No(%)				
9	Aware about the course outline (Yes/No)	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A		Overall Rating		
10	Aware about evaluation format (Yes/No)	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A		4.24		
11	Course meets the expectation (Yes/No)	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A				1
Sugges	Suggestions for Improvement : *								CE OF ENG

Dean Academics
Guru Gobind Singh College of
Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik

Academic Dean

. D. Kalpande

Dr. V. M. Natraj

HOD, CE Dept.

Dr. N. G. Nikam

Principal

PRINCIPAL

& RESEARCH CENTRE, NASHIK

Academic Year: 2020-2021 (ODD)

Name of Teacher: Mr. D. O. Bhavar

mentioned subject Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of

Excellent: 5) (Very Poor: 1, Poor: 2, Average: 3, Good: 4,

		o I disa	7,1,1								
	1	1			Offine			Online			
ń	N. Question			Rati	Rating (05)			Parameter	Rating	Rating Elective 1 (ATP)	
	Name of Subject		MOS	Elective 1	1 (ATP)	Average	age	Clarity of expectations of students	4.33	4.43	
		T.	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of	4.28	4.29	
			Rating (05)	Rating (05)	Rating (05)	Rating (05)	(05)	Feedback provided on Students progress	4.22	4.43	
	Demilarity in the Class conduction	4.33	N.A	4.43	N.A	4.38	N.A	Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as	4.39	4.43	
T		4.28	N.A	4.29	N.A	4.28	A.N	Has the Teacher covered relevant topics	4.28	4.29	
1	2 Teaching Methodology Used for Understanding Concepts	4.22	N.A	4.43	N.A	4.33	N.A	Motivation and inspiration for students to	4.33	3.71	
	1	4.39	N.A	4.43	N.A	4.41	N.A	Pace on which contents were covered	4.28	4.43	
1	T	4.28	N.A	4.29	N.A	4.28	N.A	Support for the development of Students	4.17	4.29	
100		4.33	N.A	3.71	N.A	4.02	N.A	Willingness to offer help and advice to			
T	1	4.28	N.A	4.43	N.A	4.35	N.A	students	4.28	4.29	
		4.17	N.A	4.29	N.A	4.23	A.N				
	Average	4.28	A.N	4.29	N.A	4.29	N.A	Average (Converted to Rating (05))	4.28	4.29	
1_	Total Avg			1	4.29			Total Avg (Converted to Rating (05))	4.29		
		Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes(%)	No(%)				
0	Aware about the course outline (Yes/No)	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	Overall Rating			
01		N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	4.29			
=	Course meets the expectation (Yes/No)	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A				
Sug	Suggestions for Improvement : *										NOW COLLEGE OF C.
	1	V	3							OS UPL	-
	Dr. V. M. Natraj	Dr. S. D. Kalpande	Kalpande					Dr. N. G. Nikam		19 7	10 8 8 7 1 NA

Dr. N. G. Nikam

HOD, CE Dept.

GURU GOBIND SINGH COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING PRINCIPAL Principal

GAND RESURCH CENTRE

WESTERNIZOTS!

1.140:166831

01.22.04.2013

Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik Guru Gobind Singh College of Dean Academics

Program: CE

Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject (Very Poor: 1, Poor: 2, Average: 3, Good: 4, Excellent: 5)

1								Online	
Z	. N. Question								11/100
								Parameter	IECT (A)
	Name of Subject		AI IE	IECT		Ave	Average	Clarity of expectations of students	3.78
1 - 10	Th/Pr	Th Div -A	Pr	Th Div - B	Pr	T.	F	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Following:  (Technical content/course content, Communication skills,	3.67
		Rating (05)	dents progress	3.89					
-	Regularity in the Class conduction	4.00	N.A	A.N.	N.A	4.00	N.A	Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by	3.4
12	Delivery of Course Content	3.95	N.A	N.A	N.A	3.95	N.A	Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus?	3.56
3	Teaching Methodology Used for Understanding	3.57	N.A	N.A	N.A	3.57	N.A	Motivation and inspiration for students to learn	3.67
4	Understanding of Course Objectives	3.67	N.A	N.A	N.A	3.67	N.A	Pace on which contents were covered	3.67
2	Overall command on Class & Subject	3.62	N.A	N.A	N.A	3.62	N.A	Support for the development of Students skill	3.67
9	Effectiveness in meeting the course outline	3.62	N.A	N.A	N.A	3.62	N.A	Willingness to offer help and advice to students	
-	Clarity given in evaluation format	3.76	N.A	N.A	N.A	3.76	N.A		3.67
90	At what level Course meets the expectation(Throgh ICT Mode)	3.67	N.A	N.A	N.A	3.67	N.A		
	Average	3.73	N.A	N.A	N.A	3.73	N.A	Average (Converted to Rating (05))	3.67
	Total Avg				3.73			Total Avg (Converted to Rating (05))	3.67
		Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes(%)	No(%)		
6	Aware about the course outline (Yes/No)	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	Overall Rating	
0	Aware about evaluation format (Yes/No)	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	3.70	
-	Course meets the expectation (Yes/No)	A.Z	N.A	A.N.	N.A	A.N.	A.N.		

Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik Guru Gobind Singh College of Dean Academics

Dr. S. D. Kalpande

Academic Dean

Dr. Y.M. Natraj

Program : CE	
	EM-I
	Academic Year: 2020-2021 SEM-I

Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject	Alam Dear 1 Dear 2 Average 3 Good: 4. Excellent: 5)
Name of Teacher: Mr. A. G. Chaudhari	


9								Online	
_	N. Question							Parameter	IECT (A)
-	Various of Subject		IF	IFCT		Ave	Average	Clarity of expectations of students	3.78
	and one of the contract of the	Th Div -A	F F	Th Div - B	Pr	TI.	Pr	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Following:	3.67
	I h/PT							The Comment of the party	
		Rating (05)	Feedback provid	3.89					
10	Domitority in the Class conduction	4 00	A.N.	A.N.	A.N	4.00	N.A	Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by	34
6 6	Delivery of Course Content	3.95	N.A	N.A	N.A	3.95	N.A	Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus?	3.56
1 - (	Teaching Methodology Used for Understanding	3.57	N.A	N.A	N.A	3.57	N.A	Motivation and inspiration for students to learn	3.67
1	Concepts Understanding of Course Objectives	3.67	N.A	N.A	A.N.	3.67	N.A	Pace on which contents were covered	3.67
10	Overall command on Class & Subject	3.62	N.A	N.A	N.A	3.62	N.A	Support for the development of Students skill	3.67
	Effectiveness in meeting the course outline	3.62	N.A	N.A	N.A	3.62	N.A	Willingness to offer help and advice to students	
10	Clarity given in evaluation format	3.76	N.A	N.A	N.A	3.76	N.A		3.67
15 =	At what level Course meets the expectation(Throgh	3.67	N.A	N.A	N.A	3.67	N.A		1
-1	Average	3.73	A.N	N.A	N.A	3.73	N.A	Average (Converted to Rating (05))	3.67
1	Total Avg			3.	3.73			Total Avg (Converted to Rating (05))	3.67
1		Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes(%)	No(%)		
1 X	Aware about the course outline (Yes/No)	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	Overall Rating	
V	Aware about evaluation format (Yes/No)	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	A.Z.	3.70	
10	Course meets the expectation (Yes/No)	A.N.	N.A	N.A	N.A	NA	Y.Y		



Dr. S. D. Kalpande Academic Dean

HOD, CE Dept.

Principal



AND RESEARCH CENTRE +

01,220,2013



Guru Gobind Singh College of Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik

Student's Feedback Report (ODD Term)

Name of Teacher: Mrs. P. A. Padalkar

Academic Year: 2020-2021 SEM-1

Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject

4, Excellent: 5) (Very Poor: 1 Poor: 2 Average: 3. Good:

S.N.										
	Ouestion							Online		_
				Rating (05)				Parameter	Rating FM-II	
	Name of Subject	ľ		FM	FM-II	Ave	Average	Clarity of expectations of students	4.00	
	Th/Pr	Ŧ	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Following:	4.09	
		Rating (05)	Rating (05)	Rating (05)	Rating (05)	Rating (05)	Rating (05)	Feedback provided on Students progress	4.18	
-	Regularity in the Class conduction	N.A	A.Z	4.28	N.A	4.28	A.N	Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed	4.00	
7	Delivery of Course Content	V.Z	A.N	4.22	A.X	4.22		Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond	4.18	
m	Teaching Methodology Used for Understanding Concepts	A.N	N.A	4.28	A.A	4.28	N.A	Motivation and inspiration for students to learn	4.09	
4	Understanding of Course Objectives	N.A	N.A	4.39	N.A	4.39	Y.Z	Pace on which contents were covered	4.00	
5	Overall command on Class & Subject	N.A	N.A	4.28	N.A	4.28		Support for the development of Students skill	4.18	
9	Effectiveness in meeting the course outline	N.A	N.A	4.33	N.A	4.33	N.A	Willingness to offer help and advice to students		
7	Clarity given in evaluation format	A.X	A.N	4.22	A.N	4.22	A.Z		4.27	
90	At what level Course meets the expectation(Throgh ICT Mode)	V Z	N.A	4.22	A.A	4.22	Z Z			
	Average	V.N	N.A	4.28	A.X	4.28	A.Z	Average (Converted to Rating (05))	411	
	Total Avg				4.28				411	
		Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes(%)	No(%)		:1	
6	Aware about the course outline (Yes/No)	N.A	A.Z	A.Z	A.N	Y.X	< Z	Overall Rating		
10	Aware about evaluation format (Yes/No)	N.A	A.N	A.N	N.A	Y Z	Z	4 19		(
=	Course meets the expectation (Yes/No)	N.A	A.Z	A.N	A.N	Y.Z.	Z		7	CACINE
Sug	Suggestions for Improvement: *								200	Trong of the state
	1 de (-)			1						AICTE-F.NO.
				3	$\lambda$					1-1102755931 ) 2
	HOD, CE Dept.		Dr.	Dr. S. D. Kalpande	ınde			Dr. N. G. Nikam	NIB OF	01. 22.04 2017
			Dean	Dean Academics	emics			Principal	6	1
		J	Guru Gobind Singh College of	nd Singh	College	je.		PRINCIPAL	/	* NASHIR!

Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik

GURU GOBIND SINGH COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING PRINCIPAL

Name of Teacher: Mrs. V. S. Bhalerao

Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject

(Very Poor: 1, Poor: 2, Average: 3, Good: 4, Excellent: 5)

1		4134	1 001 . 1, 1	JOI . 4, AV	1 001 . 2, Avel age: 3, G000; 4, Excellent: 5)	1000 +, E	xcellent:	(c			
ó	N. Question			Offine	ne			Online			
				Rating	811			Parameter	Rating (05)	Rating (05)	
1	Name of Subject	II-VS	-11	9	EQ	Average		Clarity of expectations of students	8 80	3.64	
$\perp$	Th/Pr	Th	Ьr	ЧТ	Ή	#	Pr	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of	8.80	3.64	
		Rating (05)	Rating (05)	Rating (05)	Rating (05)	Rating (05)		Feedback provided on Students progress	8.80	3.64	
_	Regularity in the Class conduction	4.50	N.A	4.43	4.05	4.33	A.Z	Has the Teacher covered entire Syllahus as	000		
"	Delivery of Course Content	4.28	N.A	4.43	3.86	4.19	A.X	Has the Teacher covered relevant topics	8.80	3.64	
3	Teaching Methodology Used for Understanding Concepts	4.28	N.A	4.29	3.90	4.16	N.A	Motivation and inspiration for students to	8.80	3.45	
4	Understanding of Course Objectives	4.44	A.X	4.29	3.86	4.20	Z	Pace on which contents were consered	00:0	0.+0	
~	Overall command on Class & Subject	4.39	N.A	4.29	3.95	421	4 Z	Support for the development of Studente chill	8.80	3.82	
9	Effectiveness in meeting the course outline	4.28	N.A	4.29	3.86	4.14	Z Z	Willingness to offer help and advice to	8.80	336	
-	Clarity given in evaluation format	4.33	N.A	4.43	3.95	424	Z	students			
∞	At what level Course meets the expectation(Throgh ICT Mode)	4.28	N.A	4.43	4.00	4.24	A.X		8.80	3.73	
	Average	4.35	A.N	4.36	3.93	4.21	A.X	Average ( Converted to Rating (05))	440	.,,	
	Total Avg		4.35	4	4.14	4.	4.21		Ot.'t	3.65	
		Yes	N <sub>o</sub>	Yes	No	Yes (%)	No(%)				11 4
6	Aware about the course outline (Yes/	N.A	A.N	Z.A	A.X	A.N.	Y.Z.			30	- Charles
=]:	Aware about evaluation format (Yes/	N.A	N.A	A.A	A.N	A.X	Z	Overall Nating	6	25%	
= 3	on (Yes/N	A.N	N.A	N.A	A.A	N.A	A.X	1,		INC.	-
200	Suggestions for improvement;									S	EHN/2013/
	1			-						1 2 2	30-755831

Dr. V. M. Natraj

Dt. 22 04.2013

Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik **Guru Gobind Singh College of** Dean Academics

GURU GOBIND SINGH COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING PRINCIPAL

Dr. N. G. Nikam

Principal

Academic Year: 2020-21 SEM-II							Program : CE		
Name of Teacher: Mr. D. S. Desale	tate the te	aching-lear	ning proces	Rate the teaching-learning process parameters	_	ed subject			
	(Very	(Very Poor: 1, Poor:	10r: 2, Aver	2, Average: 3, Good:	d: 4, Excellent:	ıt: 5)	Online		
	_			Offine				Rating	Rating
Question				Rating			Parameter	SD-I	SD III
			13		Average	306	Clarity of expectations of students	4.44	4.20
Name of Subject		SD-I	ō ‡	Pr	4	Pr	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Following:	4.56	4.20
Inver		=	:	1					
	Ra	Rating (05)	Rating (05)	Rating (05)	Rating (05)	3 (05)	Feedback provided on Students progress	4.33	420
	73	Z	4 38	A.X.	4.36	N.A	Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as	4.44	3.80
Regularity in the Class conduction	£			1	01.4	A	Has the Teacher covered relevant topics	4.33	4.00
Delivery of Course Content	4.10	N.A	4.10	Y.	4.10	4	beyond syllabus?		0.1
Teaching Methodology Used for Understanding Concepts	4.10	N.A	4.10	N.A	4.10	N.A	learn	10.4	4.20
Understanding of Course Objectives	4.10	N.A	4.10	N.A	4.10	N.A	Pace on which contents were covered	05.4	
Owerstl command on Class & Subject	3.95	N.A	4.10	A.N	4.02	N.A	Support for the development of Students skill	4.67	4.20
Effectiveness in meeting the course outline	3.95	N.A	4.05	N.A	4.00	N.A	Willingness to offer help and advice to students		5
To the second contraction format	3.95	A.N	4.05	N.A	4.00	N.A		4.50	P
given in	4.19	A.X	4.05	N.A	4.12	N.A			
Mode) Average	age 4.08	N.A	4.11	N.A	4.10	N.A	Average (Converted to Rating (05))	151	4.13
Total Avg					4	4.10	Total Avg (Converted to Rating (05))	Ť	32
	Yes	No.	Yes	No	Yes(%)	(%)oN			'
Aware about the course outline (Yes/No)	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	Overall Rating		
luation format	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	A.A	N.A	4.21		05
Course meets the expectation (Yes/No)	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	A.N.	A.N			YOW
Suggestions for Improvement : *									150
			177						1419
			5	\					

Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik Guru Gobind Singh College of Dean Academic Dean Dean Academics

HOD, CE Dept.

Ot. 22.64 2613

\* \*\* SHIR.9

GURU GOBIND SINGH COLLECE OF ENGINEERING

Dr. N. G. Nikam

Principal

Singh College of Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik	dent's Feedback Report (ODD Term)
Guru Gobind Singh College	Student

						-	-				
								Program : CE			
Academic	nic Year: 2020-21 SEM-II										
Name o	Name of Teacher: Mr. D. S. Desale Rate	the teac	hing-learr	ing proces	Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject	s of mention	ned subject				
		(Very P	oor: 1, Po	or: 2, Aver	(Very Poor: 1, Poor: 2, Average: 3, Good:	i. 4, Excellent. 2)	(6.3)	Online			
1				•	Offine			2000	Rating	Rating	
ž is	Question				Rating			Parameter	SD-I	III QS	
				a o		Average	306	Clarity of expectations of students	4.44	4.20	
	Name of Subject	-ds	-	OS	111-06		.9.	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of			
	Th/Pr	Th	P	Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Following:	4.56	4.20	
		Ratin	Rating (05)	Rating (05)	Rating (05)	Ratin	Rating (05)	Feedback provided on Students progress	4.33	4.20	
			100	9				T. J. T. T. London Contine Styllabire 38		000	
- 1	Regularity in the Class conduction	4.33	N.A	4.38	N.A	4.36	N.A		4 44	3.80	
	Delivery of Course Content	4.10	N.A	4.10	N.A	4.10	A.A	Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus?	4.33	4.00	
-	Teaching Methodology Used for Understanding Concepts	4.10	N.A	4.10	N.A	4.10	N.A	Motivation and inspiration for students to	4.67	4.20	
1	Total International Control of the C	01.6	V N	4 10	4 Z	4.10	N.A	Pace on which contents were covered	4.56	4.20	
	Understanding of Course Objectives	2.05	A N	4 10	N Z	4.02	N.A	Support for the development of Students	4.67	4.20	
0	Overall collubration on Class & Subject				;	00 *	* 12	Willingness to offer help and advice to			
9	Effectiveness in meeting the course outline	3.95	N.A	4.05	N.A	4.00	A.N	students	77	97.	
7	Clarity given in evaluation format	3.95	N.A	4.05	N.A	4.00	N.A		4.30	07.4	
8	At what level Course meets the expectation(Throgh ICT	4.19	N.A	4.05	N.A	4.12	A.N				
	Average	4.08	N.A	4.11	N.A	4.10	N.A	Average (Converted to Rating (05))	4.51	4.13	
	Total Avg					4	4.10	Total Avg (Converted to Rating (05))	4.32		
		Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes(%)	(%)oN			-	26.500
9 6	Aware about the course outline (Yes/No)	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	Overall Rating			CA COUNTER
10	Aware about evaluation format (Yes/No)	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	4.21		203	
=	Course meets the expectation (Yes/No)	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A			100	AICTE-F.NO 18
Suggest	Suggestions for Improvement: *									vis d	ESTERN
	James James			3	\					NI GOBINI	Pt. 22.04 2013
	Dr. V.M. Natraj		ā	Dr. S. D. Kalpande	nde			Dr. N. G. Nikam			100000000000000000000000000000000000000

GURU GOBIND SINGH COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
& RESEARCH CENTRE, NASHIK Dr. N. G. Nikam Principal Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik

Guru Gobind Singh College of

Dean Academic Dean Dean Dean Los

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

HOD, CE Dept.

Program: CE Academic Year: 2020-2021 SEM-I

Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject

Name of Teacher: Mr. C. G. Joshi

(Very Poor: 1, Poor: 2, Average: 3, Good: 4, Excellent: 5)

													AND RES	0	.NO. 3	20 199 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10	315	J.	1 ,
-	1	r				- 1							-	83	NOW	10	30	7	200
		Rating TRE	4.00	4.00	4.20	4.40	4.40	4.40	4.40	4.00		4.40		4.24	4.24				
Online	Cilling	Parameter	Clarity of expectations of students	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of	Feedback provided on Students progress	Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University?	Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus?	Motivation and inspiration for students	Pace on which contents were covered	Support for the development of Students skill	Willingness to offer help and advice to students			Average (Converted to Rating (05))	Total Avg (Converted to Rating (05))	APRIL OPERAS. STORY	Overall Rating	4.27	
				Pr	(05)	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A		No(%)	N.A	N.A	N.A
			Average	T.	Rating (05)	4.29	4.14	4.57	4.43	4.29	4.29	4.29	4.14	4.30		Yes(%)	N.A	N.A	N.A
Offino	IIIIe	Rating		P.	Rating (05)	A.Z.	A.A	N.A	A.Z	N.A	A.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	4.30	No	N.A	N.A	N.A
		S.	1	Ę	Rating (05)	A.X	A.A.	N.A	4.Z	A.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A		Yes	N.A	N.A	N.A
			-Div-A	4	Rating (05)	N.A	N.A	A.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	A.X		No	N.A	A.N	N.A
			TRE.	T.	Ratin	4.29	4.14	4.57	4.43	4.29	4.29	4.29	4.14	4.30		Yes	N.A	N.A	N.A
	S. N. Question		Name of Subject	Th/Pr		Regularity in the Class conduction	Delivery of Course Content	Teaching Methodology Used for Understanding Concepts	Understanding of Course Objectives	Overall command on Class & Subject	Effectiveness in meeting the course outline	Clarity given in evaluation format	At what level Course meets the expectation(Throgh ICT	Average	Total Avg		Aware about the course outline (Yes/No)	Aware about evaluation format (Yes/No)	Course meets the expectation (Yes/No)
	S.N.	- /:				1-	2	"	4	2	9	7					0	10	1

Dr. S. D. Kalpande

Dean Academics Academic Dean

Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik Guru Gobind Singh College of

COURT COBING LINGS CONTROL NASHING A RESCHROCK CONTRE, NASHIK

Dr. N. G. Nikam

Principal

L	Academic Vear: 2020-2021 SEM-1							Program : CP.		
1	Academic 1 call - 2020					-	-			
ž	Name of Teacher: Ms.A.H.Vanjari	Rate th	the teaching.	z-learning	process par	Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject	mentioned	subject		
S	N. Question				Offine	1		Online		
				Ra	Rating			Parameter	Rating IECT (Div-B)	
1	Name of Subject	IECT (Div-B)	iv-B)			Average	age	Clarity of expectations of students	4.27	
	Th/Pr	£	r.	Ħ	Pr	Ę	Ł	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Following:	4.18	
		Rating (05) R	Rating (05)	Rating (05)	Rating (05)	Rating (05)	g (05)	Feedback provided on Students progress	4.27	
	Regularity in the Class conduction	4.39	N.A	N.A	A.N	4.39	A.A	Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University?	4.27	
2	Delivery of Course Content	4.06	N.A	N.A	N.A	4.06	N.A.	Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus?	4.36	
_ m	Teaching Methodology Used for Understanding Concepts	4.06	N.A	N.A	N.A	4.06	A.X	Motivation and inspiration for students to learn	4.27	
4	Understanding of Course Objectives	4.17	N.A	N.A	A.X	4.17	A.X	Pace on which contents were covered	4.36	
2	Overall command on Class & Subject	4.22	N.A	N.A	A.X	4.22	Y.X	Support for the development of Students skill (Practical demonstration, Hands on training, Case Study / Other)	4.27	
9	Effectiveness in meeting the course outline	11.4	N.A	N.A	N.A	4.11	N.A	Willingness to offer help and advice to students		
h	Clarity given in evaluation format	4.17	A.A	N.A	A.N	4.17	A.N.		4.27	
90	8 Mode)	4.06	N.A	N.A	K.Z	4.06	A.X			
	Average	4.15	N.A	N.A	N.A	4.15	N.A	Average (Converted to Rating (05))	4.28	
	T	4.15	1	#DIV/0!			4.15		4.28	
		Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes(%)	No(%)			The Bres.
0	Aware about the course outline (Yes/No)	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	Overall Rating		() () () () () () () () () () () () () (
91	Aware about evaluation format (Yes/No)	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	4.22		EIG
=	Course meets the expectation (Yes/No)	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A			ERGONS IN
Sug	gestions for Improvement : *									CTER
	A D			3)						3700
	HOD, CE Dept.		ă ×	Dr. S. D. Kalpande Academic Dean	nde n			Dr. N. G. Nikam Principal		San GOBINO SIM



PRINCIPAL

GURU GOBIND SINGH COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING

Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik

Guru Gobind Singh Cellage of

Dean Academics

Program: CE

Academic Year: 2020-2021 SEM-I

Teacher: Ms.K.T.Tambe

Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject

(Very Poor: 1, Poor: 2, Average: 3, Good: 4, Excellent: 5)

								Online		1
S	V. Question			JO	Offine					
				Rai	Rating			Parameter	Rating TEE-II (Div-A)	EE-II (Div-B)
					í			Clarity of expectations of students	4.20	4.20
	Name of Subject	EE-II	(Div-A)	EE-II	(Div-B)	Ave	Average	Clarity of expectations of statement	4 40	4 40
1	Th/Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Following.	F	2
								Feedback provided on Students progress	4.40	4 40
		Rating (05)	<b>Rating</b> (05)	Rating (05)	Rating (05)	Ratin	Rating (05)		r. t	2
1-	Regularity in the Class conduction	4.00	N.A	4.10	N.A	4.05	N.A	Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed	4.40	4.40
1	Delivery of Cornea Content	4 00	N A	3.76	A.N.	3.88	N.A	Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond	4.40	4.40
7 7	Teaching Methodology Oscu for Onderstanding	4.14	N.A	3.86	N.A	4.00	N.A	Motivation and inspiration for students to learn	4.40	4.40
4	Understanding of Course Objectives	4.14	N.A	3.86	N.A	4.00	N.A	Pace on which contents were covered	4.40	4.40
2	Overall command on Class & Subject	4.43	N.A	4.05	N.A	4.24	N.A	Support for the development of Students skill (Practical demonstration, Hands on training, Case Study	4.40	4.40
9	Effectiveness in meeting the course outline	4.43	N.A	4.24	N.A	4.33	N.A	Willingness to offer help and advice to students		
7	Clarity given in evaluation format	4.43	N.A	3.86	N.A	4.14	N.A		4.40	4.40
∞	At what level Course meets the expectation(Throgh ICT Mode)	4.00	N.A	3.86	N.A	3.93	N.A			
	Average	4.20	N.A	3.95	N.A	4.07	N.A	Average (Converted to Rating (05))	4.38	4.38
	Total Avg	4	.20	3	3.95	4	4.07	Total Avg (Converted to Rating (05))	-	4.38
		Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes(%)	No(%)		,	
6	Aware about the course outline (Yes/No)	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	Overall Rating	1	A COM
10	Aware about evaluation format (Yes/No)	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	4.28	CINE	10
11	Course meets the expectation (Yes/No)	N.A	N.A	N.A	A.N	N.A	N.A		EN	150/120/N
Sug	Suggestions for Improvement: *								03	18

Dr. S. D. Kalpande

Death Medical College of Guru Gobind Singh College of Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik

Dr. N. G. Nikam Principal GURU GOBINO SINGH COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
& RESEARCH CENTRE, MASHIK

### Centre, Nashik Guru Gobind Singh College of Engineering and Research Student's Feedback Report (ODD Term)

Academic Year: 2020-2021 SEM-1 Teacher: Mr. P.P.Kadam

Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject

	S. IV. Cuestion			Offine	Offine			Online	1000
				R	Rating			Parameter	Raung EG
	Name of Subject		EG			Ave	Average	Clarity of expectations of students	3.73
	Th/Pr	r Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Following:	3.73
		Rating (05)	Rating (05)	Rating (05)	Rating (05)	Ratin	Rating (05)	Feedback provided on Students progress	3.67
_ ]	Regularity in the Class conduction	4.00	N.A	N.A	A.X	4.00	A.N	Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University?	3.60
2	Delivery of Course Content	4.06	N.A	N.A	N.A	4.06	N.A	Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus?	3.60
6	Teaching Methodology Used for Understanding Concepts	4.06	N.A	N.A	N.A	4.06	N.A	Motivation and inspiration for students to learn	3.60
4	Understanding of Course Objectives	4.11	N.A	N.A	N.A	4.11	N.A	Pace on which contents were covered	3.60
5	Overall command on Class & Subject	4.06	N.A	N.A	N.A	4.06	N.A	Support for the development of Students skill (Practical demonstration Hands on training Case Study / Other)	3.67
9	Effectiveness in meeting the course outline	4.06	N.A	N.A	N.A	4.06	N.A	Willingness to offer help and advice to students	
7	Clarity given in evaluation format	4.00	N.A	A.N.	N.A	4.00	N.A		3.67
	At what level Course meets the expectation(Throgh ICT Mode)	3.94	N.A	N.A	N.A	3.94	N.A	T	
+	Average	4.03	N.A	N.A	N.A	4.03	N.A	Average ( Converted to Rating (05))	3 65
+	Total Avg	4.03	13	#DIV/0!		4.	4.03	Consumer (Contraction	$\perp$
+		Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes(%)	No(%)	MCOI SUITE ( COINCILED TO NATING (US))	
-+	Aware about the course outline (Yes/No)	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	A.N	Overall Rating	
-	Aware about evaluation format (Yes/No)	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	3.84 JAEER BIO AND RES	AG AND A
	Course meets the expectation (Yes/No)	N.A	N.A	N.A	A.N	N.A	A.N		01

Dr. S. D. Kalpande Academic Dean

HOD, CE Dept.

Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik Guru Gobind Singh College of Dean Academics

GURU GOBIND SINGH COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING PRINCIPAL

Principal

HOM'S ON BELL

Centre, Nashik Guru Gobind Singh College of Engineering and Research Student's Feedback Report (ODD Term) Program: CE

ne of Teacher: Mrs. T. A. Kulkarni

Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject (Very Poor: 1, Poor: 2, Average: 3, Good: 4, Excellent: 5)


4.24 4.40 04.4 4.40 4.00 4,40 4.00 4.00 ATP (B) 4.51 4.40 4.60 4.60 4.40 4.60 4.40 4.60 4.40 Average (Converted to Rating (05)) Total Avg (Converted to Rating (05)) Overall Rating Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as Motivation and inspiration for students to Support for the development of Students Feedback provided on Students progress Has the Teacher covered relevant topics Willingness to offer help and advice to Pace on which contents were covered Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Clarity of expectations of students Parameter No(%) Y.Z N.A Y.Y N.A N.A N.A N.A N.A N.A N.A N.A N.A Rating (05) Average Yes(%) N.A A.N 4.02 3.95 3.98 4.00 A.A 3.98 4.00 4.00 4.02 4.07 T Rating (05) N.A Y.A N.A N.A N.A Y.Z Y.Y N.A N.A N.A 4.00 TRE Rating (05) 3.86 N.A N.A 3.90 3.90 Yes 3.95 3.95 3.81 Y.Z 3.95 4.00 N.A N.A N.A N.A Y.Z N.A Z.A Y.Y N.A N.A å ATP Div B Rating (05) Z.A 4.05 4.10 Yes N.A 4.10 4.10 4.09 4.05 4.05 Y.Z 4.19 Total Avg Average Name of Subject Teaching Methodology Used for Understanding Concepts At what level Course meets the expectation(Throgh ICT Effectiveness in meeting the course outline Aware about the course outline (Yes/No) Aware about evaluation format (Yes/No) Course meets the expectation (Yes/No) Overall command on Class & Subject Understanding of Course Objectives Regularity in the Class conduction Clarity given in evaluation format Delivery of Course Content Mode)

Dr. S. D. Kalpande

Research Centre, Nashik Guru Gobind Singh College of Dean Academics mic Dean **Engineering and** 

OLLEGE OF ENGINER ON SALES

STEPNASON !



Principal

GURU GOBIND SINGH COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING PRINCIPAL

Scanned by TapScanner

HOD, CE Dept.

Suggestions for Improvement: \*

search Centre, Nashik	(Lerm)
ge of Engineering and Research Centre, Nast	ent's Feedback Report (ODD)
Curu Gobind Singh College	Stud

		110						J		
			-					Program .		
	Academic Year: 2020-2021 SEM-1		1							
Nan	Name of Teacher: Mr. P. B. Shinde	Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject	-learnir	ig process	parameter	s of mention	ed subject			
		(Very Poor: 1, Poor:	1, Poor	: 2, Average:	ge: 3, Good:	l: 4, Excellent:	ıt: 5)	Online		200
-					Offine				Rating	Kating
S.N.	N. Question			2	Rating			Parameter	SDI	SD-III
					99			. C Caractetions of students	3.64	4.20
	Name of Subject	SDI	-	III-QS	_	Average	ze ze	Clarity of expectations of starts of	3.91	4.20
	Th/Pr		r.	T.	Pr	Th	Pr	Effectiveness of Teacher III terms of	3.64	4.20
		Rating (05)		(92)	Rating (05)	Rating (05)	05)	Feedback provided on Students pros-	4.00	3.80
	a Characteristica		V	4.71	N.A	4.50	A.A	Has the Teacher covered colovant topics	3.73	4.00
	1	-	N.A	4.29	N.A	4.23	A.A	Has the Teacher covered referentiate to	3.91	4.20
2		-	A.A	4.00	N.A	4.14	Y.Z	Motivation and inspiration for statement	000	4.20
6	-	+	4 7	4 14	A N	4.15	N.A	Pace on which contents were covered	3.82	4.20
4		+	V V	7 73	A N	4 30	N.A	Support for the development of Students	4.00	07:4
8	Overall command on Class &	+	4 :	C+:+	V	4 24	A.N.	Willingness to offer help and advice to	3.82	4.20
9	Effectiveness in meeting the course outline	+	A.A	6.43	412	90 7	A Z	students		
1	Clarity given in evaluation for	4.28 N	A.A	4.29	Y.Y.	4.20	V N			
- 00	1	A.22	Y.X	4.43	Y.Y	4.33	¥		1 83	413
1	Average	4.20 N	N.A	4.34	N.A	4.27	N.A		1	3 00
1	Total Avg	4.20		4.34	+	4.27		Total Avg (Converted to Rating (05))	3.	10
1		Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes(%)	No(%)			
0	Aware about the course outline (Yes/No)		N.A		N.A	A.N	A.N	Overall Kating		
15	_	A.X	A.N	N.A	N.A	V.Z	A.N	71.4		
2 =	1	N.A	N.A			1	A.N			
Suga	ㄱ g				NO MO	ESEARCH				
1		77.	/	- N	KINO. WEER	15831 16831 17013				
	Jan			10 10	NCTE.	25 01" 10 22				
	Dr. V. M. Natraj	Dr. S. D. Kalpande	ande		ANE NE	101	<b>\</b>	Dr. N. G. Nikam		
	HOD, CE Dept.	Dean Academics	demi		) 20 X X	GNAN GORINO	1	PRINCIPAL		
	Guru (	Guru Gobind Singh College	th Colle				GURU G	· Z	VEERING	
	Engineering	Engineering and Research Centre,	rch Ce	ntre, Nasnik	¥			SEARCH CENTRE.		

L	4 andomic Veer 2020-2021 SEM-I									
	ACEICEIIIN I CALL ACEI									
Nar	Name of Teacher: Mr. R. K. Paikrao	Rate	the teachir	ng-learning	Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject	ss parameters of mentioned su	mentioned Excellent:			
			(Very Poor:	1, P00	r: 2, Average:	3, G000. 4,	Extelleller	Online	Rating	Rating SA
S. N	N. Question							Parameter	FM	П
				Rs	Rating				4.07	4.44
1	Name of Subject	FM	7	SA	SA-II	Average	age		4.13	4.44
	Th/Pr	T.	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr	IS OI FORDWING	4.00	4.56
1		Rati	Rating (05)	Rating (05)	Rating (05)	Rating (05)	(05)	progress S. IILuc or presentited by University?	20.	"
1	The Charles and without	424	N.A.	4.28	N.A	4.26	N.A		4.07	77.
-	Regulatity in the Class conduction			00.	1	473	A	Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus?	3.93	4.44
2	Delivery of Course Content	4.19	A.N	4.28	N.A	67.4	W.W.		3.80	4.44
"	Teaching Methodology Used for Understanding Concepts	4.24	N.A	4.17	N.A	4.20	Y.Y.		3.93	4.33
.	Understanding of Course Objectives	4.00	N.A	4.39	N.A	4.19	A.A			1
1 0	Overall command on Class & Subject	4.14	N.A	4.39	N.A	4.27	N.A	Support for the development of Students skill (Practical demonstration, Hands on training, Case Study / Other)	3.87	4.4
9	Effectiveness in meeting the course outline	4.10	N.A	4.33	N.A	4.21	N.A			
7	Clarity given in evaluation format	4.14	N.A	4.22	N.A	4.18	N.A		4.13	433
∞	At what level Course meets the expectation(Throgh ICT Mode)	4.10	N.A	4.17	N.A	4.13	N.A	- 1		
	Average	4.14	N.A	4.28	N.A	4.21	N.A	Average (Converted to Rating (05))	3.99	4.41
	Total Avg	4	14	4	4.28	4.21	21	Total Avg (Converted to Rating (05))	4	4.20
		Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes(%)	No(%)			
0	Aware about the course outline (Yes/No)	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	Overall Rating		
10	Aware about evaluation format (Yes/No)	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	4.21		
Ξ	Course meets the expectation (Yes/No)	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	A.N	N.A			
Sugg	Suggestions for Improvement : *					1				

WESTERN/2013/
1-1-10-166831

WESTERN/2013/
1-1-10-166831

WESTERN/2013/
1-1-10-166831 Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik Guru Gobind Singh College of

Principal

GURU GOBIND SINGH COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING

Program: CE Academic Year: 2020-2021 (ODD)

Name of Teacher: Ms.S.S.Salkade

S. M. Question         Pating         Pating         Parameter         Parameter         Parameter           S. M. Question         Name of Subject         PBL         Average         Clarity of expectations of students         3.73           S. M. Charles         Thylor         Th         Th         Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of following:         3.87           S. Delivery of Course Content         A.17         A.17         Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University?         3.80           J. Delivery of Course Content         A.17         A.17         Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus?         3.80           J. Delivery of Course Objectives         A.17         A.17         Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus?         3.80           J. Delivery of Course Objectives         A.17         A.17         Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus?         3.80           J. Delivery of Course Objectives         A.17         A.17         Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus?         3.80           J. Delivery of Course Objectives         A.17         A.17         Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus?         3.80           Overall command on Class & Subject         A.22         A.22         A.22         A.22         A.22           A what level Course meets the expectation(Throgh ICT Mode)			The second secon			
Name of Subject         PBL         Average         Clarity of expectations of students           nduction         Th/Pr         Th         Th         Fffectiveness of Teacher in terms of Following:           ent         Th/Pr         Rating (05)         Reating (05)         Feetback provided on Students progress           ent         4.47         4.47         Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University?           ent         4.17         4.17         Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus?           Jeed for Understanding Concepts         4.06         4.06         Motivation and inspiration for students to learn           Jeed cfor Understanding Concepts         4.17         Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus?           Lobe cfor Understanding Concepts         4.17         Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus?           s. & Subject         4.17         Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus?           is & Subject         4.17         Pace on which contents were covered relevant of Students skill           the course outline         4.17         4.17         A.12         A.22         A.22         A.22         A.22         A.22         A.28         A.28         A.28         A.28         A.28         A.28         A.28         A.28         A.28	S. N	-	Offin	9	Online	Rating
Name of Subject         PBL         Average         Clarity of expectations of students           Th/Pr         Th/Pr         Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Following:           anduction         Rating (05)         Rating (05)         Rating (05)         Rating (05)         Received relevant topics beyond syllabus?           ent         4.17         4.17         Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University?           ent         4.17         4.17         Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus?           so Objectives         4.17         4.17         Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus?           cobjectives         4.17         4.17         Pace on which contents were covered relevant topics beyond syllabus?           the course outline         4.17         4.17         Pace on which contents were covered relevant topics beyond syllabus?           the course outline         4.17         4.17         Millingness to offer help and advice to students still (Practice)           Average         4.20         4.28         Account of the relepancy offer help and advice to students           cutline (Yes/No)         Average         4.20         4.20         Average         Average (Converted to Rating (05))           Total Average         4.20         Average (verylo)         Average (verylo)         Average (verylo)     <			Ratin	5.0	Parameter	
Name of Subject         PBL         Average         Clarity of expectations of students           Th/Pr         Th         Th         Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Following:           onduction         A4.71         Rating (65)         Feedback provided on Students progress           ent         A4.77         A4.77         Has the Teacher covered critic Syllabus as prescribed by University?           ent         A.17         A.17         A.17         A.17         A.17         A.17         Bas the Teacher covered critic Syllabus as prescribed by University?           ent         Cobjectives         A.17         A.17         A.17         A.17         A.17         A.17         A.17         Bas the Teacher covered critic Syllabus as prescribed by University?           cobjectives         4.17         4.17         A.17         A.17         A.17         A.17         A.17         A.17         A.17         A.17         B.18         A.17         A.18         A.17         A.18         A.17         A.17				(		3.73
Th/PP         Th         Th         Th         Th         Th         Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Tollowing.           onduction         4.47         Rating (05)         Feedback provided on Students progress           ent         4.47         4.47         Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University?           ent         4.17         4.17         Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus?           b) jectives         4.17         A.17         Pace on which contents were covered           c) b) jectives         4.17         Pace on which contents were covered           c) b) jectives         4.17         Pace on which contents were covered           c) b) jectives         4.17         A.17           c) b) jectives         4.17         A.17           c) b) jectives         4.17         A.17           c) jectives         A.22         A.22           c) jectives         A.22         A.23           c) jectives         A.20         A.20           c) jectives		Name of Subject	PBL	Average	Clarity of expectations of students	3.87
Rating (05)   Recuback provided on Students progress onduction   4.47   Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University?		Th/Pr	Th	Th	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Following.	3.80
ent         4.47         Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by Ontertary.           ent         4.17         Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus?           Jsed for Understanding Concepts         4.06         Motivation and inspiration for students to learn           Jsed for Understanding Concepts         4.17         Pace on which contents were covered relevant topics beyond syllabus?           List & Cobjectives         4.17         Pace on which contents were covered by the strain of the development of Students skill           List & Course outline         4.17         A.17         Willingness to offer help and advice to students           List course outline         4.28         4.28         A.28           Informat         4.20         4.06         Average (Converted to Rating (05))           Lets the expectation(Throgh ICT Mode)         4.20         4.20         Average (Converted to Rating (05))           Average         4.20         4.20         Average (Converted to Rating (05))         Average (Converted to Rating (05))           Indianal (Yes/No)         N.A         N.A         N.A         A.01           Average (Ves/No)         A.01         Average (Converted to Rating (05))			Rating (05)	Rating (05)	Feedback provided on Students progress	4.00
Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond sylatous.     Jeed for Understanding Concepts   4.06   Motivation and inspiration for students to learn     Jeed for Understanding Concepts   4.17   4.17   Pace on which contents were covered     Jee course outline   4.17   4.17   Support for the development of Students skill     Support for the development of Students skill     Jee course outline   4.17   4.17   Willingness to offer help and advice to students     Jee course outline   4.28   4.28   4.28   4.20     Jee course outline   4.06   4.06   4.06   4.06     Jeach outline   4.20   4.20   4.20     Jeach outline   4.20	-	Regularity in the Class conduction	4.47	4.47	Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by Chings 2007.	3.80
Subject for Understanding Concepts	2	Delivery of Course Content	4.17	4.17	Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus:	3.80
c Objectives         4.17         4.17         Pace on which contents were covered           uss & Subject         4.22         4.22         Rupport for the development of Students skill           uss & Subject         4.17         Willingness to offer help and advice to students           the course outline         4.17         Willingness to offer help and advice to students           an format         4.28         4.28           acts the expectation(Throgh ICT Mode)         4.06         4.06           acts the expectation(Throgh ICT Mode)         4.20         Average (Converted to Rating (05))           acts the expectation(Throgh ICT Mode)         Yes         Yes(%)           Average         4.20         Average (Converted to Rating (05))           Average         4.20         Average (Converted to Rating (05))           ation (Yes/No)         N.A         N.A           Average (Converted to Rating (05))         Average (Converted to Rating (05))           Average (Converted to Rating (05))         Average (Converted to Rating (05))	1 10	0	4.06	4.06	_	2 73
Support for the development of Students skill	4	e Ob	4.17	4.17		3.13
the course outline         4.17         Willingness to offer help and advice to students           on format         4.28         4.28           sets the expectation(Throgh ICT Mode)         4.06         4.06           Average         Average (Converted to Rating (05))           rest the expectation(Throgh ICT Mode)         4.20         Average (Converted to Rating (05))           rest the expectation(Throgh ICT Mode)         Yes         Yes/%)         Overall Rating (05))           outline (Yes/No)         N.A         N.A         A.01           ation (Yes/No)         N.A         N.A         A.01           ation (Yes/No)         N.A         N.A         N.A	5	Overall command on Class & Subject	4.22	4.22	Support for the development of Students skill (Practical demonstration, Hands on training, Case Study / Other)	3.80
on format         4.28         4.28           sets the expectation(Throgh ICT Mode)         4.06         4.06         Average         Converted to Rating (05)           Average         4.20         4.20         Average (Converted to Rating (05))           Total Avg         Yes         Yes(%)         N.A         N.A         A.01           outline (Yes/No)         N.A         N.A         A.01         A.01           ation (Yes/No)         N.A         N.A         N.A         A.01	9	Effectiveness in meeting the course outline	4.17	4.17	Willingness to offer help and advice to students	
sets the expectation(Throgh ICT Mode)         4.06         4.06         4.06         Average (Converted to Rating (05))           Average         Average         Converted to Rating (05)         Average (Converted to Rating (05))           Total Avg         Yes         Yes(%)         N.A         N.A         Average (Converted to Rating (05))           format (Yes/No)         N.A         N.A         Average (Converted to Rating (05))         Average (Converted to Rating (05))           format (Yes/No)         N.A         N.A         Avoil           ation (Yes/No)         N.A         N.A         Avoil	7	Clarity given in evaluation format	4.28	4.28		3.80
Average         4.20         4.20         Average (Converted to Rating (05))           Total Avg         Total Avg         Converted to Rating (05))           ves         Yes         Yes(%)           outline (Yes/No)         N.A         N.A           dormat (Yes/No)         N.A         N.A           ation (Yes/No)         N.A         N.A	00	At what level Course meets the expectation(Throgh ICT Mode)	4.06	4.06		
Total Avg         4.20         Total Avg (Converted to Rating (05))           outline (Yes/No)         Yes         Yes(%)         Overall Rating           format (Yes/No)         N.A         N.A         4.01           ation (Yes/No)         N.A         N.A         A.01		Average	4.20	4.20	Average (Converted to Rating (05))	3.81
Yes         Yes(%)           outline (Yes/No)         N.A         N.A           format (Yes/No)         N.A         N.A           ation (Yes/No)         N.A         N.A           *         *		Total Avg		0	Avg (Converted to	3.81
outline (Yes/No)         N.A         N.A           format (Yes/No)         N.A         N.A           ation (Yes/No)         N.A         N.A			Yes	Yes(%)		
format (Yes/No)         N.A         N.A           ation (Yes/No)         N.A         N.A	6	Aware about the course outline (Yes/No)	N.A	N.A	Overall Rating	
ation (Yes/No) N.A	10	Aware about evaluation forms	N.A	N.A	4.01	
Suggestions for Improvement: *	=	Course meets the expectation	N.A	N.A		
	Sugg	*				

Dr. N. G. Nikam Principal

GURU GÓBIND SINGH COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING & RESEARCH CENTRE, NASHIK

Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik

Guru Gobind Singh College of

Dean Academics

Academic Dean

Dr.V. M. Natraj



Program : CE

Academic Year: 2020-2021 (ODD) Name of Teacher: Mr. V.M.Gaikwad

Name of Subject   Name of Su
Rating  M-III Average Clarity of expectations of students  Rating (05)  Rating (05)
M-III
Rating (05)   Rating (05)   Rectback provided on Students progress   3.80
Rating (05)   Rating (05)   Feedback provided on Students progress   4.47   4.47   Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University?   4.00
4.47
4.17
4.06   4.06   Motivation and inspiration for students to learn   3.30     4.17
4.17
4.22   Support for the development of Students skill   3.80     4.17
4.17   4.17   4.17   4.18   4.28   4.28   4.28   4.06   4.06   4.06   4.06   4.06   4.00   Average ( Converted to Rating (05))   3.81
4.28
Per Academic Dean Academics  Guru Gobind Singh College of Eurorited to Rating (05)  A 200  A
Average (Converted to Rating (05)) 3.81     Average   4.20
Avg 4.20 Total Avg (Converted to Rating (05)) 3.81  NA N.A N.A  N.A N.A  Dr. S. D. Kalpande  Academic Dean  Academic Dean  Academic Dean  Curu Gobind Singh College of Engineering and Research Centre, Nashlik  Engineering and Research Centre, Nashlik  A RESEARCH CENTRE, NASHIK
Overall Rating 4.01  Dr. N. G. Nikami Principal PRINCIPAL GURU GOBIND SINGH COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING A RESEARCH CENTRE, NASHIK
Overall Rating 4.01  Dr. N. G. Nikam  Principal  PRINCIPAL  GURU GOBIND SINGH COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING  A RESEARCH CENTRE, NASHIK
Dr. N. G. Nikami Principal PRINCIPAL GURU GOBIND SINGH COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING & RESEARCH CENTRE, NASHIK
Dr. N. G. Nikami Principal PRINCIPAL GURU GOBIND SINGH COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING & RESEARCH CENTRE, NASHIK
Dr. N. G. Nikami Principal PRINCIPAL GURU GOBIND SINGH COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING & RESEARCH CENTRE, NASHIK
Dr. N. G. Nikami Principal PRINCIPAL GURU GOBIND SINGH COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING & RESEARCH CENTIPE, NASHIK
Principal PRINCIPAL GURU GOBIND SINGH COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING & RESEARCH CENTRE, NASHIK
GURU GOBIND SINGH COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING A RESEARCH CENTRE, NASHIK
GURU GOBIND SINGH COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING A RESEARCH CENTRE, NASHIK

ioned subject

Name of Teacher: Ms.V.V.Pawar.

ne of Teacher: Ms.v.v.Fawar.	<b>_</b>	tate the te	aching-lear	ning proce	Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject	ers of menti od: 4, Excel	oned subje Ient: 5)			
The second secon		(101)	1 001 1 1	30	Offine			Ollimic	Rating	Rating
Ouestion				5	TIME			Darameter	DTAT	TOM
				Ra	Rating			Lalameter	DIVI	
								Clarity of expectations of students	3.40	7.62
				OT.	TOM	Ave	Average	Clainty of experience		
Nan	Name of Subject	BIM	W	2	1112			The second of Following		17.61
								Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Following.	3.33	10.1
	Th/Pr	f	Pr	Th (A)	Th (B)	Th	r.			
				,				Feedback provided on Students progress	1 13	7.52
		D. 4: 12 (05)	Dating (05)		Rating (05) Rating (05)	Ratin	Rating (05)	I conoacy biological and a second a second and a second a	20:0	
		Kating (05)	Kating (65) Kating (65)	_	9			T. T. Tacher contered entire Svilabils as	,	7 67
		4 33	N N	3.71	3.90	3.98	A.X	Has the Teacher covered cirilly	2.07	10.7
Regularity in the Class conduction		4.00	A Price					Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond	1 13	7.81
		4 22	Y.Z	3.86	3.81	3.96	A.A	sellabils?	5.15	
libelivery of Course Conten		-	T10222222	200200000000000000000000000000000000000	To Concionate and the Concionate		The second secon	SVIIdous:		

Dr. S. D. Kalpande

Dr. V. M. Natraj

HOD, CE Dept.

Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik Guru Gobind Singh College of Dean Academics

GURU GOBIND SINGH COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING & PESCARCH CENTRE, NASHIK PRINCIPAL

SCHON COLLEGE OF CHOOL STANDS

Dr. N. G. Nikam

Principal

E. C. Linion S. Sail

THE COLUMN THE PARTY OF THE PAR

3.80

3.30

Average (Converted to Rating (05))

Total Avg (Converted to Rating (05))

No(%)

Yes(%)

N.A

3.89

3.89

3.80

3.75

Y.Z

4.08

Average

At what level Course meets the expectation(Throgh ICT Mode)

Clarity given in evaluation format

Total Avg

4.08

4.00

A.N

3.92

Y.Z

Y.Z

N.A

N.A

N.A

N.A

Aware about the course outline (Yes/No)

Aware about evaluation format (Yes/No)

10

Course meets the expectation (Yes/No)

Suggestions for Improvement:

Š

Yes

N.A

A.N

N.A

Y.Z

N.A

N.A

N.A

N.A

Y.Z

Y.Y

Overall Rating

3.53

Willingness to offer help and advice to students

N.A

3.90

N.A

4.00

N.A

4.06

Overall command on Class & Subject

Understanding of Course Objectives

4.06

3.81

3.57

N.A

N.A

3.91

3.81

3.86

N.A

Teaching Methodology Used for Understanding Concepts

Y.Z

Support for the development of Students skill

Pace on which contents were covered

7.38

Motivation and inspiration for students to learn

### Guru Gobind Singh Foundation's

### Guru Gobind Singh College of Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik

### Student's Feedback Report (2020-21 Even Sem)

### CIVIL ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT

### Overall Feedback

SR.NO	Name of Staff	Feedback (05)
1	Dr. N. G. Nikam	N.A.
2	Dr. V. M. Natraj	3.80
3	Dr. V. N. Kanthe	3.44
4	Mr. D. O. Bhavar	3.73
5	Mrs. P. A. Padalkar	3.54
6	Mr. A. G. Chaudhari	3.43
7	Mr. C. G. Joshi	3.74
8	Mrs. T. A. Kulkarni	3.50
9	Mr. P. B. Shinde	3.56
10	Mrs. V. S. Bhalerao	3.62
11	Mr. D. S. Desale	3.60
12	Mr. R. K. Paikrao	3.83
13	Mr. C. S. kankariya	N.A.
14	Ms.V.V.Pawar	3.16
15	Mr.P.P.Kadam	3.70
16	Ms.A.H.Vanjari	3.51
17	Ms. K.T.Tambe	3.82
18	Ms.S.S.Salkade 3 ( 100 to 22 10 )	N.A.

Dr. V.M. Natraj

**HOD Civil Engg.** 

Dr. S. D. Kalpande Academic Dean

Dean Academics Guru Gobind Singh College of Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik Dr. N. G. Nikam

Principal

PRINCIPAL GURU GOBIND SINGH COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING & RESEARCH CENTHE, NASHIK

### **Guru Gobind Singh Foundation's** Guru Gobind Singh College of Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik Student's Feedback Report for Institute (2020-21 Even Sem)

### CIVIL ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT

### **Overall Feedback**

Sr. No	Parameters	SE-A	TE-A	TE-B	BE-A	BE-B	Average
1	Laboratory Equipment and Training	3.26	3.82	3.44	3.42	3.89	3.57
2	Library	3.16	3.90	3.25	3.45	3.83	3.52
3	Play Ground & Sport Activities	3.21	3.67	3.03	3.28	3.76	3.39
4	Canteen	3.37	3.44	3.11	3.19	3.53	3.33
5	Co-curricular & Extra- curricular activities	3.16	3.62	3.31	3.31	3.79	3.44
	Motivational Activities	3.16	3.84	3.47	3.42	3.85	3.55
7	Assessment of Examinations	3.37	3.77	3.56	3.53	3.81	3.61
8	Internet Facility	3.26	3.70	3.31	3.41	3.81	3.50
9	Training and Placement Support	3.21	3.69	3.25	3.28	3.80	3.45
10	Handling of Grievances of the Students	3.26	3.75	3.50	3.30	3.84	3.53
11	Safety and Security of the Students	3.32	3.98	3.64	3.50	3.89	3.67
12	Gender Equality Promotions	3.53	3.97	3.42	3.47	3.85	3.65
13	Campus Environment and Cleanness	3.63	3.97	3.39	3.50	3.92	3.68
14	Teaching Quality	3.53	3.92	3.67	3.47	3.89	3.69
15	Public perception about the Institute	3.53	3.87	3.58	3.42	3.88	3.66
16	Overall Ranking of the Institute	3.53	3.97	3.47	3.48	3.84	3.66
17	At what level Digital India is Promoted	3.37	3.87	3.44	3.45	3.80	3.59
18	Any Suggestions for Review and Design of Syllabus for Further Recommendations: (If No Write "No") and submit.	3.42	3.93	3.39	3.33	3.89	3.59

Dr. V. M. Natraj HOD, CE Dept.

Dr. S. D. Kalpande

Academic Dean Dean Academics

Guru Gobind Singh College GURU GOBIND SINGH COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik

Dr. N. G. Nikam

Principal

PRINCIPAL

OCH CENTRE, NASHIK

# Research Centre, Nashik Guru Gobind Singh College of Engineering and 1

Andent's Feedback Report (Even Term)

Program: CE

Teacher: Dr.V.M.Natraj

Academic Year: 2020-2021 (Even)

Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject

(Very Poor: 1, Poor: 2, Average: 3, Good: 4, Excellent: 5)

Name o				200						
Name o				Offine	ine			Online (ERP)		
Name of				Rating (05)	3 (05)			Domonton	Rating QSCT	
	Name of Subject	OSCT	-Div A	-		Average	age.	rarameter	-Div A	1
	Th/Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Clarity of expectations of students	3.80	N.A
S. N. Parameter		Rating (05)	g (05)	Rating (05)	Rating (05)	Rating (05)	g (05)	1	3.88	N.A
1 Regularity in the Class conduction		3.81	3.81	N.A	N.A	3.81	3.81	(ICT, PPT)) Feedback provided on Students progress	3.72	N.A
of Course Content		3.83	3.83	N.A	N.A	3.83	3.83	Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as	3.83	N.A
Teaching Methodology Used for Understanding Concepts	anding	3.78	3.78	N.A	N.A	3.78	3.78	Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus?	3.77	N.A
4 Understanding of Course Objectives		3.81	3.81	N.A	N.A	3.81	3.81	Motivation and inspiration for students to	3.81	N.A
		3.81	3.81	N.A	N.A	3.81	3.81	Pace on which contents were covered	3.72	N.A
6 Effectiveness in meeting the course outline	ie	3.83	3.83	N.A	N.A	3.83	3.83	Support for the development of Students	3.78	
7 Clarity given in evaluation format		3.81	3.81	N.A	N.A	3.81	3.81	or self Development	3.77	N.A
8 At what level Course meets the expectation(Throgh ICT Mode)	n(Throgh	3.86	3.86	N.A	N.A	3.86	3.86	Willingness to offer help and advice to	3.80	
	Average	3.82	3.82	N.A	N.A	3.82	3.82	Average (Converted to Rating (05))	3.79	-
I	Total Avg			3.8	82			(Converted to Rating	L	6
		Yes	ON	Yes	No	Yes(%)	No(%)			
9 Aware about the course outline (Yes/No)		55.00	4.00		7	93.22	6.78	Overall Rating	no	
10 Aware about evaluation format (Yes/No)		56.00	3.00				5.08			
) поі		55.00	2.00			96.49	3.51	AST CLOSE TO THE PARTY AND THE		
Suggestions for Improvement: *								01. 22.04.201		
								22 04 2042		
1200			5	3				TERN/201	4	200
Dr.V. M. Natraj			r. S. D.	Dr. S. D. Kalpande	9 .			OF ENGINEER	Dr. N. G.	G. Nikam

Sury Gobind Sinah Collens of Dr. S. D. Kalpande Dean Academics

# Guru Gobind Singh College of Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik

ident's Feedback Report (Even Term)

Program: CE

Academic Year: 2020-2021 (Even)

Teacher: Dr.V.N.Kanthe

of mentioned subject (Very Poor: 1, Poor: 2, Average: 3, Good: 4, Excellent: Rate the teaching-learning process parameters

N.A N.A A.N N.A N.A N.A N.A N.A A.N Z Rating CT -Div 3.42 3.42 26 3.21 3.37 3.11 3.37 21 3.21 47 3 Overall Rating Online (ERP) 3.44 Rating (05)) Rating (05)) Syllabus as Motivation and inspiration for students to Support for the development of Students Feedback provided on Students progress Has the Teacher covered relevant topics Willingness to offer help and advice to Pace on which contents were covered Efforts for self Development Related Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of (Practical demonstration, Hands on (Technical content/course content, Clarity of expectations of students Has the Teacher covered entire Converted to Converted to Parameter NO. 2013 RESEARCH CHUNG prescribed by University? COS OF THE SINGH CO. LAS. beyond syllabus? Average ( Avg academics students Total skill 5.88 5.88 11.76 No(%) 3.80 3.85 3.75 3.76 3.77 3.70 3.70 3.75 3.78 Rating (05) Ę Average Yes(%) 94.12 88.24 94.12 3.42 3.37 3.37 3.32 3.42 3.21 3.21 Rating (92) 4.08 4.10 4.13 4.07 4.08 4.05 4.08 Rating (05) 4.05 4.11 No Offine 3.57 Rating (A&B) OSCT (02) 3.84 3.84 3.82 3.84 3.84 3.84 3.81 3.83 Yes 3.87 3.42 3.63 3.37 3.37 Rating (05) 3.21 3.32 3.21 3.42 3.37 1.00 1.00 Son 2.00 CT-Div A 3.63 3.42 16.00 3.37 3.37 16.00 3.21 3.21 15.00 3.32 3.42 Yes 3.37 Name of Subject Th/Pr Average Total Avg hat level Course meets the expectation(Throgh ching Methodology Used for Understanding Effectiveness in meeting the course outline e about the course outline (Yes/No) e about evaluation format (Yes/No) se meets the expectation (Yes/No) Overall command on Class & Subject lerstanding of Course Objectives Regularity in the Class conduction ity given in evaluation format ivery of Course Content for Improvement : \* Mode) Teaching Concepts Und Awar Clari Del 3 9

Dr. V. M. Natraj

Dr. S. D. Kalpande Dean Academics

Single Suchana of

GURU GOBIND SINGHACOLLEGEOF ENGINEERING

& RESEARCH CENTRE, NASHIK

### Guru Gobind Singh College of Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik (Lerm) Adent's Feedback Report (Even

Program: CE

Academic Year: 2020-2021 (Even)

Name of Teacher: Mr. D. O. Bhavar

Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject : 4, Excellent: 5) (Very Poor: 1, Poor: 2, Average: 3, Good

		1 (1)		-		0		(dua)			
				Offine	ine			Online (ERF)			
				Rating (05)	3 (05)				Rating OSCT	Rating	
	Name of Subject	QSCT -Div B	Div B	APC-	-Div A	Average	age.	Parameter	-Div B	APC -Div A	
		É	ä	Í.	D.	H.	Pr	Clarity of expectations of students	3.85	3.56	
	lh/Fr	<u> </u>	٤					Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Following:			
S.	Parameter	Rating (05)	(92)	Rating (05)	Rating (05)	Rating (05)	g (05)	(Technical content/course content, Communication skills, Use of teaching aids (ICT, PPT))	3.85	3.59	
				03.6	2.50	2 73	3.73	Feedback provided on Students progress	3.91	3.53	
1	Regularity in the Class conduction	3.87	3.87	5.59	3.39	3.73	0.7.0	Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed	205	3 69	
2	Delivery of Course Content	3.85	3.85	3.59	3.59	3.72	3.72	by University?	3.83	3.02	
"	Teaching Methodology Used for Understanding	3.87	3.87	3.58	3.58	3.72	3.72	Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus?	3.85	3.61	
.   <	Concepts The of Course Objectives	3.87	3.87	3.59	3.59	3.73	3.73	Motivation and inspiration for students to learn	3.93	3.53	
r	ol on buoming	3.87	3.87	3.63	3.63	3.75	3.75	Pace on which contents were covered	3.85	3.61	
0 0	3 3	3.79	3.79	3.59	3.59	3.69	3.69	Support for the development of Students skill (Practical demonstration, Hands on training, Case Study / Other)	3.92	3.63	
1	Clarity oiven in evaluation format	3.85	3.85	3.55	3.55	3.70	3.70	Efforts for self Development Related to academics	3.88	3.55	
- 0	At what level Course meets the expectation(Throgh	3.88	3.88	3.58	3.58	3.73	3.73	Willingness to offer help and advice to students	3.92	3.64	
0	ICT Mode)	200	3 86	2 50	3.50	3 72	3.72	Average (Converted to Rating (05))	3.88	3.59	
	Average Total Ave	3.00	2.00	100	72			(Converted to	3.7.	4	
		Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes(%)	No(%)				
6	Aware about the course outline (Yes/No)	00.69	1.00	44.00	9.00	91.87	8.13	Overall	5.		
10	Aware about evaluation format (Yes/No)	00.69	1.00	47.00	00.9	94.31	5.69	1000 ME 1 100 ME 13.73			
=	Course meets the expectation (Yes/No)	00.69	2.00	47.00	5.00	94.31	5.69	10 TE TT 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10			
Sugg	Suggestions for Improvement : *							~t			
	- A-A-A-A-A-A-A-A-A-A-A-A-A-A-A-A-A-A-A		3)	3				STAD ALSEARCH CEANS	PRIN	PRINCIPAL	

GURU GOBINDISINGH GONIEGE OF ENGINEERING

# Guru Gobind Singh College of Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik

Indent's Feedback Report (Even Term)

Program: CE

Academic Year: 2020-2021 (Even)

of Teacher: Mrs. P. A. Padalkar

Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject (Very Poor: 1, Poor: 2, Average: 3, Good: 4, Excellent: 5)

		ery root.	7					Online (ERP)	•	
X				OHIME	me					Rating
				Rating	g (05)			Parameter	CTF -Div A	FE -Div A
	Name of Subject	GTE-1	-Div A	FE	CM	Aver	age		200	000
		T.	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Clarity of expectations of students	3.32	3.92
S. N.	Parameter	Rating (05)	(05)	Rating (05)	Rating (05)	Rating (05)		Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Following: (Technical content/course content, Communication skills, Use of teaching aids (ICT, PPT))	3.47	4.00
-	Remilarity in the Class conduction	3.58	3.58	3.92	3.79	3.75	3.68	Feedback provided on Students progress	3.47	3.90
,	Delivery of Course Content	3.42	3.42	4.00	3.65	3.71	3.54	Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University?	3.42	3.98
3	Teaching Methodology Used for Understanding	3.37	3.37	3.90	3.77	3.64	3.57	Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus?	3.21	3.93
4	Understanding of Course Objectives	3.37	3.37	3.98	3.69	3.68	3.53	Motivation and inspiration for students to learn	3.26	3.97
4	Overall command on Class & Subject	3.21	3.21	3.93	3.72	3.57	3.47	Pace on which contents were covered	3.37	3.92
9	eness in meeting the c	3.26	3.26	3.97	3.67	3.62	3.46	Support for the development of Students skill (Practical demonstration, Hands on training, Case Study / Other)	3.32	4.03
7	Clarity given in evaluation format	3.42	3.42	3.92	3.64	3.67	3.53	Efforts for self Development Related to academics	3.47	00.00
8	At what level Course meets the expectation(Throgh ICT Mode)	3.32	3.32	4.03	3.64	3.67	3.48	Willingness to offer help and advice to students	3.58	3.92
	Average	3.37	3.37	3.96	3.70	3.66	3.53	Average (Converted to Rating (05))	3.39	3.56
	Total Avg			3.	09			Total Avg (Converted to Rating (05))	) 3	.47
		Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes(%)	No(%)			
6	Aware about the course outline (Yes/No)	16.00	1.00	49.00	2.00	95.59	4.41		ing	
10	Aware about evaluation format (Yes/No)	15.00	2.00	49.00	2.00	94.12	5.88	GINE	4	
11	Course meets the expectation (Yes/No)	16.00	1.00	44.00	7.00	88.24	11.76			
ugge	Suggestions for Improvement: *							1 5 6 8 8 8		
			6	199				ASSEMBLY CENTRE * 6.4	*	
	12/			1	1				1	57

GURU GÓBIND SINGH COLLEGE OF ENGINEE

Guru Gobind Singh College of

Dean Academics

Dr. S. D. Kalpande

Academic Year: 2020-2021 (Even)

Name of Teacher: Mrs. P. A. Padalkar

Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject Average: 3. Good: 4. Excellent: 5)

		(Very Poor:	-	or: 2,	Poor: 2, Average:	4	C000:	4, F.Xcenent. 3)		
				Offine	ne			Online (F.KF)		
				Rating (05)	(02)			Parameter	Rating	Kating vv Div A
	Name of Subject	GTE -Div	<b>Y</b>	FE	CM	Average	age.		CIE-DIVA	LE SIGNA
	Th/Pr	Th	Pr	Ŧ.	Pr	Th	Pr	Clarity of expectations of students	3.32	3.92
S. N.	Parameter	Rating (05)		50	Rating (05)	Rating (05)	g (05)	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Following: (Technical content/course content, Communication skills, Use of teaching aids (ICT, PPT))	3.47	4.00
1	Regularity in the Class conduction	3.58 3.	3.58	3.92	3.79	3.75	3.68	Feedback provided on Students progress	3.47	3.90
2	Delivery of Course Content	3.42 3.	3.42	4.00	3.65	3.71	3.54	Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University?	3.42	3.98
3	Teaching Methodology Used for Understanding	3.37 3.	3.37	3.90	3.77	3.64	3.57	Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus?	3.21	3.93
4	Understanding of Course Objectives	3.37 3.	3.37	3.98	3.69	3.68	3.53	Motivation and inspiration for students to learn	3.26	3.97
2	Overall command on Class & Subject	3.21 3.	3.21	3.93	3.72	3.57	3.47	Pace on which contents were covered	3.37	3.92
9	Effectiveness in meeting the course outline	3.26 3.	3.26	3.97	3.67	3.62	3.46	Support for the development of Students skill (Practical demonstration, Hands on training, Case Study / Other)	3.32	4.03
7	Clarity given in evaluation format	3.42 3.	3.42	3.92	3.64	3.67	3.53	Efforts for self Development Related to academics	3.47	00.00
∞	At what level Course meets the expectation(Throgh ICT Mode)	3.32 3.	3.32	4.03	3.64	3.67	3.48	Willingness to offer help and advice to students	3.58	3.92
	Average	3.37 3	3.37	3.96	3.70	3.66	3.53	Average (Converted to Rating (05))	3.39	3.56
	Total Avg			3.60	0			Total Avg (Converted to Rating (05))	3.47	7
		Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes(%)	No(%)	CACOLLEGE		
6	Aware about the course outline (Yes/No)	16.00	1.00   4	49.00	2.00	95.59	4.41	0 ( ) (	5,0	
10	Aware about evaluation format (Yes/No)	15.00 2.	2.00	49.00	2.00	94.12	5.88	AICT S		
111	Course meets the expectation (Yes/No)	16.00	1.00	44.00	7.00	88.24	11.76	( 3 ( 0 12 0 ) 5 )		
Sugg	Suggestions for Improvement: *							200		
								10,162		

Dr. S. D. Kalpande Dean Academics

GURU GOBIND SINGH COLLEGE OF ENGINEERIN

Guru Gobind Singh College of

Academic Year: 2020-2021 (Even)

Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject Teacher: Mr. A. G. Chaudhari

		ery ro	r 001: 1,	roor: 2	: 2, Average: Offine	age: 3,	200g:	4, Excellent: 3) Online (ERP)		
				Ratin	Rating (05)					Ratino
	Name of Subject	PMEE -Div A	PM - Div A	SD-II - Div B	· CM - Div B	Ave	Average	Parameter	PMEE -Div	PM -Div A
	Th/Pr	Th	Th	Pr	Pr	Th	Pr	Clarity of expectations of students	3.44	3.21
	Parameter	Rating (05)	3 (05)	Rating (05)	Rating (05)		Rating (05)	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Following: (Technical content/course content, Communication skills, Use of teaching aids (ICT, PPT))	3.51	3.42
egula	Regularity in the Class conduction	3.57	3.53	3.61	3.79	3.55	3.70	Feedback provided on Students progress	3.46	3.11
elive		3.56	3.26	3.67	3.65	3.41	3.66	Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University?	3.44	3.37
l eaching Concepts	ng Methodology Used for Understanding pts	3.48	3.21	3.53	3.77	3.34	3.65	Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus?	3.49	3.21
Juders	Understanding of Course Objectives	3.49	3.26	3.42	3.69	3.38	3.56	Motivation and inspiration for students to learn	3.39	3.21
Overall	l command on Class & Subject	3.49	3.11	3.58	3.72	3.30	3.65	Pace on which contents were covered	3.51	3.21
ffecti	Effectiveness in meeting the course outline	3.44	3.11	3.36	3.67	3.27	3.51	Support for the development of Students skill (Practical demonstration, Hands on training, Case Study / Other)	3.44	1 =
Jarity	Clarity given in evaluation format	3.49	3.16	3.58	3.64	3.32	3.61	Efforts for self Development Related to academics	3.46	3.32
At wha ICT M	At what level Course meets the expectation(Throgh ICT Mode)	3.56	3.53	3.44	3.64	3.54	3.54	Willingness to offer help and advice to students	3.49	3.32
	Average	3.51	3.27	3.52	3.70	3.39	3.61	Average (Converted to Rating (05))	3.46	3.25
	Total Avg			3.5	50			Total Avg (Converted to Rating (05))	3.36	
		Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes(%)	(%)oN			
Aware	about the course outline (Yes/No)	15.00	2.00	30.00	00.9	84.91	15.09	Overall Rating		
Aware	about evaluation format (Yes/No)	15.00	2.00	30.00	5.00	86.54	13.46	MON COLLEGE OF CA		
Course	Course meets the expectation (Yes/No)	13.00	4.00	28.00	00.9	80.39	19.61	SIME TO ALLE		
tions	Suggestions for Improvement: *							1. 3/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2		
	So Cal		nlb	3				30	3	
	Dr. V. M. Natraj	ď	S. D. A.	Kalnande	į.			ENTRE #	D. PRINCIPAL	Æ

GURU GOBIND SHIGH COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING

Guru Gobind Singh College of

Bean Academics

### Research Centre, Nashik \*Ident's Feedback Report (Even Term) Guru Gobind Singh College of Engineering and

Program: CE

Academic Year: 2020-2021 (Even)

Name of Teacher: Mr. C. G. Joshi

Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject

(Very Poor: 1, Poor: 2, Average: 3, Good: 4, Excellent: 5)

								Online (ERP)		
				o	Offine				Rating	Rating
	Name of Subject	ABE	-Div A	ABE.	-Div B	Average	age	Parameter	ABE -Div A	ABE -Div B
	Th/Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Clarity of expectations of students	3.66	3.89
S. N.	Parameter	Ratir	Rating (05)	Rating (05)	Rating (05)	Rating (05)	(02)	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Following: (Technical content/course content, Communication skills, Use of teaching aids (ICT, PPT))	3.66	3.88
1	Regularity in the Class conduction	3.61	3.61	3.84	3.84	3.72	3.72	Feedback provided on Students progress	3.64	3.88
2	f Course Content	3.63	3.63	3.81	3.81	3.72	3.72	Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University?	3.58	3.85
3	Teaching Methodology Used for Understanding Concepts	3.69	3.69	3.81	3.81	3.75	3.75	Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus?	3.58	3.87
4	Understanding of Course Objectives	3.61	3.61	3.85	3.85	3.73	3.73	Motivation and inspiration for students to learn	3.58	3.87
5	Overall command on Class & Subject	3.64	3.64	3.80	3.80	3.72	3.72	Pace on which contents were covered	3.63	3.89
9	Effectiveness in meeting the course outline	3.69	3.69	3.84	3.84	3.76	3.76	Support for the development of Students skill (Practical demonstration, Hands on training, Case Study / Other)	3.63	3.87
7	Clarity given in evaluation format	3.56	3.56	3.83	3.83	3.69	3.69	Efforts for self Development Related to academics	3.66	3.84
00	At what level Course meets the expectation(Throgh	3.63	3.63	3.85	3.85	3.74	3.74	Willingness to offer help and advice to students	3.64	3.85
		3.63	3.63	3.83	3.83	3.73	3.73	Average (Converted to Rating (05))	3.62	3.87
	Total Avg			3.	73			Total Avg (Converted to Rating (05))	3.75	
		Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes(%)	No(%)			
6	Aware about the course outline (Yes/No)	49.00	4.00	00.69	2.00	92.16	4.84	GN COLLEGE OF ENC	o.o	
10	Aware about evaluation format (Yes/No)	48.00	5.00	00.69	2.00	94.35	5.65	3.74		
11	Course meets the expectation (Yes/No)	49.00	4.00	00.69	2.00	92.16	4.84	B ( 7.87 (E.A. ) &		
1226								3 (0, 20, 10, 0) 5   5		
6	4		V	3				SEACH CENTRE & 6. WILLS	PRIN	CIPAL
		1							GURU GOBIND SINGH CO.	NA EGE OF ENGINEER!

Dean Academics Dr. S. D\ Kalpande

GURU GOBING SINGH COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING

Guru Gobind Singh College of Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik

Andent's Feedback Report (Even Term)

Program: CE

Academic Year: 2020-2021 (Even)

Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject of Teacher: Mrs. T. A. Kulkarni

	٦	Very Poor:	-1	F00r: 2,	Avera	200	3, G0001.	Online (ERP)	(	
				Off	Offine				Rating	ating
	Name of Subject	SUR -I	-Div A	FE -	ADS -	Average	age.	Parameter	SUR -Div A	÷Ι
	Th/Pr	T.	Pr	Th		Th	Pr	Clarity of expectations of students	3.26	3.61
	Parameter	Rating (05)	3 (05)	Rating (05)	2 0	Rating (05)	g (05)	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Following: (Technical content/course content, Communication skills, Use of teaching aids (ICT, PPT))	3.16	3.53
Real	Regularity in the Class conduction	3.53	3.53	3.56	3.84	3.54	3.68	Feedback provided on Students progress	3.26	3.58
Deli	Cour	3.42	3.42	3.56	3.95		3.69	Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University?	3.32	3.56
Teac	Ceaching Methodology Used for Understanding	3.32	3.32	3.64	3.84	3.48	3.58	Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus?	3.11	3.56
Und	Understanding of Course Objectives	3.53	3.53	3.50	3.85	3.51	3.69	Motivation and inspiration for students to learn	3.32	3.53
Overall	rall command on Class & Subject	3.26	3.26	3.58	3.89	3.42	3.57	Pace on which contents were covered	3.37	3.58
Effe	eness in meeting the c	3.37	3.37	3.53	3.80	3.45	3.59	Support for the development of Students skill (Practical demonstration, Hands on training, Case Study / Other)	3.47	3.47
Clan	Clarity given in evaluation format	3.32	3.32	3.61	3.79	3.46	3.55	Efforts for self Development Related to academics	3.37	3.58
At w	At what level Course meets the expectation(Throgh ICT Mode)	3.53	3.53	3.58	3.89	3.55	3.71	Willingness to offer help and advice to students	3.42	3.61
	Average	3.41	3.41	3.57	3.85	3.49	3.63	Average (Converted to Rating (05))	3.31	3.56
	Total Avg			3	.56			Total Avg (Converted to Rating (05))	3.	43
		Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes(%)	No(%)			
Aware	re about the course outline (Yes/No)	14.00	3.00	30.00	3.00	88.00	12.00		ing	
Aware	re about evaluation format (Yes/No)	13.00	4.00	30.00	3.00	86.00	14.00	SHOW	0	
Course	rse meets the expectation (Yes/No)	14.00	2.00	31.00	1.00	93.75	6.25;			
Suggestions	s for Improvement: *						•	140		
	1		1	3				-13.	DATAG	8
		,		(	9				PRINCIPLE	FOR DE FNG

GURU GOBIND SINGH KOLLEGE, OF ENGINEERING

Dr. S.D. Kalpande Dean Academics

Academic Year: 2020-2021 (Even)

Program: CE

Name of Teacher: Mr. P. B. Shinde

Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject (Very Poor: 1, Poor: 2, Average: 3, Good: 4, Excellent: 5)

				20	Offina			Online (ERP)	(,	
				5					Rating	Rating
	Name of Subject	11- QS	Div B	-SQV	-Div A	Ave	Average	Parameter	SD -II Div B	1
	Th/Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Clarity of expectations of students	3.56	NA
S. N.	. Parameter	Rating (05)	g (05)	Rating (05)	Rating (05)	Rating (05)	g (05)	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Following: (Technical content/course content, Communication skills, Use of teaching aids (ICT, PPT))	3.50	NA
-	Regularity in the Class conduction	3.61	, , ,	;						
2	f Course Co.	3.01	3.61	NA	3.84	3.61	3.72	Feedback provided on Students progress	3.56	NA
(	d for	3.67	3.67	NA	3.95	3.67	3.81	Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University?	3.44	NA
m ·	G C C	3.53	3.53	NA	3.84	3.53	3.68	Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus?	3.56	NA
4	anding of Cor	3.42	3.42	NA	3.85	3.42	3.63	Motivation and inspiration for students to learn	3 53	NA
0	Overall command on Class & Subject	3.58	3.58	NA	3.89	3.58	3.73	Pace on which contents were covered	3.44	NA
9	Effectiveness in meeting the course outline	3.36	3.36	NA	3.80	3.36	3.58	Support for the development of Students skill (Practical demonstration, Hands on training, Case Study / Other)	3.50	NA
7	Clarity given in evaluation format	3.58	3.58	NA	3.79	3.58	3.69	Efforts for self Development Related to academics	, 43	
∞	At what level Course meets the expectation(Throgh ICT Mode)	3.44	3.44	NA	3.89	3.44	3.66	Willingness to offer help and advice to students	2.4.5	NA
		3 52	3.52	######	3 05	2 57	976		3.56	NA
	Total Avg	,	10:0	3.6	61	3.32	3.09	erage ( Converted to	3.51	1
		Vec	No	5		100	1000	Total Avg (Converted to Rating (05))	3.51	
6	Aware about the course outline (Yes/No)	30.00	3.00	51	ONI	res(%)	9.09	Overall Detina	52	
01	Aware about evaluation format (Yes/No)	29.00	4.00			87.88	12.12	OLEGE OF ENGINES		
	eets the expectation (Yes/N	29.00	3.00			90.63	9.38	2000		
Sugg	Suggestions for Improvement: *							WESTER		
								1,101,56		
	1 de la companya della companya dell			3				4 C4 C4 2013 125 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12		
	Dr. V. M. Natraj	ď	Dr. S. D. K	Kalnande				FASHIK-9		, n

Dr. S. D. Kalpande

Dean Academics

The Singh Column of the Column

GURU GOBIND SINGH COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING

Dr. N. G. Nikam

Program: CE

Academic Year: 2020-2021 (Even)

e of Teacher: Mr. V.S.Bhalerao

Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject

(Very Poor: 1, Poor: 2, Average: 3, Good: 4, Excellent: 5)

								Online (ERP)	(		T
				Offine	er.		-		Rating	Rating	- Parket park
	Name of Subject	DHS Div	-	FF-1 -Div	N. B	Average	ge de	Parameter	DHS Div A	1	
	Th/Pr		:	-	P.	Th	L	Clarity of expectations of students	3.61	NA	-
S. N.	Parameter	ting (		50	Rating (05)	Rating (05)		Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Following:  (Technical content course content, Communication skills, Use of teaching aids (ICT, PPT))	3.63	×	C-C-C-C-C-C-C-C-C-C-C-C-C-C-C-C-C-C-C-
-	Regularity in the Class conduction	3.64 3.	3.83	NA 3	3.53	3.64	3.68	Feedback provided on Students progress	3.59	NA	
2	Delivery of Course Content	3.59 3.0	3.80	_	3.50	3.59	3.65	Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University?	3.64	NA	
m	Teaching Methodology Used for Understanding Concepts	3.59 3.	3.76	NA 3	3.50	3.59	3.63	Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus?	3.58	N.A	
4	Understanding of Course Objectives	3.59 3.	3.79	NA 3	3.58	3.59	3.69	Motivation and inspiration for students to learn	3.56	N.	
'n	Overall command on Class & Subject	3.69 3.	3.80	NA 3	3.53	3.69	3.66	Pace on which contents were covered	3.58	N	
9	Effectiveness in meeting the course outline	3.59 3.	3.69	NA 3	3.50	3.59	3.60	Support for the development of Students skill (Practical demonstration, Hands on training, Case Study: Other)	3.55	7.	
7	Clarity given in evaluation format	3.61 3.	3.85	NA 3	3.50	3.61	3.68	Efforts for self Development Related to academics	3.64	N.A	
×	At what level Course meets the expectation(Throgh ICT Mode)	3.59 3.	3.83	N.A.	3.61	3.59	3.72	Willingness to offer help and advice to scudens	3.63	N.	
	Average	3.61 3.	3.79 4#	***	3.53	3.61	3.66	Average (Converted to Rating (05))	3.60	-	
	Total Avg			3.64				Total Avg (Converted to Rating (05))	3.60		
		Yes	No N	Yes	No	Yes(00) >	No(80)				
6	Aware about the course outline (Yes/No)	58.00 5.	5.00	_		92.06	7.94	Over Change		net inglisegge	
10	Aware about evaluation forma	58.00 5.	5.00			92.00	7.9	1		Manus Adapt	
11	Course meets the expectation (Yes/No)	57.00 6.	00.9		_	90.48	9.52	SC ACTES NO. 78 1.		Minhaga	
Sug	Suggestions for Improvement: *							1 3 ( MESTERN 2013 ) E. H.			

Dr. S. D. Kalpande

Cur. Garbing Birmah Selland of Dean Academics

Program: CE

Academic Vear: 2020-2021 (Even)

of Teacher: Mr.D.S.Desale

Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject

(Very Poor: 1, Poor: 2, Average: 3, Good: 4, Excellent: 5)

Parameter  of expectations of students  veness of Teacher in terms of Follov  nical content/course content, Commu  Use of teaching aids (ICT, PPT))  ack provided on Students progress  iversity?  The Teacher covered entire Syllabus as iversity?  ation and inspiration for students to ation and inspiration for students to on which contents were covered on trainin.  It for the development of Students slical demonstration, Hands on trainin.  Average (Converted to Ra  Average (Converted to Ra)									Online (ERP		
Name of Subject         SD11-Div A         ADS-Div B         Average         Farameter         Farameter         SD-11-Div A         ADS-Div B         Average           Implementation         Th/Pr         Th         Pr         Th         Pr         Th         Pr         Chirty of expectations of students of studen					Offi	ne					
Paris   Pr   Th   Th   Th   Th   Th   Th   Th   T		Name of Subject	1-11-QS	N vic		Div B	Averag	ge	rarameter	SD-II -Div A	100
Rating (65)		Th/Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	_		3.92	
and betterion         3.97         3.22         3.22         3.59	S.		Rating		Rating (05)	Rating (05)	Rating		Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Following: (Technical content/course content, Communication skills, Use of teaching aids (ICT, PPT))	3.92	3.14
2.02   2.02   3.63   3.63   3.63   3.63   3.63   3.63   3.63   3.63   3.63   3.63   3.63   3.63   3.63   3.63   3.63   3.64	-	in the	3.97	3.97	3.22	3.22	3.59	.59	Feedback provided on Students progress	3.95	3.19
Sample   S	2	Delivery of Course Content  Teaching Methodology Used for Understanding	4.03	4.03	3.22	3.22	3.63		2000	3.98	3.22
See Subject	m	Victoria and the second	3.98	3.98	3.19	3.19	3.59		Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus?	3.92	3.39
See Subject   4.02   3.25   3.25   3.63   3.63   Pace on which contents were covered   3.97	4	Understanding of Course Objectives	3.97	3.97	3.31	3.31	3.64		n and inspiration for students to	3 00	300
the course outline	0	command on Class & Subjec	4.02	4.02	3.25	3.25	3.63	3.63	Pace on which contents were covered	20.0	3.23
nt format         3.97         3.14         3.14         3.55         3.55         Efforts for self Development Related to academics         3.89           ets the expectation(Throgh at the expectation at the expe	9	Effectiveness in meeting the course outline	3.95	3.95	3.28	3.28	3.61	3.61	nts skil aining,	3.90	3.28
ets the expectation(Throgh Average ( 3.94 8.00	7	Clarity given in evaluation format	3.97	3.97	3.14	3 14	3.55	2.55	Efforts for self Development Related to academics		
Average         3.98         3.24         3.24         3.61         Average (Converted to Rating (05))         3.94           Total Avg         Yes         No         Yes(%)         No(%)         No(%)         Yes(%)         No(%)         Yes(%)         No(%)         Yes(%)         No(%)         Yes         No         Yes(%)         Yes(%)         No(%)         Yes(%)	∞	hat level Mode)	3.97	3.97	3 31	3.3.1	3.63	5.50	Willingness to offer help and advive to ender-	3.89	
Total Avg         Average (Converted to Rating (05))         3.24         3.24         3.24         3.24         3.51         Average (Converted to Rating (05))         3.394           Total Avg         Yes         No         Yes         No(%)         Yes(%)         No(%)         Yes(%)         No(%)         Yes(%)         No(%)         Yes			3 0 6	3.00		10.0	10.0	3.04	SILIDANIE OI DOLLAR DIE A	4.00	3.36
vutline (Yes/No)         Yes         No         Yes         No (%)         No(%)         No(%)         Yes(%)         No(%)         No(%)         Yes         No (%)         No(%)		Total Avo	0.70	5.70	3.24	5.24	3.61	3.61	(Converted to Ra	3.94	3.3.4
vutline (Yes/No)         Yes         No         Yes(%)         No(%)		SA PRO	:		3.	19			Avg (Converted to Ra	,	
outline (Yes/No)         48.00         2.00         24.00         12.00         83.72         16.28           ormat (Yes/No)         48.00         2.00         25.00         10.00         85.88         14.12           tion (Yes/No)         43.00         7.00         25.00         9.00         80.95         19.05	0		Yes	No	Yes	No		No(%)			
ormat (Yes/No) 48.00 2.00 25.00 10.00 85.88 14.12 (See No. 10.00 1	2		48.00	2.00	24.00	12.00	+	16.28			
tion (Yes/No) 43.00 7.00 25.00 9.00 80.95 19.05 ( \$ 10.05 1 19	2	Aware about evaluation format (Yes/No)	48.00	2.00	25.00	10.00	85.88	14 12	over Constant	ng.	
N N	Sugar	ctions for Land expectation (Yes/No)	43.00	7.00	25.00	9.00	80.95	19.05	AUCTERNO ST		
	2990	٠٠ ا							-		

Dr. S. D. Kalpande

Dean Academics

GURU GOBIND SINGH COLLEPTE ENGINEERING

& RESEARCH.CENTRE, NASHIK

Guri Gobind Singh College of

and Research Centre, Nashik Guru Gobind Singh College of Engineering

Andent's Feedback Report (Even Term)

Program: CE

Academic Year: 2020-2021 (Even) ame of Teacher: Mr. R.K.Paikrao

Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject

Rating NA DHS-Div B 3.82 3.80 3.84 3.87 3.88 3.85 3.80 3.75 3.76 3.87 3.82 Online (ERP) Overall Rating Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed Average (Converted to Rating (05)) (Converted to Rating (05)) (Technical content/course content, Communication (Practical demonstration, Hands on training, Case Efforts for self Development Related to academics Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Following: Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond Motivation and inspiration for students to learn Willingness to offer help and advice to students Support for the development of Students skill Feedback provided on Students progress skills, Use of teaching aids (ICT, PPT)) Parameter Clarity of expectations of students SE MOTES 4, Excellent: 5) Total Avg Study / Other) Good: 3.90 No(%) 2.78 2.78 6.94 3.87 3.88 ing (05) 3.91 3.82 3.90 3.91 3.89 erage Yes(%) Ş 93.06 97.22 97.22 (Very Poor: 1, Poor: 2, Average: 3 3.83 3.80 3.80 3.69 3.85 3.79 Rating (92) 3.97 4.03 3.98 SD-11-Div A 4.02 3.95 3.98 3.97 3.97 3.97 S<sub>N</sub> Rating ###### NA NA NA NA NA NA NA DHS- Div B 3.83 3.80 3.69 3.85 Rating (05) 3.79 2.00 2.00 5.00 3.83 3.76 3.80 3.69 70.00 70.00 67.00 3.85 3.79 Th/Pr Name of Subject Average Total Avg At what level Course meets the expectation(Throgh Teaching Methodology Used for Understanding Effectiveness in meeting the course outline Aware about the course outline (Yes/No) Aware about evaluation format (Yes/No) Course meets the expectation (Yes/No) Overall command on Class & Subject Understanding of Course Objectives Regularity in the Class conduction Clarity given in evaluation format Parameter gestions for Improvement: \*

Dr. V. M. Natraj

Dr. S. D. Kalpande

GURU GOBIND SINGH COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING

SEARCH CENTRE

WASHIK.S.

1-1404766831

Dt. 22 04,2013

Gury Gobind Singh College of Dean'Academics

# Guru Gobind Singh College of Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik

Program: CE

Academic Year: 2020-2021 (Even)

Z	Name of Teacher: Ms.V.V.Pawar									
	Rai	e the te	aching-	learning Deer:	proces	s paran	Cood.	Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject		
3.2		1001	1, 100	1001	2, AVC	Average: 3, Good: 4,	5000	Evenience		
				0	Offine			١,		Rating
	Name of Subject	t SA-	Div A	SUR	Div A	Ave	Average	Farameter	SA- Div A	1
	Th/Pr	r Th	Pr	Th	Pr	T.	P.	Clarity of expectations of students	2.79	1
S. N.	Parameter	Rati	Rating (05)	Rating (05)	Rating (05)		Rating (05)	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Following: (Technical content/course content, Communication skills, Use of teaching aids (ICT, PPT))	3.11	:
-	Regularity in the Class conduction	,								
1	TOURS CONTROL OF THE	3.47	NA	NA	3.53	3.47	3.53	Feedback provided on Students progress	3.05	
7	of Course Content	3.05	NA	NA	3.42	3.05	3.42	Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed	3.16	
3	Concepts  Concepts	3.00	NA	N A	3.32	3.00	3.32	Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond	2 80	
4	Understanding of Course Objectives	2.95	NA	N N	3.53	206	3 53	Motivation and inspiration for students to learn	70.7	:
5	Overall command on Class & Subject	2.95	AN	N N	375	20.7	5.55		3.11	ı
	7. W	200	VVI	VVI	3.20	2.95	3.26	Face on which contents were covered	3.11	;
0	Effectiveness in meeting the course outline	3.00	NA	NA	3.37	3.00	3.37	Support for the development of Students skill (Practical demonstration, Hands on training, Case	3.21	
7	Clarity given in evaluation format	2.95	AN	N N	1 11	200	(	Study / Other) Efforts for self Development Debes 1.		:
~ }	At what level Course meets the expectation(Throgh	, ,		Y .	3.32	2.33	3.32	weight to academics	3.11	:
+	(aloge)	3.20	VV	NA	3.53	3.26	3.53	willingness to offer help and advice to students	3.21	
+	Average	3.08	######	######	3.41	3.08	3.41	- 1	12:5	:
+	Total Avg			3.24	4			יפי אווייים וויי	3.07	;
+		Yes	No	Yes	No	Voc(0/2)	No/o/	Total Avg (Converted to Rating (05))	3.07	
<   .	about the course outline (Yes/No)	15.00	2.00		$\top$	(0/)00	(0/)04			
A	at (Yes/No)	14.00	3.00			200.00	11.70	Overall Rating		
7	ation (Yes/No)	15.00	2.00		T	88.24	27.11	3.16		
35.56	sestions for improvement : *					1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	77	10 1 10 1 10 1 10 1 10 1 10 1 10 10 10 1	75.00	

Dr. V. M. Natraj

100 HOMIS OTHOR CO.

Dr. S. D. Kalpande Dean Academics

Guru Gobind Singh College of

GURU GOBIND SINGH COELEGE OF ENGINEERING

Academic Year: 2020-2021 (Even)

ne of Teacher: Mr.P.P.Kadam

Program: CE

Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject

(Very Poor: 1, Poor: 2, Average: 3, Good: 4, Excellent: 5)

						a		(dda)		
				O	Offine			Online (ERF)		
	Nome							Daramotor	Rating	Rating
	0 0	ADS-	Div A	ADS-	Div B	Average	age.	rarameter	ADS-Div A	1
	Th/Pr	두	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	_	Clarity of expectations of students	3.84	:
S.	N. Parameter	Ratin	Rating (05)	Rating (05)	Rating (05)	Rating (05)	0.000	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Following: (Technical content/course content, Communication skills, Use of teaching aids (ICT, PPT))	3.84	1
_	Regularity in the Class conduction									
(		3.84	NA	NA	3.22	3.84	3.22	Feedback provided on Students progress	2 90	
7	Delivery of Course Content	3.95	NA	NA	3.22	3.95	3.22	Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed	3.09	1
m	Concepts  Concepts	3.84	NA	NA	3.19	3.84		by University?  Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond	2.50	:
4	Understanding of Course Objectives	3.85	NA	A N	3 31	2 95	,,,	Syllabus? Motivation and inspiration for students to learn	2.07	-
5	Overall command on Class & Subject	3 80	V.V	1	10.0	0.00	10.0		3.84	ı
		2:07	VAI	W	2.72	3.89	3.25	Pace on which contents were covered	3.84	
9	Effectiveness in meeting the course outline	3.80	NA	NA	3.28	3.80	3.28	Support for the development of Students skill (Practical demonstration, Hands on training, Case Study / Others	3.77	'
7	Clarity given in evaluation format	3.79	NA	NA	3.14	3 79	3 14	Efforts for self Development Related to academics		
00		000					11.0	- 1	3.84	!
	ICT Mode)	3.89	VA	NA	3.31	3.89	3.31	willingness to offer help and advice to students	3.87	
	Average	3.85	######	######	3.24	3.85	3.24	Average (Converted to Bating (05))		
	Total Avg			3.5	55			to Matilig		;
		Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes(%)	No(%)	(Collyerted to Kating (05))	3.85	
6	Aware about the course outline (Yes/No)	52.00	1.00			98.11	1.89	Overall Dating	,	
10	Aware about evaluation format (Yes/No)	47.00	5.00			90.38	9.62	)	•0	
=	Course meets the expectation (Yes/No)	47.00	5.00			90.38	9.62	WEER BAND RESERVE		
Sugg	Suggestions for Improvement : *							100 100 N		
								TRE * SON		
	A A		7	4				ANSAN CURO COSMO		30
	Dr. V. M. Natraj	O-	Dean A	Kalpande.	nics			\	GURU GOBIND SINGH COL	ACTPAL OUTEGEDF ENGINEER
		•								200

Dr. S. D. Kalpande.
Dean Academics

GURU GOBIND SINGH COLLEGEDF ENGINEERING

Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik Gurn Gobind Singh College of

Research Centre, Nashik udent's Feedback Report (Even Term) Guru Gobind Singh College of Engineering and I

Program: CE

Academic Year: 2020-2021 (Even)

Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject Name of Teacher: Ms.A.H.Vanjari

Name of	l'eacher: Mis.A.II. v amjair	Rate the teaching-learning process par	ning-lea	ırning p	Locess	)aramet	rameters of men	cellent: 5)		
	2	(Very Poor:	r: 1, P	Poor: 2,	Average	5	5000r.			
				Offine	ne		1	Parameter		Rating PMEE- Div A
L	Name of Subject		CM)-	PMEE-	Div A	Average	ge		3 57	3.44
		-	AKB		1	Ē	D	Clarity of expectations of students		
	Th/Pr	Th	F.	된	Pr	u I		Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Following:		
S.	Parameter	Rating (05)	504200	Rating (05)	Rating (05)	Rating (05)	5.024	(Technical content/course content, Communication skills, Use of teaching aids (ICT, PPT))	3.52	3.51
								operation of the Contract of t	3 56	3.46
-	Demilarity in the Class conduction	3.66	3.53	3.57	NA	3.62	3.53 F	ed on Students progress	00:0	
- (	f Course Cor	3.55	3.45	3.56	NA	3.56	3.45	Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University?	3.58	3.44
4 "	Teaching Methodology Used for Understanding	3.61	3.45	3.48	NA AN	3.54	3.45	Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus?	3.62	3.49
0 .	Concepts Trademating of Course Objectives	3.58	3.47	3.49	AN	3.54	3.47	Motivation and inspiration for students to learn	3.53	3.39
4	anding of Course Coly	3 50	3.47	3 49	AN	3.54	3.47	Pace on which contents were covered	3.63	3.51
0 2	Overall command on Class & Subject  Effectiveness in meeting the course outline	3.54	3.41	3.44	NA		14.	Support for the development of Students skill (Practical demonstration, Hands on training, Case Study / Other)	3.56	3.44
,	Clarity given in evaluation format	3.51	3.38	3.49	NA	3.50	3.38	Efforts for self Development Related to academics	3.55	3.46
- 0	At what level Course meets the expectation(Throgh	3.54	3.44	3.56	NA	3.55	3.44	Willingness to offer help and advice to students	3.56	3.49
0	ICT Mode)	3 57	3.45	3.51	######	3.54	3.45	Average (Converted to Rating (05))	3.57	3.46
	Total Avg			3.	50			Total Avg (Converted to Rating (05))	3.52	21
		Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes(%)	No(%)			
o	Aware about the course outline (Yes/No)	111.00	11.00	46.00	4.00	91.28	8.72	onge Overall	gu	
	about evaluation	110.00	11.00	44.00	00.9	90.06	9.94	188		
1		105.00	14.00	38.00	12.00	84.62	15.38	7		
Sugges								AC COMPONION AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN		
8			3	3				W ) 5		

E C

Guru Gobind Singh College of Dr. S. b. Kalpande.

OURU GOBIND SINGH COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING

PRINCIPAL

# Guru Gobind Singh College of Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik

Program: CE

Academic Year: 2020-2021 (Even)

ne of Teacher: Ms. K.T.Tambe

Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject

(Very Poor: 1, Poor: 2, Average: 3, Good: 4, Excellent: 5)

								Online (FRP)		
				Offine	ine		_1_		Rating	Rating
	Name of Subject	EE-I- Div A	Div A	EE-I- D	Div B &	Average	ge	Parameter	EE-I- Div A	EE-I- Div B
	Th/Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Clarity of expectations of students	4.05	3.61
S.	Parameter	Rating (05)	g (05)	Rating (05)	Rating (05)	Rating (05)		Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Following: (Technical content/course content, Communication skills, Use of teaching aids (ICT, PPT))	4.05	3.61
-	Regularity in the Class conduction	4.08	4.08	3.53	3.66	3.80	3.87	Feedback provided on Students progress	4.02	3.56
2	Delivery of Course Content	4.13	4.13	3.50	3.58	3.82	3.85	Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed	4.08	3.50
6	l eaching Methodology Used for Understanding Concepts	4.07	4.07	3.50	3.64	3.78	3.85	Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus?	4.11	3.53
4	Understanding of Course Objectives	4.10	4.10	3.58	3.64	3.84	3.87	Motivation and inspiration for students to learn	4.15	3.56
2	Overall command on Class & Subject	4.05	4.05	3.53	3.62	3.79	3.84	Pace on which contents were covered	4.03	3 47
9	Effectiveness in meeting the course outline	4.08	4.08	3.50	3.58	3.79	3.83	Support for the development of Students skill (Practical demonstration, Hands on training, Case Study / Other)	4.13	3.61
7	Clarity given in evaluation format	4.11	4.11	3.50	3.57	3.81	3.84	Efforts for self Development Related to academics	4.07	3.50
∞	At what level Course meets the expectation(Throgh ICT Mode)	4.05	4.05	3.61	3.63	3.83	3.84	Willingness to offer help and advice to students	4.16	3.50
	Average	4.08	4.08	3.53	3.61	3.81	3.85	Average (Converted to Rating (05))	4.09	3.54
	Total Avg	70		3	.83			(Converted to R	3.81	
		Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes(%)	No(%)			
6	Aware about the course outline (Yes/No)	49.00	2.00	30.00	5.00	91.86	8.14		51	
10	Aware about evaluation format (Yes/No)	50.00	1.00	30.00	5.00	93.02	86.9	, San	,	
1	Course meets the expectation (Yes/No)	42.00	00.6	30.00	4.00	84.71	15.29	10 00 00 00 ) x		
Sugg	Suggestions for Improvement: *							10 (0, 10, 20, 10) 0 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1		
								ł		

OBIND SWOM COLLEGE OF CHANGE

Dr. S. D. Kalpande Academic Dean

HOD, CE Dept.

Principal

### **Department of Civil Engineering**

Feedback analysis Corrective measures taken For the Feedback of A.Y. 2020-21

Date of Feedback: 23 February2020 & 11 June 2021

Feedback on: Academic

Total no of responses recorded: 220

Summary of the Feedback - SEM-I and SEM-II

Following are the observations and corrective measures taken from the feedback:

Sr. No		Corrective Measures	Responsibility
1	Make teaching effective by providing practical knowledge	Facultypes are advisted to follow following (i) Prepreparation of Topic (ii) Relevent Practical examples as disco	Au faculty
2	It is observed from feedback that real world examples are not shared every time	Real world examples exists in each subject taught. Compilation of such examples & amples of such	Act fawly
3	Effectiveness in meeting the course outline	Content delivery as per prescriber in syllabols to be effectively follow Extoa lectere may be testen for Complete	l ed All fawty ico
4	Extra activities like webinars activities should be increased.	Expert/quest ledue from industry experts corranged (10 Nos).	1 Au faculty
5	Students were requesting for translate more without English or in mother tongue.	As per norms the Content delivery is in English. However to student understanding same in explained in. Moteo tourier some times on request from students	Au favity.

Feedback Coordinator

∠Dr.V.M.Natraj

Head, (CED).

Dr.S.D.Kalpande Academic Dean

Dr.N.G.Nikam

Principal

### **Department of Civil Engineering**

### Action Taken Report (To be filled by Head of Department)

		·
Sr. No	Action Taken	Status of implementation
1	(i) Preparation of Lourse file & discussing with HOD & services face the confusting (ii) Practical/SHe Knowledge imparting turning site visity	Implemented
2	Every faculty discuss real woold example what of his sobject with senior faculty who have taugul sobject of include more crample to make teaching more effective	Implemented
3	Content delivery of each of the subject unitwise is confirmed through students of personal visit to class	
4	10 NOS OF GUEST lecture were arranged in both semester	Tonplernerted
5	As per norms official larguage of Heaching is English, however for Studential understanding on request some part of aller months made in mother to	Implemented.

Dr.V.M.Natraj Head, (CED).

### **Department of Civil Engineering**

Feedback analysis Corrective measures taken For the Feedback of A.Y. 2020-21

Date of Feedback: 23 February202 0 & 11 June 2021

Feedback on: Institute Total no of responses recorded: 220

Summary of the Feedback - SEM-I and SEM-II

Following are the observations and corrective measures taken from the feedback:

No	Major Observations	Corrective Measures	Responsibility
1.	Students are expecting more kind co- operation from Exam Section of our Institute.	Communicated to Poincipal Examination CED	Principal () Examination Seution
2	Co-curricular & Extra- curricular activities should be increased.	Great lecture/expert lecture are arranged molecular	HOD, faculty.
3	Provide sufficient and good quality Internet facilities.	Communicated to Poincipal	Principal.
4	Students need more Civil Engineering software in our syllabus from college.	Sioftware required as fer SPPU Curriculum available (STAAD PRO) ETABS).	HOD.
	Emphasis on Computer based learning.	Boftware tozahning course Cernducted CSTAAD-PROB ETABS)	HOD, D.S. Desale.

Feedback Coordinator

Dr.V.M.Natraj Head, (CED).

Dr.8.D.Kalpande Academic Dean

Dr.N.G.Nikam Principal

### **Department of Civil Engineering**

### Action Taken Report (To be filled by Head of Department)

Sr. No	Action Taken	Status of implementation
1	Communicated to Principal & CEO Examination section.	Reforms svitably made.
2	Chrest lecture/expert lecture in different area Of-curricular of Co Curricular area	Implemented.
3	·Communicated to Poincepal for needfull action	2 mplemented
4	soffware to color curriculum requirement made avilable.	Implemented.
5	Software training programme is conducted	Implemented.

Dr.V.M.Natraj Head, (CED).